
 IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION UNDER CHAPTER ELEVEN
 OF THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT
 AND THE UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES (1976)

Case No. UNCT/14/2

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY
 Claimant
 vs.
 GOVERNMENT OF CANADA
 Respondent

MINUTES OF ARBITRATION
 Washington, D.C.

Monday, 6 June 2016

(Pages 1838-1977)

www.dianaburden.com

1839

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3 THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL:
4 PRESIDENT:
5
6 PROF. ALBERT JAN VAN DEN BERG
7 HANOTIAU & VAN DEN BERG
8 IT Tower, 9th Floor
9 Avenue Louise 480-Box 9
10 1050 Brussels
11 Belgium
12 ajvandenberg@hvdb.com

13 CO-ARBITRATORS:
14
15 MR. GARY BORN
16 WILMER HALE
17 49 Park Lane
18 London W1K 1PS United Kingdom
19 gary.born@wilmerhale.com

20 SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM, KCMG QC
21 20 Essex Street
22 London WC2R 3AL

23 SECRETARY:
24 Ms. Lindsay Gastrell

25 THE COURT REPORTER:
Ms. Laurie Carlisle
Diana Burden Reporting

THE INTERPRETERS:
Mr. Charlie Roberts
Mr. Luis Arango
Mr. Dainel Giglio

www.dianaburden.com

1840

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3 ON BEHALF OF CLAIMANT:
4
5 MS. MARNEY L. CHEEK
6 MR. ALEXANDER A. BERENGAUT
7 MR. JAMES M. SMITH
8 MR. MICHAEL A. CHAJON
9 MR. JOHN K. VERONEAU
10 MS. GINA M. VETERE
11 MS. NATALIE M. DERZKO
12 MR. NIKHIL V. GORE
13 MS. LAUREN S. WILLARD
14 MR. ALEXANDER B. ARONSON
15 MS. TINA M. THOMAS

16 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
17 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
18 Washington, DC 20004-2041
19 202.662.6000

20 MR. RICHARD G. DEARDEN
21 MS. WENDY J. WAGNER

22 GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP
23 160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
24 Ottawa, Ontario
25 K1P 1C3 Canada
613.233.1781

ALSO PRESENT:
Mr. Steve Caltrider
Mr. Arvie Anderson
Ms. Eileen Palmberg

www.dianaburden.com

1841

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3 ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT:
4
5 MR. SHANE SPELLISCY
6 MR. ADRIAN JOHNSTON
7 MS. KRISTA ZEMAN
8 MR. MARK LUZ
9 MS. MARIELLA MONTPLAISIR
10 MS. SHAWNA LESAUX
11 MR. MARC-ANDRE LEVEILLE
12 MS. SYLVIE TABET

13 TRADE LAW BUREAU
14 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OF
15 FOREIGN AFFAIRS
16 TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT
17 Lester B. Pearson Building
18 125 Sussex Drive
19 Ottawa, Ontario
20 K1A 0G2
21 CANADA

22 ALSO PRESENT:
23
24 Mr. Sanjay Venugopal
25 Mr. Brad Jenkins

www.dianaburden.com

1842

1 6 June 2016

2 INDEX

3

4 GILDA GONZALEZ CARMONA

5

6 Presentation by Professor Gonzalez.....1850

7 Cross-Examination by Ms. Montplaisir1861

8 Redirect Examination by Mr. Chajon1894

9

10 FABIAN RAMON SALAZAR

11

12 Presentation by Mr. Salazar.....1898

13 Cross-Examination by Ms. Montplaisir1906

14

15 HEDWIG LINDNER LOPEZ

16

17 Presentation by Ms. Lindner.....1923

18 Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith1937

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

www.dianaburden.com

1843
09:03

1 THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, ladies

2 and gentlemen. Day 7 of the hearing. As usual, any

3 questions of an organizational, administrative or

4 household nature?

5 MS. CHEEK: We do have a preliminary

6 matter to raise.

7 THE PRESIDENT: Please.

8 MS. CHEEK: As you know, Canada has

9 requested to add an additional exhibit to the record.

10 They've labeled that R-501. It's an academic article

11 by associate Professor Thambisetty. In the first

12 instance I'd like the record to reflect that

13 procedural order No. 6 was clear, and that was the

14 Claimant was to receive 24 hours notice ahead of a

15 new exhibit being referenced, not to receive that

16 exhibit 24 hours after that exhibit was referenced.

17 That said, Claimant does not intend to

18 object to this new exhibit coming into the record,

19 but we'd like to -- that's subject to the following

20 observations, and that is we have reviewed R-501 over

21 the weekend after it was provided to us and we would

22 note it's an academic article from 2005 and, in our

23 view, it's not particularly relevant to the standard

24 of utility that's before you. But if the Tribunal

25 has a question about the industrial

www.dianaburden.com

1844
09:04

1 applicability/utility standard in the UK and that's

2 of interest to you, we believe there are several

3 documents in the record that should be read alongside

4 this academic article because they're more

5 authoritative on the topic. Those are C-531, an

6 affidavit written by Sir Robin Jacob in AstraZeneca

7 Canada v Apotex from September 2013. Sir Robin Jacob

8 is a retired judge of the Court of Appeals of England

9 and Wales, and he summarized the law in the UK and

10 contrasted it with Canada's utility doctrine. C-531

11 is already in the record.

12 The second document already in the

13 record is R-495. That's a judgment of the United

14 Kingdom in Human Genome Sciences v Eli Lilly that's

15 November 2011, and that case was decided after the

16 publication of Professor Thambisetty's article. So

17 having had an opportunity to provide you with the

18 other citations in the record that go to UK's utility

19 requirement, we do not object to R-501.

20 THE PRESIDENT: Are there any other

21 matters you would like to raise?

22 MS. CHEEK: No, that is all. Thank

23 you.

24 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Spelliscy?

25 MR. SPELLISCY: There is one

www.dianaburden.com

1845
09:06

1 preliminary for us as well. Yesterday evening the

2 Claimant sent two documents to the secretariat, one

3 with an agreed translation of certain terms. A

4 second that they had said could just be used for

5 Claimant's witnesses. We had not reviewed it at the

6 time. We have now. We can agree that that second

7 document can be used for all witnesses in the

8 grouping. So we don't object with the translations.

9 THE PRESIDENT: Any other matter?

10 MS. CHEEK: Yes, so in that regard for

11 the Tribunal's benefit, there are several provisions

12 of Mexican law that -- and the legislative history

13 that are part of the record. The parties have agreed

14 to English translations of those provisions. The

15 translators have the agreed translations. As my

16 colleague, Mr. Chajon, will explain, you've also been

17 provided with those agreed translations. Then to

18 facilitate the agreed translations, there's a

19 separate list of agreed terms, so hopefully we'll be

20 all using the same terminology in English and

21 Spanish.

22 SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM: May I just

23 raise a question? R-495, is that the correct

24 citation?

25 MS. CHEEK: Yes.

www.dianaburden.com

1846
09:07

1 SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM: I'm struggling
2 to find it in the electronic version.
3 THE PRESIDENT: It was the one sent
4 over the weekend.
5 SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM: No, R-495 is
6 already in the record.
7 MS. CHEEK: I can confirm that and
8 we'll get back to you.
9 THE PRESIDENT: The new one is 501?
10 MR. CHAJON: Yes. The new one is 501.
11 We will check on R-495 and see on the R-495, whether
12 that is the right citation for HGS v. Lilly.
13 THE PRESIDENT: I think, then,
14 Ms. Cheek, you can call Ms. Gonzalez.
15 MR. CHAJON: Yes.
16 MS. CHEEK: My colleague, Mr. Chajon,
17 will be conducting the direct examination of
18 Senora Gonzalez.
19 THE PRESIDENT: May I do first the
20 introduction?
21 MS. CHEEK: Yes. My apologies.
22 THE PRESIDENT: I understand you are
23 very active parties, you want to take over.
24 I assume you will testify in the
25 Spanish language.

www.dianaburden.com

1847
09:10

1 MS. GONZALEZ: First of all, good
2 morning. Yes, that is correct.
3 HILDA GONZALEZ-CARMONA
4 THE PRESIDENT: Good morning,
5 Ms. Gonzalez. Could you please state your full name
6 for the record.
7 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. Good morning. My
8 name is Gilda Gonzalez-Carmona.
9 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Gonzalez, you
10 appear as an expert witness for the Claimant. If any
11 question is unclear to you, either because of the
12 interpretation, language or any other reason, please
13 do seek a clarification because if you don't do so,
14 the Tribunal will assume that you understood the
15 question and that your answer corresponds to the
16 question.
17 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, I understood.
18 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Gonzalez, you will
19 appreciate that testifying, be it before a court or
20 an Arbitral Tribunal, is a very serious matter. In
21 that connection, the Tribunal expects you to give the
22 statement, the text of which is in front of you.
23 MS. GONZALEZ: Expert Witness
24 Declaration. I solemnly declare, upon my honor and
25 conscience, that I shall speak the truth on the basis

www.dianaburden.com

1848
09:12

1 of my sincere belief.
2 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you,
3 Ms. Gonzalez. Could you please go to your First
4 Report. I assume you would like to go to the Spanish
5 original.
6 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct.
7 THE PRESIDENT: And you go to the page
8 appearing after page 9, which is page 10. Your
9 report is dated 26 September 2014. Can you please
10 confirm that the signature appearing above your name
11 is your signature?
12 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that's correct.
13 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Could you
14 then go to your Reply Expert Report, which is dated
15 10 September 2015, and you go to page 22. Please
16 confirm for the record that the signature appearing
17 above your name is your signature.
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct.
19 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you,
20 Ms. Gonzalez. Can you please confirm whether you
21 would like to make any correction to either report?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: I do not. None.
23 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Then I
24 think you can start the direct examination.
25 MR. CHAJON: Thank you,

www.dianaburden.com

1849
09:14

1 President van den Berg. Before Professor Gonzalez
2 begins, I'd like to describe the bundle we've
3 distributed. Professor Gonzalez will be using slides
4 she's prepared in Spanish. Those are at tab 3. The
5 English version of her slides are at tab 4.
6 Professor Gonzalez will discuss
7 several Spanish language legal texts from Mexico.
8 The parties have agreed to English translations for
9 the key legal provisions and legislative history
10 documents. The agreed translations are in several
11 places. They're on the English slides, and all the
12 agreed translations have been compiled and placed at
13 tab 5 of the bundles. And this document covers
14 several exhibits in the record already.
15 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chajon, is that
16 the same document we got also separately?
17 MR. CHAJON: Exactly. There's a
18 second copy of the full packet that's been handed out
19 separately and the Spanish exhibits themselves are
20 also in the bundle at tabs 6-11, and the
21 corresponding translations for each document have
22 been placed on top. So when you open to one of the
23 Spanish documents, you'll first see the agreed
24 translations and the Spanish text behind it. We hope
25 this makes it easy for everyone to follow along in

