

CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

IN THE MATTER OF
AN ARBITRATION UNDER THE RULES OF THE
UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW

Alicia Grace; Ampex Retirement Master Trust; Apple Oaks Partners, LLC; Brentwood Associates Private Equity Profit Sharing Plan; Cambria Ventures, LLC; Carlos Williamson-Nasi; Carolyn Grace Baring; Diana Grace Beard; Floradale Partners, LLC; Frederick Grace; Frederick J. Warren; Frederick J. Warren IRA; Gary Olson; Genevieve T. Irwin; Genevieve T. Irwin 2002 Trust; Gerald L. Parsky; Gerald L. Parsky IRA; John N. Irwin III; José Antonio Cañedo-White; Nicholas Grace; Oliver Grace III; ON5 Investments, LLC; Rainbow Fund, L.P.; Robert M. Witt; Robert M. Witt IRA; Vista Pros, LLC; Virginia Grace

Claimants

v.

United Mexican States

Respondent

CLAIMANTS' TRANSPARENCY SCHEDULE

September 30, 2022

Juan P. Morillo

David M. Orta

Philippe Pinsolle

Gabriel F. Soledad

Dawn Y. Yamane Hewett

Alexander G. Leventhal

Serafina Concannon

Kayla A. Feld

Julianne F. Jaquith

Gregg Badichek

Ana Paula Luna Pino

Woo Yong Chung

Orlando Pérez Gárate

General Director

Legal Consultant on International Commerce

Economy Secretariat

Calle Pachuca #189

Piso 19

Colonia Condesa

C.P. 06140

Delegación Cuauhtémoc

Ciudad de México, México

orlando.perez@economia.gob.mx

hugo.romero@economia.gob.mx

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

1300 I Street N.W., Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20005

United States of America

juanmorillo@quinnemanuel.com

davidorta@quinnemanuel.com

philippepinsolle@quinnemanuel.com

gabrielsonedad@quinnemanuel.com

dawnhewett@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for Respondent

Counsel for Claimants


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


No.	1
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 2-3, ¶13 & n.5 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to protective orders issued in two actions in U.S. federal courts seeking to obtain the Chapter 15 Discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1782 (the "Section 1782 Proceedings"), ¹ and has been designated Confidential and Highly Confidential pursuant to one of those protective orders (individually, the "Protective Order"). Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México considera que las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes no deberían de ser consideradas como confidenciales en su totalidad. Sin embargo, under the principles of good faith and efficiency, la Demandada no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants under the principles of good faith and efficiency.

No.	2
Identification of redacted passages	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 6, ¶11 [REDACTED]

¹ The actions are *In re Ex Parte Application of Frederick J. Warren for an Order to Obtain Discovery in Aid of Foreign Proceedings Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782*, No. 5:20-mc-00208, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and *In re Application of Frederick J. Warren for an Order to Obtain Discovery for Use in Foreign Proceedings Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782*, No. 4:20-mc-03517, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

(Document, paragraph, page)	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1,3 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	3
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 33-34, ¶ 98 & nn.140, 142-43 

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


	[REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17 de 9 de septiembre de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	4
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 37-38, n.163 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Highly Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17 de 9 de septiembre de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	5
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 42, ¶ 120 & n.176 [REDACTED]


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Highly Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17 de 9 de septiembre de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	6
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	<p>Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 42-43, ¶ 122 & nn.178-81</p> 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential and Highly Confidential in the Section 1782


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


	Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17 de 9 de septiembre de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	7
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 43, ¶ 123 & n.183 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021 y el Anexo B de la Resolución Procesal No. 17 de 9 de septiembre de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	8
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 43-44, ¶ 124 & nn.184-85 

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	9
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 44, ¶ 125 & nn.186-87 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en la Resolución Procesal No. 12 de 27 de mayo de 2021.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	10
------------	-----------

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 44, n.188 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	11
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 45, ¶ 128 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México considera que las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes no deberían de ser consideradas como confidenciales en su totalidad. Sin embargo, under the principles of good faith and efficiency, la Demandada no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants under the principles of good faith and efficiency.

No.	12
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 45, ¶ 129 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	<p>redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.</p>
Objections to the request for redaction	<p>México objeta parcialmente las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes al considerar que van más allá de lo que dispone la Resolución Procesal No. 1 y 3 así como las Órdenes de Protección emitidas por las Cortes de los Estados Unidos.</p> <p>La Demandada objeta la propuesta de edición respecto [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>La información antes referida es pública como puede constatare en los ¶¶ 1, 92, 101, 102, 104, 206, 228, 259, 434.v, del Escrito de Demanda, ¶¶ 136, 227320, 726.c, 748, 789 del Escrito de Contestación, ¶¶ 160, 364, 995 (b), nota al pie 1816 del Escrito de Replica, ¶¶ 136, 137, 227 entre otros.</p> <p>Los escritos antes referidos se encuentran publicados en el sitio <i>web</i> del CIADI, y pueden ser consultados en las siguientes ligas:</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15172_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15174_Sp.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16808_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16809_En.pdf</p> <p>Por lo anterior, la Demandada respetuosamente solicita rechace las propuestas de edición de las Demandantes.</p>
Decision of the Tribunal	<p>The Tribunal accepts the redaction proposed by the Claimants. Even though information related with the redacted paragraph has been already published and it is accessible, Claimants refer here to information which is protected.</p>

No.	13
Identification of redacted	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 45-46, ¶ 130 [REDACTED]


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

passages (Document, paragraph, page)	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	<p>México objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes al considerar que van más allá de lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.</p> <p>La solicitud de las Demandantes constituye información pública, la cual puede constatarse en los ¶¶ 9, 108, 125, 142, 518 del Escrito de Demanda, ¶¶ 317 del Escrito de Contestación, ¶¶ 252, 405, 851, 996 de la Réplica, ¶¶ 157, 179, 224, 429, 662, 720 de la Dúplica entre otros.</p> <p>Los escritos antes referidos se encuentran publicados en el sitio <i>web</i> del CIADI, y pueden ser consultados en las siguientes ligas:</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15172_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15174_Sp.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16808_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16809_En.pdf</p>
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal accepts the redaction proposed by the Claimants. Even though information related with the expurgated paragraph has been already published and it is accessible, Claimants concretely refers here to "secrets discussions" which appear in documents that are protected.