www.dianaburden.com

<p>1 whichever language they prefer, but if you have any 2 trouble locating a translation, please let us know. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Can you help us when 4 you refer to an article -- for example 5 Article 28-VIII, it refers to tab 11. 6 MR. CHAJON: Yes. Definitely. With 7 that, Professor Gonzalez, please proceed with your 8 presentation. 9 PRESENTATION BY PROFESSOR GONZALEZ 10 MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you very much. 11 Mr. President, honorable members of the Tribunal, 12 good morning again. My name is Gilda Gonzalez. I am 13 a Professor, intellectual property law professor, at 14 the <i>law faculty of Universidad Nacional Autonoma de</i> 15 <i>Mexico</i>. I have been teaching for a number of years 16 in this institution and also in other postgraduate 17 institutions as well. 18 I am going to talk to you a little bit 19 about the experience that I've had in my job. I 20 worked for almost ten years at the <i>Instituto Mexicano</i> 21 <i>de Propiedad Industrial</i>. It's know as IMPI. This 22 is the abbreviation. And almost during all the time 23 I worked there I have dealt with litigation in 24 connection with industrial property. I am now the 25 general director of an association related to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1850 09:15</p>	<p>1 phonograms and videograms and I also have a law firm 2 specialized in intellectual property matters. 3 If you allow me, I would like to talk 4 a little bit about the legal system in Mexico. 5 Mexico has a legal system that is based on civil law. 6 It is a formal system. All laws need to be 7 statutory. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Please go a little 9 slower, if you would be so kind. 10 MS. GONZALEZ: And I thought I was 11 going slowly. 12 As I was saying, our system is based 13 on statutes, and the Constitution is the highest law 14 of the land. That is the Constitution. Then under 15 the Constitution we have the Federal laws, and then 16 under the Federal laws we have regulations. We do 17 have a guiding principle which establishes that the 18 interpretation of the law must be literal. 19 Now, in connection with the Mexican 20 system of patents, we have a Federal law. It's known 21 as the industrial property law. In Mexico we do not 22 have state systems for industrial property, legally 23 speaking. Then under that we have regulations, and 24 this is known as the regulation to the industrial 25 property law, and then we have an authority that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1851 09:16</p>
<p>1 deals and administers the industrial property system, 2 which is known as the IMPI, the Mexican Institute of 3 Industrial Property. 4 What does the IMPI do? It grants 5 patents. It resolves any litigation in connection 6 with intellectual property in the first instance 7 level. Of course, this is similar to a trial and 8 what a judge would do. Any decision made by the IMPI 9 may be appealed, and this is appealed to the Federal 10 Court. Now, in connection with damages claims, in 11 connection with industrial property infractions or 12 infringements, that goes to judges in civil matters. 13 Now, what is the Mexican standard for 14 industrial application? Well, the industrial 15 application or applicability requirement is under 16 Article 16 of the Industrial Property Law. I can 17 read Article 16. Article 16 says: The inventions 18 that are new resulting from an inventive step and 19 susceptible of industrial application under the terms 20 of this law shall be patentable. 21 Then our law also defines what 22 industrial application means, and this is enshrined 23 in Article 12-IV of the same law. I'm going to read 24 this word by word. 25 "Industrial applicability is the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1852 09:18</p>	<p>1 possibility that an invention has a practical utility 2 or can be produced or used in any field of economic 3 activity for the purposes described in the 4 application." This standard has not changed for 5 decades in Mexico. I will now refer to the legal 6 system in Mexico, what has happened to it and 7 historically what we can say of the two substantial 8 reforms we have had. 9 The first is the 1991 law, the Law of 10 Promotion and Protection of Intellectual Property. 11 This law started the implementation of international 12 obligations that Mexico agreed to. In the statement 13 of of purpose of this law -- and I can read the 14 statement of purpose of this law. It says: In the 15 World Intellectual Property Organization, there has 16 been work on a new international treaty, the 17 ratification of which will be proposed to the members 18 in 1991. Mexico has the opportunity to do this. And 19 Mexico at the time had the opportunity to perhaps 20 stay ahead of the curve of other countries and 21 although it was necessary to define what industrial 22 application meant -- and I can read that as again 23 agreed the definition of the industrial application 24 under the 1991 law. It says: Industrial application 25 is the possibility that any product or process be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1853 09:19</p>

<p>1 produced or used, as the case may be, in the industry 2 which shall include agriculture, ranching, fishing, 3 mining, the processing industries per se, the 4 construction industry, and all types of service 5 industries. 6 For the first time in the definition 7 of industrial applicability we have the word 8 "possibility." This is important because it is 9 bringing together all those industries that under the 10 past law Mexico did not consider patentable. So for 11 the first time pharmaceutical patents were available 12 in Mexico. 13 Now, after the free trade agreement 14 was signed, Mexico continued to implement its 15 international obligations. In 1994, there was a 16 substantial reform where a new name was given to the 17 Law of Promotion and it was now called the Industrial 18 Property Law. This is the name that we currently 19 give to this law. There was a reform here of 20 Article 12-IV which has to do with industrial 21 application, and I can read it word by word. And 22 this is how the article was established as. 23 "Industrial application is the 24 possibility that an invention has a practical utility 25 or can be produced or used in any branch of economic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1854 09:21</p>	<p>1 activity." As you can see, it is emphasized here, 2 and we emphasize the word "possibility," the 3 possibility that an invention can be used and in any 4 branch of economic activity. 5 So instead of spelling out all the 6 branches of economic activities like we did in the 7 past, we wrote "any branch." And there is no 8 discrimination in the field of technology. And the 9 standard, the substantive standard was not altered. 10 Now, by 2010 -- well, actually in 2008 a number of 11 legislators presented an initiative in order to amend 12 this statute. There was an original proposal that 13 was supported by, indeed, the National Association of 14 Medicine Manufacturers. They produce generic 15 medicines. And I think I should read this article as 16 well because we're seeking to change the industrial 17 application standard. 18 What were they seeking? They were 19 seeking to change the word "possibility" and replace 20 it with the word "fact." They said: "The fact that 21 an invention solved or helps solve in a practical way 22 a specific problem or addresses a particular 23 situation and can be produced or used in the industry 24 in commerce or in any other field of economic 25 activity for the purposes described in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1855 09:22</p>
<p>1 application." In general, a number of proposals were 2 rejected, and you're going to see them underlined 3 here in this box because they sought to increase the 4 industrial applicability standard. 5 I will now deal with the underlying 6 terms and the reasons why these words were rejected 7 or amended. In connection with the word "fact" -- 8 and I'm going to read here. What was determined by 9 the Mexican Congress and the Commission was to change 10 the concept of possibility for the concept of fact. 11 It says modify the definition of the concept of 12 possibility to that of fact is a drawback and is 13 contrary to the provisions of the agreement on trade 14 related aspects of intellectual property rights -- 15 THE PRESIDENT: Please go a little 16 slower. 17 (Discussion off written record) 18 MS. GONZALEZ: As I was saying, 19 this -- I was reading here and it is contrary to the 20 provisions of the Agreement on Trade Related Aspects 21 of Intellectual Property Rights, hereinafter TRIPS, 22 an international instrument of which Mexico is part 23 and that was published on the official Gazette of the 24 Federation on December 30, 1994. That agreement 25 establishes that industrial application is a</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1856 09:24</p>	<p>1 possibility and not a fact. It is noted that 2 including the term "fact," that would necessarily 3 require proof, forcing the authority to even 4 reproduce the invention to demonstrate the existence 5 of that fact in connection with the mentioned 6 industrial application. Mexico would increase the 7 industrial property standard and it would violate 8 international obligations. 9 In connection with the next proposal 10 that we saw in this set of articles, it said that an 11 invention solves or contributes to the resolution in 12 a practical way of a specific problem. This proposal 13 was also rejected and I will read word-by-word the 14 technical decision made by congress. Thus, the 15 introduction of elements such as help, solving, in a 16 practical manner, a specific problem, without 17 establishing the parameters of the degrees of help 18 required for the invention to deserve obtaining a 19 title of patent, may be counterproductive because it 20 would open the provision to subjective criteria and 21 to the discretion of the reviewing authority, thus 22 creating legal uncertainty amongst the users of the 23 industrial property system. 24 Now, in this decision it was decided 25 to replace the phrase that I mentioned, with another</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1857 09:27</p>

<p>1 phrase. It says to have, A, practical utility in 2 order to adjust the wording and avoiding introducing 3 terms that could be discretionary or confusing, 4 according to the opinion given. 5 Now, how was Article 12-IV changed 6 after the reform of 2010? Well, first, the 7 definition of industrial application in Article 12-IV 8 was clarified in this manner. Industrial 9 applicability, the possibility that an invention has 10 a practical utility or can be produced or used in any 11 field of economic activity for the purposes described 12 in the application. 13 As you can see the phrase "for the 14 purposes described in the application" was added in 15 order to include in the statute what we already had 16 established in the regulations of the law. And I 17 will read that. 18 This is Article 28-VIII of the 1994 19 regulation that says "shall indicate explicitly when 20 it is not evident from the description or the nature 21 of the invention the form in which the invention can 22 be produced or used or both." This wording does not 23 change the substantive standard for industrial 24 application. 25 There was another amendment that was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1858 09:28</p>	<p>1 included in that reform in connection with 2 Article 47-I. And this has to do with the 3 description section of things. 4 So from the 1991 Act to the 1994 Act, 5 we have the following wording: Likewise, the 6 description shall include the best known method by 7 the applicant to carry out the invention when this is 8 not clear from the description of the invention. 9 As you can see in the second box of 10 the slide, we have the rejected proposal which was 11 rejected when trying to say as well as the 12 information establishing the industrial applicability 13 of the invention. So the term "establishing" was 14 changed for "exemplifying" which harmonizes what was 15 stated in Article 28-IV of the regulation. 16 Let me say the following. If we had 17 included the word "establish," it would be a new 18 requirement that would be very difficult to fulfill. 19 Why do we think that the industrial 20 application standard in Mexico is a low standard? 21 Because it is clear from the articles of the law that 22 we read that evidence or proof of industrial 23 application is not required because we find words 24 such as in Article 16 of the law which speaks about 25 susceptible. Article 12-IV refers to possibility.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1859 09:30</p>
<p>1 Article 47-I refers to the word "exemplify." And in 2 Article 28-VIII, it is established that when 3 something is not apparent, it will be illustrated. 4 Now, what happens with patent 5 litigations in Mexico? Let me say that in general 6 during my experience and my time in IMPI, 7 approximately 5,000 litigations were resolved, about 8 1,000 per year. Let me explain that patent 9 litigations are much broader than specific actions 10 for nullity or invalidity. We also have actions 11 having to do with infringements, actions to publish 12 patents, and other types of judicial actions. Of 13 course, we do include nullity trials and others. At 14 least 20 percent of these cases were related to 15 patent litigations, to this entire universe. Patent 16 matters involved the legal instruments of utility 17 models, and industrial designs in many cases. The 18 majority were related to pharmaceutical patents. 19 Now let me go to the nullity 20 applications before the institute. There are about 21 500 to 700 applications for nullity trials per year, 22 which includes all types of IP, not just patents. In 23 my experience, when applications were filed for 24 nullity directly, these were resolved in about ten -- 25 ten cases were resolved per year. These applications</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1860 09:32</p>	<p>1 for nullity trials required a certain level of 2 expertise and a great many human resources to solve 3 because they had an impact on the patent system. So 4 the relevance of these trials was very high. The 5 majority of these nullity trials most probably had to 6 do with pharmaceuticals. 7 To conclude, in my role as director of 8 IMPI litigations, the industrial application standard 9 has not been modified since the 1991 law. IMPI never 10 refused to grant any application for lack of 11 industrial application. No patent was ever 12 invalidated for lack of industrial application, and I 13 know of no instance in which an application for a 14 nullity trial was based on a lack of industrial 15 application. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Any 17 further questions? 18 MR. CHAJON: No further questions. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Cross-examination. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Good morning, 22 Ms. Gonzalez. I'm Mariella Montplaisir, Counsel for 23 Canada, and I will be asking you questions about the 24 two reports you submitted in this arbitration. 25 Please do not hesitate to ask me to rephrase a</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1861 09:34</p>

1862
09:36

1 question if it is not clear. Also, when possible, I
2 will ask questions that can be answered with yes or
3 no. It would be appreciated if, for the record, you
4 could start your answer by yes or no and then give
5 explanation when necessary.
6 You've been director general of the
7 Mexican Association of Phonogram and Videogram
8 Producers since 2011, correct?
9 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: As director general,
11 you seek the promotion of laws that protect the
12 rights of record companies, notably their copyrights,
13 correct?
14 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: You have been
16 involved with the Coalition for Access to Culture as
17 president and vice-president since 2011, correct?
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: The coalition goal
20 is notably the reform of Mexican copyright law,
21 correct?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Not necessarily.
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So it's broader than
24 just the reform of Mexican copyright law?
25 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.

www.dianaburden.com

1863
09:38

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But your work with
2 the Coalition for Access to Culture and AMPROFON has
3 not involved the filing or the analysis of patent
4 application?
5 MS. GONZALEZ: As I said in my
6 presentation, from 2012 I have a law firm in the
7 field of intellectual property specializing --
8 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But your work
9 with --
10 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir, can
11 you wait 3 seconds before your question, because they
12 need to finish the interpretation.
13 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Of course. I will.
14 So your work with AMPROFON and the
15 Coalition does not involve the review of patents nor
16 the filing of patents?
17 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Prior to becoming
19 director general of AMPROFON and the president of the
20 Coalition for Access to Culture, you were deputy
21 general of support services at IMPI from 2009 to
22 2011, correct?
23 MS. GONZALEZ: I think there's a
24 problem with the translation. You said
25 under-director and I was deputy director, which is a

www.dianaburden.com

1864
09:39

1 different -- this might be a problem with the
2 translation.
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I will quickly turn
4 to the English version of your CV to see.
5 THE PRESIDENT: The original English
6 said deputy.
7 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So deputy general of
8 support services. That was your role with IMPI from
9 2009 to 2011, correct?
10 MS. GONZALEZ: Deputy General of
11 support services from 2009 to 2011. That is the
12 case.
13 THE PRESIDENT: I see again the
14 English interpretation gives "under-director" and I
15 understand from the expert that there is, in Mexico,
16 a difference between a deputy director and
17 under-director. Maybe, Ms. Gonzalez, could you
18 explain the difference?
19 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, indeed. Being a
20 deputy director, I was the second in command at the
21 institute; and to be a subdirector, "under-director"
22 as it was translated, this was a lower position. So
23 I was subdirector in the area of litigation, and then
24 I went up to deputy director, which is all stated in
25 my curriculum.

www.dianaburden.com

1865
09:41

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Of support services
2 from 2009 to 2011.
3 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that was the full
4 title of the position.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And when did your
6 contract end with IMPI in 2011? Do you remember the
7 month?
8 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. It was
9 September 2011.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you. As
11 deputy general of support services, you did not have
12 to review patent applications or supervise the
13 substantive work of patent examiners, correct?
14 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, correct.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So since 2009, you
16 have not been a patent law practitioner, have you?
17 MS. GONZALEZ: Well, there's a
18 correction here. When I came into the office of the
19 deputy director general, the director said that I
20 should keep being in charge of the litigation
21 section. This was modified in April 2011, but I had
22 to oversee the litigations as well as international
23 negotiations and the search of technological
24 information, et cetera.
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: "Supervision de

www.dianaburden.com

1866
09:43

1 litigios." In order to take your own words, what did
2 that entail exactly?
3 MS. GONZALEZ: Well, I was in charge
4 of the supervision of the entire area, establishing
5 criteria, overseeing fulfillment of all procedures
6 established by law, and to see to it that all human
7 and material resources were available for that area
8 to operate.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you. I see
10 from your CV that you're a tenured professor at UNAM,
11 and from your presentation also. I just didn't get
12 from which faculty or at which faculty you're a
13 tenured professor.
14 MS. GONZALEZ: In my presentation I
15 said that it was the law school of that university.
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Are you currently
17 teaching classes, and if so, what are you teaching?
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, I am in the
19 postgraduate school, the graduate school. It's
20 called IPIDEC. And I teach industrial property
21 infringements and infringements in the area of
22 copyrights.
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your report you
24 state that the patent system in Mexico is based on
25 international standards set forth in treaties such as

www.dianaburden.com

1867
09:45

1 NAFTA and TRIPS, correct?
2 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you repeat the
3 question?
4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your report you
5 state that the patent system in Mexico is based on
6 international standards set forth in treaties such as
7 NAFTA and TRIPS, correct?
8 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At paragraph 10 of
10 your Second Report, that would be tab 4, you
11 specify --
12 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you explain where
13 I can find this?
14 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At tab 4,
15 paragraph 10 of your Second Report you specify -- are
16 you there?
17 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: You testified that
19 Mexico adopted in 1991 the patentability requirements
20 under Article 15 of the Industrial Property Act,
21 correct?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct.
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I would like to take
24 you to Article 15 as it was written in 1991. "Will
25 be patentable the inventions that are new, are the

www.dianaburden.com

1868
09:47

1 result of inventive process, and are capable of
2 industrial application." So that would be at tab 8.
3 For the record, R-275, page 6. 275.
4 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir, where
5 do I find Article 15 in tab 8? You directed us to
6 page --
7 MS. MONTPLAISIR: 6.
8 THE PRESIDENT: There are two
9 different paginations.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes. It's the
11 original one.
12 THE PRESIDENT: Original, okay. I'm
13 with you. Thank you.
14 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Now to compare, I
15 would like to take you to Article 4 of the Mexican
16 Inventions and Trademark Act. That would be in tab
17 20, Article 4. Could you please read Article 4? Tab
18 20, page 238. Ms. Gonzalez, do you have Article 4.
19 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, I do.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Could you please
21 read it for the record.
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Article 4: "Any new
23 invention resulting from an inventive step and
24 susceptible to industrial interpretation under the
25 terms of the law is patentable."

www.dianaburden.com

1869
09:50

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: To make it easier, I
2 would like to point you to demonstrative B that takes
3 Article 4 of the 1976 Act and Article 15 as it was
4 written in 1991. When comparing both provisions,
5 there is virtually no difference, is there?
6 THE PRESIDENT: Do you have the
7 demonstrative in front of you? It is in the pocket
8 inside your bundle. If you pull it out, you see the
9 second page, demonstrative B. I understand
10 Ms. Montplaisir to ask you a question about that
11 demonstrative. The question is whether there is a
12 difference between the 1976 and the 1991 text.
13 MS. GONZALEZ: They're very similar.
14 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So Mexico adopted
15 its patentability requirement almost 15 years before
16 the negotiation started for NAFTA and TRIPS, correct?
17 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct.
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Mexico didn't
19 abandon its industrial application requirement to
20 embrace the utility requirement in 1991, correct?
21 MS. GONZALEZ: In the 1991 law, what
22 is considered are international standards. They had
23 to be integrated. A great change in the field of
24 patentability was the inclusion of several
25 technological areas, so it was very important to

www.dianaburden.com

1870
09:53

1 establish these international standards, even though
2 Mexico might fulfill the requirements in that period;
3 but when we changed from 1976 to 1991, this had to be
4 incorporated in the new law.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So the modification
6 was to the fields of technologies but not the
7 patentability requirements?
8 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is the case.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And in 1994, Mexico
10 did not change the wording of its Intellectual
11 Property Act to adopt the terms used in the U.S. or
12 Canada, non-obviousness or utility, correct?
13 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you repeat the
14 question? I didn't understand the translation.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So in 1994, Mexico
16 did not change the wording of the IPA to adopt the
17 terms used in the U.S. or Canada,
18 non-obviousness/utility?
19 MS. GONZALEZ: Once again, I think I
20 didn't understand.
21 THE PRESIDENT: I don't think we
22 should use again a new expression, which is
23 non-obvious, utility. I think you mean non-obvious
24 or utility -- and utility and that maybe creates a
25 confusion.