No.	14
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 47, ¶ 133 [REDACTED]

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	<p>México objeta la propuesta de edición de las Demandantes, al considerar que van más allá de lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales 1, 3 y 12.</p> <p>La reunión a la que hace referencia la solicitud de edición de las Demandantes se encuentra relacionada con el anexo C-0276, el cual contiene información clasificada como "<i>highly confidential</i>", sin embargo, es decir, la confidencialidad de dicho documento radica en que este contiene un resumen de lo discutido en la reunión del 28 de septiembre, sin embargo, la fecha en que fue llevada a cabo está reunión no es confidencial.</p> <p>Por lo anterior, la Demandada respetuosamente solicita sea rechazada la edición propuesta por las Demandantes.</p>
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal accepts the redaction proposed by the Claimants. The referred meeting is mentioned in document which is confidential.

No.	15
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	<p>Post-Hearing Brief, p. 54, ¶ 154 & nn.229, 231-32</p> 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	16
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 59, ¶ 171 (“This is clear from the contemporaneous evidence of meetings between the Bondholders”).
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal’s Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	<p>México objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes al considerar que van más allá de lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.</p> <p>La solicitud de las Demandantes constituye información pública, la cual puede constatar en los ¶¶ 104 del Escrito de Demanda y nota al pie 489 de la Réplica, entre otros.</p> <p>Los escritos antes referidos se encuentran publicados en el sitio <i>web</i> del CIADI, y pueden ser consultados en las siguientes ligas:</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15172_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16808_En.pdf</p>
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal rejects the redaction proposed by the Claimants. The statement contained in the expurgated paragraph has already been published and it is accessible.

No.	17
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 59, ¶ 172 & nn.245-50 

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


No.	18
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 60, ¶ 173 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México considera que las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes no deberían de ser consideradas como confidenciales en su totalidad. Sin embargo, under the principles of good faith and efficiency, la Demandada no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants under the principles of good faith and efficiency.

No.	19
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 60, ¶ 174 & nn.253-56 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


	redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	20
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 60-61, ¶ 175 & n.258 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	21
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, pp. 66-67, ¶ 198 & nn.282-85 


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	22
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 67, ¶ 200 & n.286 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**



Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.
---------------------------------	---



No.	23
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 67, ¶ 201 & n.287 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	24
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 68, ¶ 202 (“Those meetings came at consequential moments in the criminal process. It is clear that GGB was working (1) with the PGR and the SAT to improperly obtain and fabricate information and materials in relation to Oro Negro and (2) with the Mexican judge to issue orders allowing the Bondholders to take over the Rigs”).
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes al considerar que van más allá de lo que dispone la Resolución Procesal No. 1 y 3 así como las Órdenes de Protección emitidas por las Cortes de los Estados Unidos.

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	<p>La información antes referida es pública como puede constatarse en el ¶ 524 del Escrito de Demanda, ¶ 748 del Escrito de Contestación, ¶¶ 352, 353, 355, 365, 810, 932 y nota al pie 940 del Escrito de Replica, entre otros.</p> <p>Los Escritos antes referidos se encuentran publicados en el sitio <i>web</i> del CIADI, y pueden ser consultados en las siguientes ligas:</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15172_En.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS15174_Sp.pdf</p> <p>http://icsidfiles.worldbank.org/icsid/ICSIDBLOBS/OnlineAwards/C7653/DS16808_En.pdf</p>
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal rejects the redaction proposed by the Claimants. The statement contained in the redacted paragraph has already been published and it is accessible.

No.	25
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 68, ¶ 203  
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	26
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 68, ¶ 204 & nn.289, 291  


**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**


Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México considera que las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes no deberían de ser consideradas como confidenciales en su totalidad. Sin embargo, under the principles of good faith and efficiency, la Demandada no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants under the principles of good faith and efficiency.


No.	27
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 69, ¶ 205 [REDACTED]
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México considera que las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes no deberían de ser consideradas como confidenciales en su totalidad. Sin embargo, under the principles of good faith and efficiency, la Demandada no objeta las redacciones propuestas por las Demandantes.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants under the principles of good faith and efficiency.

No.	28
Identification of redacted passages	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 69, ¶ 206 & n.296 [REDACTED]

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

(Document, paragraph, page)	
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Highly Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	29
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 78, n.326 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme lo dispuesto en las Resoluciones Procesales No. 1, 3 y 12.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.

No.	30
Identification of redacted passages (Document, paragraph, page)	Post-Hearing Brief, p. 85, ¶ 257 
Reasons for requesting redaction	Information in this passage is subject to a Protective Order and has been designated Confidential in the Section 1782 Proceedings. Therefore, the

**CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

	redactions are proper and comply with the Tribunal's Procedural Orders Nos. 1 and 3.
Objections to the request for redaction	México no objeta las ediciones propuestas por las Demandantes conforme al Apéndice de Transparencia de las Demandantes del 05 de agosto de 2022 y la Resolución Procesal No. 1 y 3.
Decision of the Tribunal	The Tribunal takes note that the Respondent does not object the redactions proposed by the Claimants.