www.dianaburden.com

1871
09:55

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So the terms
2 "non-obviousness" or "utility" were not adopted by
3 Mexico in 1994, correct?
4 MS. GONZALEZ: The term
5 "non-obviousness," I don't know where it comes from.
6 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I will rephrase.
7 Sorry.
8 In 1994, Mexico did not amend its
9 terminology to adopt the terminology used in the U.S.
10 and Canada for patentability requirements, correct?
11 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But Mexico did
13 change other provisions to comply with other
14 international standards mandated by NAFTA in 1991 and
15 1994, correct?
16 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, Mexico fulfilled
17 the international standards since 1991 -- since the
18 law of 1991.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: You're familiar with
20 the procedure to amend legislation in Mexico,
21 correct?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Courts cannot amend
24 or modify the law, correct?
25 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.

www.dianaburden.com

1872
09:57

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Any legislative
2 amendment needs to go through Congress and the
3 Senate, correct?
4 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And proposed
6 amendments are also studied in special commissions,
7 correct?
8 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Up to even three
10 special committees in some occasions, correct?
11 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Experts are normally
13 invited to discuss proposed amendments, correct?
14 MS. GONZALEZ: Generally that can be
15 the case, yes.
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And do you know,
17 once a modification has been adopted by Congress and
18 the Senate, how long it takes before it comes into
19 force?
20 MS. GONZALEZ: That depends on how it
21 has been established in the decision, and once a
22 decision is published, it could be the next day or it
23 could be a year later, depending on the situation of
24 the amendment.
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: The requirement of

www.dianaburden.com

1873
09:59

1 industrial applicability also first entered Mexican
2 patent law in 1976, correct?
3 MS. GONZALEZ: Being susceptible of
4 industrial application was, indeed, in the 1976 law.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And that would be at
6 Article 8 of the law of 1976, correct?
7 MS. GONZALEZ: Let me just say that I
8 didn't have a chance to answer on the documents
9 submitted on the 1976 law. We could read it, but I
10 never had a chance to reply on this document which
11 was submitted after I gave my report. So could you
12 tell me where that '76 law is once again, if you may?
13 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Of course. That
14 would be tab 20, R-297, page 238. Could you please
15 read it for the record?
16 MS. GONZALEZ: Article 8: "An
17 invention is capable of industrial application if it
18 can be manufactured and used by the industry."
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Just to facilitate
20 the comparison, if you could take demonstrative A,
21 which is in the pocket in your binder. If we look at
22 the law as it was in 1991, that would be under tab 8,
23 R-275, page 6. Aside from specifying the industries
24 to which it applied, the definition of industrial
25 application was basically the same, correct?

www.dianaburden.com

1874
10:02

1 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you please ask me
2 that question one more time?
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So in 1991, aside
4 from specifying the industries to which the
5 requirement of industrial application applied, the
6 definition was basically the same, correct?
7 MS. GONZALEZ: What am I comparing
8 this with, the 1976 law, the 1991 law, the 1994 law?
9 It's not clear.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Sorry. I would like
11 you to compare Article 8 of the 1976 Act and
12 Article 12 of the 1991 Act.
13 MS. GONZALEZ: No, clearly it's not
14 the same. Once the word "possibility" is brought in,
15 it's a major change. One thing is what was in
16 Article 16 that spoke of susceptible, but the 1991
17 law, precisely because of the influence of
18 international treaties, international negotiations to
19 which Mexico is a party, the word "possibility" was
20 introduced without modifying the standard to clarify
21 what susceptibility of industrial application meant.
22 It's a totally different definition.
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So now between the
24 1991 version and the 1994 version, would you say that
25 the requirement is basically the same?

www.dianaburden.com

1875
10:04

1 MS. GONZALEZ: I could say that the
2 standard is the same.
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Just to be sure that
4 we understand each other, I take the version of the
5 1994 Act from tab 10. For the record, R-279, page 4,
6 Article 12-IV. I just want to note that this is the
7 version I am using to compare the 1994 Act with the
8 Act as it was in 1991 and not the definition that was
9 in your slide 5. If you could go to your
10 presentation at slide 5. I do believe you have an
11 image of Article 12-IV, and I want to make sure your
12 understanding of the definition of the 1994 is the
13 one I presented in demonstrative A and at tab 10.
14 That is R-279 at page 4.
15 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, correct.
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So the words "*tengan*
17 *una utilidad practica*" were added in the definition
18 in your slide.
19 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So now we are on the
21 same page. 199 1 and 1994, the definition at
22 Article 12-IV was basically the same.
23 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir,
24 simply to be then correct, I'm looking, Professor, to
25 slide 5 of your presentation. Do I have to delete

www.dianaburden.com

1876
10:08

1 the words "*tengan una utilidad practica o*"?
2 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is right. It
3 was a transcription error.
4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So in 2010, for the
5 first time in 19 years, the Mexican legislature
6 modified the definition of, one, patentability
7 requirement, industrial application, the notion of
8 practical utility and description were added for the
9 first time in the definition under Article 12-IV,
10 correct? And to have the version of the Act, that
11 would be tab 7. For the record, R-202, page 6. So
12 would you agree that in 2010, for the first time in
13 19 years, the Mexican legislature modified the
14 definition of, one, patentability requirement,
15 industrial application, to add the notions of
16 practical utility and description under
17 Article 12-IV?
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Well, I would specify
19 that it says a practical utility that was included.
20 As I noted in my presentation, that clarifies the
21 definition of utility. In the discussions that we
22 had at the institute, we thought that the amendment
23 was not necessary because this article was already
24 being used and in terms -- for purposes described in
25 the request, this is already in the regulation of the

www.dianaburden.com

1877
10:11

1 statute and it was included -- entered in this
2 article. More than a requirement of description.
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But it's the first
4 time that both notions are integrated in the article
5 that defines industrial application, correct?
6 MS. GONZALEZ: I think they're
7 different articles. That is to say, I think they're
8 different requirements. The phrasing of practical
9 utility is not considered a requirement. It simply
10 clarifies the definition of industrial applicability.
11 And in terms of purposes described in the request, as
12 I mentioned, the idea in the opinion in the Congress
13 was to harmonize the initiative with the practice
14 that Mexico had already been carrying out and which
15 was already established in its regulations.
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Like you stated at
17 paragraph 22 of your First Report -- that would be
18 tab 3 -- you don't think that the modifications to
19 Article 12-IV did change the legal standard or IMPI's
20 practice at all, correct?
21 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you ask that
22 question one more time, please?
23 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So as you have
24 stated in your First Report that is at tab 3 under
25 paragraph 22, you note that the modification to

www.dianaburden.com

<p>1 Article 12-IV did not change the legal standard or 2 IMPI's practice at all, correct? 3 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you read the 4 exact words of paragraph 22, please? 5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes, of course. 6 MS. GONZALEZ: It says paragraph 22 in 7 2010, so articles of the industrial property law were 8 amended by a decree of June 18th. The reforms 9 included an amendment to the definition of industrial 10 application of Article 12-IV. The concept of 11 practical utility was introduced into the definition 12 of industrial application. However, this did not 13 change the legal standard or IMPI's practice at all. 14 Since the 2010 reform, section IV of Article 12 of 15 the IPA now states -- and then it goes on. 16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So, in fact, 17 according to you, IMPI has consistently applied the 18 same test to assess the requirement of industrial 19 application before and after the 2010 reforms. 20 Correct? 21 MS. GONZALEZ: The standard for 22 industrial property has not changed with the 2010 23 amendment. 24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And you know this 25 because as deputy general of support services, you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1878 10:13</p>	<p>1 worked at IMPI during the first year after the 2010 2 reforms came into effect. Is that correct? 3 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct. 4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your 5 Second Report you mention at paragraph 24 that the 6 notion of practical utility in Article 12-IV simply 7 introduced better wording, correct? 8 MS. GONZALEZ: You asked that question 9 a bit quickly. Could you repeat it, please? 10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your 11 Second Report that is at tab 4, page 8, paragraph 24, 12 you mentioned that the notion of practical utility in 13 Article 12-IV simply introduced better wording, 14 correct? 15 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. This is based on 16 the opinion issued by Congress when it harmonized the 17 legislation and clarified what was going on with this 18 definition. 19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So if I understand 20 correctly, in the <i>Dictámen de Congreso</i> they state 21 that we are simply introducing better wording? 22 MS. GONZALEZ: As I explained in my 23 presentation, in Congress when they determined that a 24 change was needed in the word "<i>adjuvar</i>" or "help" or 25 "assist" with what was already in the law, well, in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1879 10:15</p>
<p>1 this situation what the Congress determined was that 2 to harmonize the issue, it was better to clarify a 3 practical utility, introducing the word "<i>una</i>". So as 4 to change the proposal which had included the word 5 "<i>coadjuvar</i>," to help or assist, because in doing so, 6 they would be introducing elements that would require 7 for the authority to prove something. Plus, it 8 created legal uncertainty in the patent system. 9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So Article 12 was 10 written in a certain way in 1994. There was a 11 proposal to use the words "help" or "help solve," but 12 they determined that they would, instead, use the 13 words "practical utility." Is that correct? 14 MS. GONZALEZ: A practical utility. 15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: You mentioned in 16 paragraph 24, still at tab 4 of your Second Report, 17 that the purpose of the modification was to clarify 18 the Mexican industrial application requirement so 19 that it be clear that it is similar to the concept of 20 utility used in other jurisdictions, correct? 21 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that is correct. 22 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So basically, in 23 your view, the Mexican legislature went through the 24 process of Senate study, Congress study, Special 25 Commission study, expert testimony analysis, Senate</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1880 10:17</p>	<p>1 ratification, Congress ratification, to add the words 2 "practical utility" so they would have the same 3 purpose as Article 1709(1) of NAFTA? Correct? 4 MS. GONZALEZ: It was to clarify the 5 definition of the article, correct, because if the 6 idea had been to change the standard for industrial 7 application, they would have done so directly in 8 Article 16. 9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I would like to take 10 you to page 4 of the Congressional Statement of 11 Motives of the 2010 reform. That's at tab 12, R-283, 12 page 4, paragraph 6. 13 MS. GONZALEZ: Sorry. I think there 14 is a problem in the definition. This document is the 15 Statement of Motives. It is not the opinion. 16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes. 17 MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. 18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So the Statement of 19 Motives. The Senators that initiated the reform 20 noted in 2008 that industrial application is the 21 function of the invention and that to be patentable, 22 an invention must provide a concrete and defined 23 benefit from the moment it is conceived. Correct? 24 MS. GONZALEZ: Just a moment. What I 25 would like to establish, if the Tribunal will allow</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1881 10:19</p>

1882
10:22

1 me, the Statement of Motives is the opinion of a few
2 legislators. It is not the opinion of the
3 legislature. It might seem so. What is indicated in
4 the Statement of Motives is what I might think or
5 some legislator who brings a proposal might be
6 thinking. So I don't think it's advisable to discuss
7 something which was established here. It's not my
8 opinion. It's the opinion of some Senators. I can
9 say yes or no to what's in this statement.
10 Nonetheless, it doesn't mean that it's my opinion or
11 that it was an opinion once it was -- once there was
12 a study by the legislative committees as to what was
13 to be done in the statute.
14 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you for this
15 clarification, Ms. Gonzalez. Could you point to any
16 place in the record where the Congress declared that
17 the purpose established in La Exposicion de Motivos
18 was not the purpose behind the legislative
19 modification?
20 MS. GONZALEZ: Once again? I'm sorry,
21 I didn't understand the question.
22 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Could you point to
23 any other document in the record that states that
24 this declaration of purpose was not maintained once
25 it was studied in other commissions by the Congress

www.dianaburden.com

1883
10:23

1 and by the Senate?
2 MS. GONZALEZ: What I'd like to
3 clarify is that a Statement of Motives is a proposal
4 that does not necessarily reflect the situation as
5 established in the statute, so much so that there is
6 a Committee Report, and while the Committee Report
7 refers to the Statement of Motives, it is not
8 necessarily what the entire Congress thinks.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But there is no
10 clear document that states we don't agree with what
11 was put forward in the Statement of Motives?
12 MS. GONZALEZ: I'm sorry, who is "we"?
13 I don't understand the question.
14 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir, when
15 you refer to the record, do you refer to the record
16 of this case, or do you refer to the record of this
17 legislative initiative?
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I mentioned the
19 record of this case.
20 THE PRESIDENT: But how would
21 Professor Gonzalez know the entire record of this
22 case? Maybe you could rephrase the question by
23 asking simply is she aware of whether the Parliament
24 or Senate disagreed with this proposal or those
25 observations. I think that is the question that you

www.dianaburden.com

1884
10:25

1 are wanting to ask her.
2 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Could you please
3 answer the President's question?
4 MS. GONZALEZ: The opinion or
5 committee report which is the conclusion of the
6 legislative process is the statement of the real
7 needs. It's not included in the Statement of
8 Motives. That's not what it is about.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: The Exposicion de
10 Motivos was made available to the Congress when they
11 studied the legislative proposal, correct?
12 MS. GONZALEZ: Once again, I don't
13 know if the question is quite right. Could you
14 please re-put the question?
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: A Statement of
16 Motives was made available to Congress when they
17 studied the proposal, correct?
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your Second
20 Report you mention the Statement of Motives, but you
21 don't refer to any document that would state that the
22 Congress disagreed with what was in the Statement of
23 Motives, correct?
24 MS. GONZALEZ: Once again, I'd like to
25 repeat, the committee report produced by the Senate

www.dianaburden.com

1885
10:27

1 is the basic document that rejects or accepts some
2 proposal that might be set forth or reflected in the
3 Statement of Motives.
4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I will rephrase my
5 question. So in your Second Report you mentioned the
6 Statement of Motives. But you don't refer to any
7 document that would state that the Congress disagreed
8 with what was in the Statement of Motives, correct?
9 MS. GONZALEZ: The committee report
10 issued by Congress reflects Congress' opinion
11 regarding the Statement of Motives.
12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So one more time,
13 you did not refer to any document that would state
14 that the Congress disagreed with the purposes put
15 forward in the Exposicion de Motivos, correct?
16 MS. GONZALEZ: Once again, the
17 committee report is the result of the consideration
18 of the legislation based on the Statement of Motives.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Going back to the
20 document with your clarifications, the Statement of
21 Motives indicates that the reform also sought to
22 deter the submission of unsupported patent
23 applications, and that would be at page 5,
24 paragraph 1.
25 MS. GONZALEZ: Can you tell me the tab

www.dianaburden.com

1886
10:29

1 that you're referring to?
2 MS. MONTPLAISIR: 12. So we're still
3 at the same document, R-283. At page 5, paragraph 1,
4 the Statement of Motives indicates that the reform
5 sought to deter the submission of unsupported patent
6 applications, correct? I just want to make sure it
7 is our common understanding from the document.
8 MS. GONZALEZ: Well, I cannot debate
9 what the document says. It's written here.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Now I would like to
11 take you to page 5 of the Congressional study of the
12 Senate. Tab 9, R-276, page 5, paragraph 2.
13 THE INTERPRETER: Mr. President, when
14 the lawyer indicates a paragraph number, could you
15 please also indicate the sentence he's going to read
16 from? That's going to simplify things quite a bit.
17 THE PRESIDENT: Exactly. You go now
18 to page 5, and then you say in this case to
19 paragraph 2.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes.
21 THE PRESIDENT: Also for the benefit
22 of the interpreters, the paragraph starting with the
23 words "*en relacion con esta propuesta*" -- that's the
24 paragraph you are referring to?
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes, Mr. President.

www.dianaburden.com

1887
10:32

1 Page 5, paragraph 2, industrial application is
2 described as being the function of the invention,
3 correct?
4 MS. GONZALEZ: Are we reading
5 something, or are you just paraphrasing or
6 establishing a question in connection with what
7 exactly? I would like to clarify that, please. Of
8 course I cannot object to what is written here. It's
9 written here. It's language that's written.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I just want to
11 establish that we understand the same things from
12 this paragraph, that industrial application is
13 described as being the function of the invention.
14 And that's what the Congressional study of the Senate
15 states, correct?
16 MS. GONZALEZ: It says here that the
17 industrial application is a function of the invention
18 as established in the Congressional report, yes.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And in paragraph 3
20 of the same page, the commission considered that the
21 inclusion of --
22 THE PRESIDENT: And the paragraph
23 starts with the words "*respecto de la definicion*."
24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes. And towards
25 the end of the paragraph you have "*por tal razón*" --

www.dianaburden.com

1888
10:34

1 for these reasons the commissioners consider that the
2 inclusion of the term that an invention has practical
3 utility would address the necessity to foresee the
4 utility of an invention. Correct?
5 MS. GONZALEZ: What I'm reading there
6 precisely it says adjust the wording, and that is the
7 main part of this in connection with the proposal
8 that had been put forth. It says therefore for that
9 reason -- and I think I wrote that in my
10 presentation -- these commissions consider to adjust
11 the -- then it says a practical utility. I would
12 like to underscore that. A practical utility.
13 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So at paragraph 24
14 of your Second Report, that would be tab 4, page 8,
15 paragraph 24, when you state that the reform
16 clarified that the Mexican requirement is similar to
17 the concept of utility used in other jurisdictions
18 such as the U.S., this is your understanding of the
19 purpose of the reform, and it does not reflect the
20 discussions of the Senate and the three commissions
21 that analyzed the proposal, correct?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, the definition is
23 clarified. Yes, definitively, yes.
24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Now I would like to
25 discuss the description requirement with you at

www.dianaburden.com

1889
10:37

1 tab 3 --
2 THE PRESIDENT: Before we continue,
3 Ms. Montplaisir, how many more minutes do you
4 estimate your cross-examination to last?
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Maybe 20.
6 THE PRESIDENT: I think then it would
7 be an appropriate moment to break for coffee. We
8 have a ten-minute break.
9 Professor Gonzalez, when you are under
10 testimony, you are not allowed to discuss this case
11 with anyone. Of course, you are free to take a
12 coffee or do other things.
13 Ten minutes recess.
14 *(Recess taken)*
15 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir,
16 please continue the cross-examination.
17 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you.
18 Ms. Gonzalez, the 2010 reforms
19 included in the definition of industrial application
20 of Article 12-IV of the IPA the terms "for the
21 purposes described in the application," correct?
22 MS. GONZALEZ: Could you please repeat
23 the question?
24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: If we go to tab 7,
25 R-202 at page 6, Article 12-IV, the 2010 reforms

www.dianaburden.com

1890
10:52

1 added the term "for the purposes described in the
2 application," correct?
3 MS. GONZALEZ: That is correct, yes.
4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Now I would like to
5 take you to page 5 of the Congressional study of the
6 Senate. It is at tab 9, R-276, page 5, paragraph 6.
7 Starts with "*No obstante lo anterior...*"
8 The commissions noted that the
9 including of the term "for the purposes described in
10 the application" would limit the practice of
11 submitting patent applications that have yet to
12 complete the development of industrial application,
13 correct?
14 MS. GONZALEZ: Correct.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In other words, the
16 commissions were concerned about the practice of
17 prematurely filing a patent application to secure a
18 filing date without having specified the utility of
19 the invention. Is that correct?
20 MS. GONZALEZ: It was a situation that
21 existed in Mexico, and legal provisions and
22 regulations established that. This comes from the
23 application, yes. That's correct.
24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: The 2010 reforms
25 included in the description requirement at Article 47

www.dianaburden.com

1891
10:54

1 of the IPA the terms "as well as information
2 exemplifying the industrial applicability of the
3 invention," correct?
4 MS. GONZALEZ: Could we read the
5 article before and then after the reform?
6 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes. At
7 demonstrative E, we have the agreed translation of
8 the 1991 version and the 2010 modifications.
9 Would you agree that in 2010 the terms
10 "as well as information exemplifying the industrial
11 applicability of the invention" were added?
12 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that's correct.
13 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So from 1991 to
14 2010, applicants had to include in the description
15 the best-known method to carry out the invention when
16 this was not clear from the description of the
17 invention, correct?
18 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. When it's not
19 clear that condition existed, you have to describe
20 the invention, yes.
21 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In 2010, the
22 applicant still had to include in the description the
23 best-known method to carry out the invention when
24 this was not clear from the description, correct?
25 MS. GONZALEZ: When that is not clear

www.dianaburden.com

1892
10:56

1 from the description, yes, correct.
2 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Since 2010,
3 applicants must also add information exemplifying the
4 industrial applicability of the invention, correct?
5 MS. GONZALEZ: When it is not clear
6 from the description, yes.
7 MS. MONTPLAISIR: The intention of the
8 drafters was to avoid speculative patenting, correct?
9 MS. GONZALEZ: You're saying
10 speculative. Is that correct? The translation said
11 speculative. That's what you said? Did you say
12 speculative?
13 THE PRESIDENT: To avoid speculative
14 patenting. That was the question.
15 MS. GONZALEZ: That is what the report
16 says, yes, that's correct.
17 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So drafters included
18 wording to require examples to establish utility,
19 correct?
20 MS. GONZALEZ: No. I think that we
21 can establish the authorization depends on the phrase
22 "when it is not clear." If it's clear from the
23 description, then no examples of industrial
24 application should be presented. Now, if they had
25 wanted to change the standard, they would have

www.dianaburden.com

1893
10:58

1 changed the word "possibility."
2 Now, if we look at Article 47 and we
3 look at the description, that's another requirement.
4 We have three basic requirements. And this has to do
5 with the modification in connection with the word
6 "possibility." The way in which the patent is
7 presented taking into account the three requirements,
8 that is what 47 establishes, but only when it is
9 unclear from the description.
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Would you say that
11 it's another possible reading to say that "when this
12 is not clear from the description of the invention"
13 only applies to the inclusion of the best-known
14 method by the applicant to carry out the invention?
15 MS. GONZALEZ: Please repeat the
16 question.
17 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Before 2010, we have
18 a sentence that says: "The description shall include
19 the best-known method by the applicant to carry out
20 the invention when this is not clear from the
21 description of the invention." And the provision
22 ended there.
23 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. And then if it's
24 not clear, then --
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Could you please

www.dianaburden.com

1 repeat your answer? 1894
2 MS. GONZALEZ: Please repeat the 11:00
3 question.
4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So before 2010, the
5 requirement was to include the best-known method by
6 the applicant to carry out the invention only when it
7 was not clear from the description of the invention.
8 Concerned by speculative patenting, the Congress and
9 the Senate added the words "as well as the
10 information exemplifying the industrial applicability
11 of the invention." There is no sign here clear that
12 would show that examples are only needed when it is
13 not clear from the description of the invention,
14 isn't there?
15 MS. GONZALEZ: From a translation
16 viewpoint I don't know if it's the same, but what you
17 have here "as well as" and then comma, I think it has
18 to do with the fact that the condition is when it is
19 not clear. So when the description is unclear, then
20 you need to provide examples.
21 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you very much,
22 Ms. Gonzalez.
23 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chajon, any
24 questions for redirect?
25 MR. CHAJON: Yes, a few short ones,

www.dianaburden.com

1 Mr. President. 1895
2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11:02
3 MR. CHAJON: Professor Gonzalez, you
4 were asked questions about the Statement of Motives,
5 which is R-283 in the record, and it's behind tab 12
6 in your cross-examination binder. Can you please
7 turn to it?
8 How many senators put forward this
9 document?
10 MS. GONZALEZ: As we can see from this
11 document, three senators did.
12 MR. CHAJON: That is all, Your Honor.
13 THE PRESIDENT: Any application for
14 recross?
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: No.
16 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you,
17 Professor Gonzalez, for testifying. You are now
18 excused as a witness and released.
19 MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you very much.
20 (Pause)
21 FABIAN RAMÓN SALAZAR
22 THE PRESIDENT: Good morning,
23 Mr. Salazar. Could you please state your full name
24 for the record.
25 MR. SALAZAR: Good morning,

www.dianaburden.com

1 Mr. President. Good morning, members of the 1896
2 Tribunal. My name is Fabian Ramón Salazar Garcia. 11:06
3 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Salazar, you
4 appear here as an expert witness for the Claimant.
5 If any question is unclear to you, either because of
6 language, interpretation or any other reason, please
7 seek a clarification because if you don't do so, the
8 Tribunal assumes that you've understood the question
9 and that your answer corresponds to the question.
10 MR. SALAZAR: Thank you,
11 Mr. President.
12 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Salazar, you will
13 appreciate that testifying, be it before a court or
14 an Arbitral Tribunal, is a very serious matter. In
15 that connection the Tribunal expects you to make the
16 statement, the text of which is in front of you.
17 MR. SALAZAR: I solemnly declare, upon
18 my honor and conscience, that my statement will be in
19 accordance with my sincere belief.
20 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you,
21 Mr. Salazar. Could you please go to your first
22 Expert Report, which is dated 26 September 2014. Go
23 to the page appearing after page 9, I assume page 10.
24 Confirm for the record that the signature appearing
25 above your name is your signature.

www.dianaburden.com

1 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, I confirm that. 1897
2 THE PRESIDENT: Could you please go to 11:07
3 the Reply Expert Report, to page 21. The Reply
4 Expert Report is dated 10 September 2015. And
5 confirm for the record that the signature appearing
6 above your name is your signature.
7 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, Mr. President.
8 I confirm that.
9 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Then is
10 there any correction you wish to make to either
11 report?
12 MR. SALAZAR: I just wanted you to
13 take into account that in my CV that I presented --
14 when I presented my report, I was an independent
15 consultant. Now I am the partner of a firm of
16 industrial property matters in Mexico. That's the
17 only addition I would like to make.
18 THE PRESIDENT: And the name of the
19 firm is?
20 MR. SALAZAR: De La Torre, Rodriguez &
21 Leroux.
22 MS. CHEEK: Mr. Chajon will conduct
23 the examination.
24 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chajon, please
25 proceed.

www.dianaburden.com

<p>1 MR. CHAJON: Mr. President, 2 Mr. Salazar's updated CV is at tab 3 of his bundle if 3 the Tribunal would like to refer to it. Aside from 4 that, Mr. Salazar, please proceed with your 5 presentation. 6 THE PRESIDENT: For those who follow 7 it in the original Spanish version, it is at tab 4. 8 The English translation is at tab 5. 9 MR. CHAJON: Yes, we've prepared 10 Mr. Salazar's bundle the same way we did for 11 Ms. Gonzalez. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. 13 Mr. Salazar, please proceed. 14 PRESENTATION BY MR. SALAZAR 15 MR. SALAZAR: Thank you very much, 16 Mr. President. 17 First of all, I would like to 18 introduce the professional experience that I have in 19 order to provide testimony here. I am a chemist. I 20 got my degree from the <i>Universidad Autónoma de</i> 21 <i>México</i>. I was also a Professor at that university, 22 and I was also a research assistant. Then I went to 23 work for IMPI in 1993. I worked for IMPI for almost 24 20 years working for the institute. During that 25 period of time I held a number of positions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1898 11:09</p> <p>1 From 1993 to 1997, I was a patent 2 examiner of the chemical area. I was in charge of 3 the preparation and also the revision of chemical 4 patents in chemical and organic chemistry. I 5 conducted substantive reviews of that and substantive 6 examinations. I was also chief of the department, 7 and I was in charge of all the actions that had to do 8 with patent examinations of the chemical area. And 9 this has to do with inventions and things of that 10 nature. 11 From 2000 until 2002, I was the 12 advisor to the Director General in charge of 13 technical opinions related to litigations in the 14 field of patents. From 2002 to 2012, I was the 15 director of patents in charge of the full operations 16 of the process of the registration and application of 17 a patent. I had, under me, more than 200 employees. 18 120 were patent examiners, and they were directly 19 under my supervision and under my control. I 20 represented IMPI on a number of occasions on matters 21 related to patents. 22 Now I would like to very briefly 23 establish what the examination process is like and 24 specifically substantive examination in connection 25 with patent applications in Mexico.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1899 11:10</p>
<p>1 First, there is a formal stage which 2 is an application that has all the documents required 3 under the law. Once this condition is met, a 4 publication is conducted and then the examiner can 5 conduct a substantive examination. The substantive 6 examination means that the examiner has to look at 7 the patent application and it has to look at it and 8 it has to be a clear application. It has to have a 9 unity of invention. It has to be a patentable 10 subject matter, and there has to be sufficiency of 11 the description. This substantive examination has to 12 do with meeting the three requirements of 13 patentability established by the law. Industrial 14 application, inventive step, and novelty. 15 Now, how is the industrial application 16 analysis conducted? The Industrial Property Law 17 indicates that inventions must be susceptible of 18 industrial application. That's Article 16. And the 19 term "industrial application" under Article 12-IV, it 20 says industrial applicability is the possibility that 21 an invention has a practical utility or can be 22 produced or used in any field of economic activity 23 for the purposes described in the application. 24 Now, under these legal provisions, the 25 examiners must conduct their evaluation. The</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1900 11:12</p> <p>1 analysis is based on indications, references within 2 the description which illustrate that the invention 3 can be produced or used in any branch of economic 4 activity. The examiner does not demand evidence or 5 proof because that is not contemplated under the law. 6 As I said, I represented IMPI in a 7 number of meetings related to patents. Specifically 8 one stands out clearly, which had to do with a 9 proposed amendment to the law. This was the proposal 10 that was discussed in 2008, and then this ended up 11 with the 2010 amendment. The IMPI, during this 12 process, expressed its opinions in these meetings, 13 and we were present at those meetings. And there was 14 also an official letter contained in our materials, 15 and this is DDAJ.2008.0463, and this is C-418. 16 The positions put forth by IMPI were 17 the following: First, combining requirements of the 18 law, in this case the definition of invention in 19 connection with industrial applicability. During the 20 discussions mention was made of the fact that the 21 term "practical utility" that they were trying to 22 include was a redundant term because according to the 23 institute, the phrases "produce" or "utilize" 24 accomplished this purpose, and in connection with the 25 phrase "for the purposes described in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1901 11:13</p>

<p>1 application" it was considered that this phrase was 2 unnecessary because Article 28-IV of the regulation 3 already established this idea and it said the 4 following: "Shall indicate explicitly, when it is 5 not evident from the description or the nature of the 6 invention, the form in which the invention can be 7 produced or used or both." The original proposal was 8 not ratified, and the only thing that went through 9 were the clarifications. 10 So I just wanted to establish how 11 those changes took form in the Patent Office in 12 Mexico. The changes didn't really increase the 13 number of objections related to industrial 14 application issued by patent examiners, and I said 15 all the patent examiners were under my oversight. 16 The changes did not modify the criteria used by 17 examiners to analyze industrial application, and also 18 these changes did not bring about refusals of patent 19 applications for lack of industrial application. 20 I also wanted to state that industrial 21 application -- well, I wanted to say whether it was 22 common or not during my tenure at the institute. I 23 just wanted to give you some numbers in connection 24 with the overall capacity that the IMPI had. For 25 example, in 2010, IMPI resolved 20,218 patent</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1902 11:15</p>	<p>1 applications, of which 11,440 were granted. So over 2 50 percent of the patents that were presented were 3 granted. 4 So in the opinion that I had to look 5 at, it makes reference to five cases that pose 6 objections of lack of industrial application, but I 7 wanted to say in each of these five cases the 8 objections were overcome and the patents were 9 granted. 10 Very briefly, I wanted to talk about 11 two cases that had to do with a failure to meet the 12 industrial application requirement, and I wanted to 13 explain the reason why this was the case and am going 14 to state these case by case. 15 In the case of the Mexican patent 552, 16 the original claims had to do with birth control 17 methods. In this case the office considered that the 18 methods worked, but they were not considered 19 realizable by any industry because they depended on a 20 personal choice of the individual to submit himself 21 or herself to this kind of treatment. That is why it 22 was decided that there was a lack of industrial 23 application. Well, also there was a modification 24 later, and what was granted did not meet this notion. 25 In the case of Mexican patent 916, the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1903 11:16</p>
<p>1 examiner questioned the lack of clarity and of 2 industrial application of the description but not of 3 the claimed invention because the substantive 4 analysis has to do with the claimed invention. In 5 the specific case of this application, the applicant 6 amended the claims and the dependency between them, 7 because the objection was lack of clarity. And this 8 objection was later overcome. There are three cases 9 that were also cited as lack of industrial 10 application cases, but there was no objection for 11 lack of industrial application in two of them. That 12 wasn't even mentioned. In the case of patent 068, 13 the only objections that the examiner pointed to were 14 lack of clarity and sufficiency in the disclosure. 15 And also we have to establish that during the 16 processing of this application, the applicants told 17 the examiner that it had no obligation to provide an 18 example to support each one of the embodiments that 19 were claimed in the application, that the only 20 presentation that it had to make was to provide 21 representative examples to support the application. 22 I signed this patent while I was working at IMPI. 23 Now, in connection with MX904, the 24 only objections that were established were the lack 25 of unity of the invention, of novelty and support in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1904 11:18</p>	<p>1 the description. Now, in connection with patent 302, 2 the objections had to do with issues relating to 3 treatment methods, lack of unity in the invention, 4 and lack of support in the description. It was said 5 in the process that two claims had to do with a lack 6 of industrial application, but this had to do with 7 the nature of the invention. In this case the 8 application referred to a biotechnological product, a 9 polypeptide product. And for an invention to be 10 deemed clearly described, you have to do more than 11 describing the amino acid sequence that makes up the 12 invention, but you also have to mention the products 13 that the sequence codifies and also the uses that 14 they bring about. If the description is not done in 15 this manner, then the invention is not deemed 16 sufficiently described. 17 I also wanted to state that in my 18 opinion, I looked at the two patents of Eli Lilly, 19 Mexican patent 173791 and Mexican patent 202275. And 20 during the substantive examination in the Mexican 21 office, there was no mention of lack of industrial 22 application in connection with those patents, and 23 791, for Zyprexa, was granted in the form it was 24 presented. So no modification was required by the 25 applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1905 11:20</p>

1906
11:21

1 Now, in the case of MX275, or
2 Strattera, there was a requirement in connection with
3 the treatment method and documents from the search
4 report. The applicant amended the claims, met the
5 requirements of the law and the patent was granted.
6 I just wanted to conclude by making a
7 few specific observations. Examiners assess
8 industrial application reviewing the possibility that
9 an invention is susceptible to utilization or
10 production in accordance with the IPL and its
11 regulation. The industrial application analysis does
12 not change based on the technical field of invention.
13 The practice of IMPI examiners regarding the analysis
14 of industrial application did not change with the
15 reform of the IPL in 2010. And during my almost
16 20 years at IMPI, I recall almost no refusal of an
17 application for lack of industrial application, nor
18 do I remember being asked for a technical opinion in
19 a patent nullity proceeding based on a lack of
20 industrial application.
21 Thank you very much, Mr. President.
22 MR. CHAJON: We have no questions for
23 direct, Mr. President.
24 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Montplaisir,
25 please proceed with the cross-examination. I

www.dianaburden.com

1907
11:23

1 understand we use the same bundle you have given us
2 for Professor Gonzalez.
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Yes.
4 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Good morning,
6 Mr. Salazar. I'm Mariella Montplaisir, Counsel for
7 Canada, and I will be asking you some questions about
8 the two expert reports you submitted in this
9 arbitration. When possible, it would be appreciated
10 if for the record you could answer my questions by
11 yes or no and then give explanations when necessary.
12 Please do not hesitate to ask me to rephrase a
13 question if it is not clear.
14 I understand that you completed a
15 degree in chemistry in 1989. Is that correct?
16 MR. SALAZAR: Yes.
17 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And you were a
18 patent examiner at IMPI from 1993 to 1996. Is that
19 correct?
20 MR. SALAZAR: '97, in fact.
21 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you. In 1996,
22 you went on to complete a one-year certificate in
23 intellectual property and copyright law. Is that
24 correct?
25 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct, yes.

www.dianaburden.com

1908
11:25

1 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Did you have any
2 other legal training aside from this one-year
3 certificate?
4 MR. SALAZAR: No.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: From 1997 to 2002,
6 you were departmental coordinator and director
7 general responsible for technical opinions, correct?
8 MR. SALAZAR: Could you please repeat
9 the question?
10 MS. MONTPLAISIR: From 1997 to 2002,
11 you were departmental coordinator and director
12 general responsible for technical opinions, correct?
13 MR. SALAZAR: That is not correct.
14 From '97 to 2000, I was the department coordinator of
15 the chemical area. Then from 2000 to 2002, I was an
16 advisor to the general director. I was in charge of
17 technical opinions in connection with patents.
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In 2002 you became
19 *director divisional de patentes*, and you worked in
20 this capacity until 2012, correct?
21 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct, yes.
22 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Your employment with
23 IMPI terminated in September 2012, correct?
24 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct, yes.
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So you were at IMPI

www.dianaburden.com

1909
11:26

1 for the first two years after the modification of the
2 2010 reform came into effect, correct?
3 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, approximately two,
4 two and a half years.
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: As an independent
6 consultant, do you file patents on behalf of clients?
7 Did you?
8 MR. SALAZAR: Yes.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And what kind of
10 patents?
11 MR. SALAZAR: All kinds of patents.
12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And as a partner
13 since 2016, do you file patents on behalf of clients?
14 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, I do.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And do you have a
16 special kind or all kinds also?
17 MR. SALAZAR: Well, I mainly work in
18 the chemical field, but we do have clients from all
19 technology areas.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you.
21 You're familiar with how patent
22 applications were analyzed in Mexico by IMPI from
23 1993 to 2012. Is that correct?
24 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, of course.
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: There are no manuals

www.dianaburden.com

<p>1 or guidelines with specific instructions as to how 2 industrial application requirements should be 3 assessed by IMPI patent examiners, correct? 4 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that's correct. 5 The work is done on the basis of the law and the 6 regulations. 7 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And even if there 8 were a manual, it wouldn't be binding, correct? 9 MR. SALAZAR: It would not. According 10 to the laws in Mexico, one must use the law as an 11 authority, and also the regulation implementing that 12 law. 13 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And the opinions and 14 jurisprudence of the Federal judiciary are not 15 binding on a public administrative agency such as 16 IMPI. Is that correct? 17 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that's correct. 18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So the only guide 19 for IMPI's substantive patent examiner is the 20 Industrial Property Act and its regulations, correct? 21 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct, yes. 22 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And IMPI's patent 23 examiners don't necessarily have a legal background 24 to interpret the provisions of the IPA and its 25 regulations, correct?</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1910 11:27</p> <p>1 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct. They 2 do not need to interpret the law; they need to apply 3 the law. 4 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And as a director, 5 you had to ensure that the IPA and its regulations 6 were applied in a consistent manner by IMPI's patent 7 examiners, correct? 8 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that is correct. 9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So did you provide 10 patent examiners with IMPI interpretation of Mexican 11 IP legislations? 12 MR. SALAZAR: I did not. What we did 13 is we provided training for them to conduct the 14 substantive examination and how to study each of the 15 aspects that were established by the law. 16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: But they would have 17 had access to IMPI's positions with respect to 18 Mexican IP legislation, correct? 19 MR. SALAZAR: The position of IMPI in 20 connection with patent practice, all that is 21 established under the law and the regulations. 22 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In 2008, when the 23 proposed amendments to the IPA were being discussed, 24 the proposed amendments that would lead to the 2010 25 reforms, you participated in these discussions on</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1912 11:31</p> <p>1 behalf of IMPI. Is that correct? 2 MR. SALAZAR: Yes. 3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I would like to take 4 you to tab 16, for the record C-418 at page 4, 5 paragraph 3. IMPI submitted comments regarding 6 notably the industrial application requirement, 7 correct? 8 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that is correct. 9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: And IMPI voiced its 10 discontent with the enacted amendments as 11 unnecessary, correct? 12 MR. SALAZAR: The proposals in the 13 initiative. Yes, that is correct. 14 MS. MONTPLAISIR: IMPI's position was 15 that the enacted amendments introduced terms that 16 were repetitive of aspects already established in the 17 laws and regulations and might cause confusion, 18 correct? 19 MR. SALAZAR: That is the case. 20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In fact, IMPI 21 considered the 2010 conditions as simply restating 22 the requirement that the invention could be produced 23 or used in any branch of economic activity, correct? 24 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that is the case. 25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: It also is your</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1913 11:33</p> <p>1 personal view that modifications to the definition of 2 industrial application under Article 12-IV of the IPA 3 were redundant, unnecessary and at most, a minor 4 change in wording, correct? 5 MR. SALAZAR: That is the case. 6 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your Second 7 Report you state that you did not see an increase in 8 the number of industrial applicability objections 9 issued during examinations after the 2010 amendments. 10 That would be at tab 6, page 5 and 6, paragraph 18. 11 MR. SALAZAR: That is correct. 12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At paragraph 18, you 13 also mentioned that you did not see an increase in 14 the number of administrative nullifications, correct? 15 MR. SALAZAR: Yes. As I said in my 16 presentation, there was not an increase in that type 17 of action. 18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In your First Report 19 at page 6, paragraph 26 you state that you do not 20 remember any case where an examiner requested 21 additional examples to satisfy the requirement of 22 susceptibility of industrial application, correct? 23 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that is correct. 24 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Still at tab 6, 25 page 4, paragraph 1 of your Second Report you</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>
--	--	---	--

1914
11:35

1 conclude from these observations that IMPI's practice
2 regarding the requirement -- IMPI's practice
3 regarding the requirement did not change, showing
4 that the legal standard remained the same, correct?
5 So because we cannot see that IMPI's practice has
6 changed, the legal standard must have remained the
7 same, correct?
8 MR. SALAZAR: That is the case.
9 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In fact, it states
10 at tab 6, page 6, paragraph 30 of your Second Report
11 that IMPI's manner of analyzing industrial
12 applicability did not change even after the 2010
13 amendments because IMPI considered the addition as
14 restating the requirement as it was before the
15 legislative modification, correct?
16 MR. SALAZAR: Could you repeat the
17 paragraph you are referring to?
18 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At page 6 of your
19 Second Report. That would be at tab 6.
20 THE PRESIDENT: Is it not page 10? At
21 least the Spanish version I'm reading. And it starts
22 with *primero ejemplo...* You see that, Mr. Salazar?
23 SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM: There seems to
24 be some confusion. There's paragraph 11 on the
25 screen here. I think the transcript refers to

www.dianaburden.com

1915
11:37

1 paragraph 30. It's just not clear to me what you are
2 referring to.
3 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I'll just clarify
4 this in a second.
5 THE PRESIDENT: Are you referring to
6 30, three zero, or to 13?
7 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I'll look at both
8 quickly. Sorry for the confusion. Sorry, I don't
9 seem to be able to locate this paragraph, but I'm
10 asking you, would you agree that IMPI's manner of
11 analyzing industrial applicability did not change
12 even after the 2010 amendments because IMPI
13 considered the addition as restating the requirement
14 as it was before the legislative modification?
15 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, I agree with that.
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: If IMPI's practice
17 did not change for the first two years after the
18 modification of the 2010 reform came into effect,
19 it's because IMPI's officials instructed patent
20 examiners not to change their approach, correct?
21 MR. SALAZAR: All changes introduced
22 in the law at any time, particularly this one, were
23 discussed within the examination group. If an
24 amendment was required, it was introduced and that
25 was the intent of the practice. Otherwise, it was

www.dianaburden.com

1916
11:39

1 analyzed, it was studied and the procedure remained
2 the same. In this case the position of IMPI was
3 reflected in the meetings and the report and in the
4 provision established in the law and the regulations.
5 So in practice, there was no modification.
6 MS. MONTPLAISIR: In the meetings
7 you're mentioning that were meant to evaluate the
8 legal modifications, from these meetings there were
9 conclusions and those conclusions were shared with
10 all patent examiners so that officials would say we
11 do consider that the legislation changed the
12 requirements or did not change the requirements,
13 correct?
14 MR. SALAZAR: Yes.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Would you agree that
16 since you left IMPI, the way patent applications are
17 analyzed by patent examiners has changed?
18 MR. SALAZAR: No.
19 MS. MONTPLAISIR: No, because you
20 don't know; or no, because you know it has not
21 changed?
22 MR. SALAZAR: I don't think there was
23 a reason for the change. However, as I stated, I am
24 a consultant and I am on the other side of the fence,
25 if you allow me, and in these cases I have not seen a

www.dianaburden.com

1917
11:41

1 change in the practice.
2 MS. MONTPLAISIR: So you don't have
3 firsthand knowledge of the practice at IMPI since
4 2012, correct?
5 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, I am in
6 communication with IMPI as part of my practice. It's
7 very common for an applicant to meet with the
8 examiner to discuss the objections, and I am familiar
9 with that practice because I have that type of
10 opportunity to discuss these matters which I oversee
11 as a consultant with examiners to see what the
12 reasoning is and their practice and I haven't
13 observed any changes as a result of that
14 communication.
15 MS. MONTPLAISIR: You're familiar with
16 the Congressional Statement of Motives of the 2010
17 reform that were discussed with Licenciada Gonzalez,
18 correct?
19 MR. SALAZAR: Yes.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At tab 12, R-283,
21 page 4, paragraph 7.
22 THE PRESIDENT: Which starts with
23 (Spanish language).
24 MR. CHAJON: Mr. President, we feel
25 this is beyond the scope of Mr. Salazar's reports.

www.dianaburden.com

1918
11:43

1 He never testified about this document.
2 THE PRESIDENT: Overruled. But can
3 you please help me. This is paragraph 7 you're
4 referring to?
5 MS. MONTPLAISIR: It would start with
6 "A pesar de la aparente precisión."
7 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Salazar, you see
8 the paragraph in the document?
9 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, I have it before
10 me, Mr. President. I must state that I didn't have a
11 chance to make a statement on the contents of this
12 paragraph. My experience in this report only has to
13 do with the presence as a technical expert in support
14 of the technical -- of the legal area of the
15 institute which was in charge of expressing their
16 opinion before Congress in a formal, official way.
17 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I'm showing the
18 paragraph to you because it talks about the practice.
19 THE PRESIDENT: One second before you
20 continue. Mr. Salazar, have you familiarized
21 yourself with the paragraph, the text itself?
22 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, but I don't have an
23 opinion on that paragraph. Or I didn't state an
24 opinion on that paragraph.
25 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Would you agree,

www.dianaburden.com

1919
11:45

1 though, that it is noted that despite the apparent
2 precision of the patentability requirements, in
3 practice industrial applicability has been distorted?
4 MR. SALAZAR: That's a statement that
5 I can't support because it's not something I have
6 discussed or prepared expressly. It's an opinion, a
7 proposal which clearly is in favor of the proposal
8 being presented. But it could gather -- there could
9 be many details for that end, but I can't support
10 them because I don't agree with them necessarily.
11 MS. MONTPLAISIR: From your experience
12 and practice, the requirement of industrial
13 applicability was not distorted, correct?
14 MR. SALAZAR: Could you clarify the
15 last part of your question?
16 MS. MONTPLAISIR: (Spanish language)
17 According to you, in practice the requirement, the
18 industrial application requirement, has not been
19 distorted, correct?
20 MR. SALAZAR: Yes, that is the case.
21 MS. MONTPLAISIR: At paragraph 9 the
22 Parliamentary group also states that the reform
23 sought to avoid the practice of prematurely
24 presenting a patent application in order to secure a
25 filing date with full knowledge that the

www.dianaburden.com

1920
11:47

1 corresponding research and development had not been
2 concluded.
3 My question is: From your experience,
4 did you observe that?
5 MR. SALAZAR: Let me just state that I
6 can't support what the document says. What I can say
7 is that if a filing is presented without fulfilling a
8 clear and complete description requirement and of
9 course all other requirements, this cannot be
10 overcome in any way, shape or form.
11 MS. MONTPLAISIR: It would be fair to
12 say that the Congressional Statement of Motives
13 conveys the intention to modify the practice of
14 filing speculative patents, correct?
15 MR. SALAZAR: That can be stated in
16 the Statement of Motives, but I don't know why they
17 did it in that way. If there were patents with these
18 problems, in practice this was not what I observed
19 when I was working for that institute.
20 MS. MONTPLAISIR: Thank you,
21 Mr. Salazar. That completes my cross-examination.
22 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Any
23 question for redirect, Mr. Chajon?
24 MR. CHAJON: No questions for
25 redirect, Mr. President.

www.dianaburden.com

1921
11:49

1 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
2 Thank you, Mr. Salazar, for
3 testifying. You are now excused as a witness, and
4 you are released.
5 MR. SALAZAR: Thank you very much,
6 Mr. President, and members of the Tribunal.
7 THE PRESIDENT: I would suggest we
8 have five minutes for changeover. Then simply for
9 purposes of scheduling, as one member of the Tribunal
10 would like to have a meeting outside the building at
11 a quarter past 12, I think it is appropriate that we
12 have the direct examination of the next witness and
13 then the cross after lunch, which would then start at
14 quarter past 1.
15 MS. CHEEK: That's okay with the
16 Claimant.
17 THE PRESIDENT: Is that acceptable to
18 everybody?
19 MR. SPELLISCY: That's acceptable.
20 (Recess taken)
21 HEDWIG LINDNER
22 THE PRESIDENT: Good afternoon,
23 Ms. Lindner. Could you please state your full name
24 for the record.
25 MS. LINDNER: Good afternoon. My name

www.dianaburden.com

<p>1 is Hedwig Lindner Lopez. 2 THE PRESIDENT: If any question is 3 unclear, either because of interpretation, language 4 or for any other reason, please do seek a 5 clarification because if you don't do so, the 6 Tribunal will assume that you've understood the 7 question and that your answer course to the question. 8 MS. LINDNER: I understand. 9 THE PRESIDENT: You will appreciate 10 that testifying, be it before a court or an Arbitral 11 Tribunal, is a very serious matter. In that 12 connection, the Tribunal expects you to give the 13 statement, the text of which is in front of you. 14 MS. LINDNER: I would be pleased to do 15 so. Expert statement: I hereby declare upon my 16 honor and conscience that my statement will be in 17 accordance with my sincere belief. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. 19 Ms. Lindner, could you please go to your first expert 20 report dated 26 January 2015, to page 33. And 21 confirm that the signature appearing above your name 22 is your signature. 23 MS. LINDNER: Yes, Mr. President, it 24 is my signature. 25 THE PRESIDENT: Please go to your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1922 11:57</p> <p>1 second Expert Report, dated 2 December 2015. Go to 2 page 17 and confirm for the record that the signature 3 appearing above your name is your signature. 4 MS. LINDNER: Yes, it is my signature, 5 Mr. President. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Is there any question 7 you wish to make to either report? 8 MS. LINDNER: No, Mr. President. 9 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. 10 Mr. Spelliscy or Ms. Montplaisir, please proceed with 11 direct examination. 12 MS. MONTPLAISIR: I believe you have a 13 presentation that you prepared. Please, you can give 14 it. 15 MS. LINDNER: I would pleased to do 16 so. Thank you, President and members of the 17 Tribunal. 18 PRESENTATION BY MS. LINDNER 19 MS. LINDNER: My professional 20 experience began with law studies at <i>Universidad</i> 21 <i>Panamericana</i>. After that I obtained a Master's 22 degree at George Washington, very close to here. I 23 am Professor of business law at the <i>Universidad</i> 24 <i>Panamericana</i>, which the case-based method is followed 25 there. It has to do with industrial property.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1923 11:59</p>
<p>1 I've always worked in the private 2 sector. I've never been in the government. 3 Generally litigating. And in recent years I have 4 specialized in litigation of pharmaceutical patents. 5 At least most of my time is devoted to that. 6 I am a founding partner of Arochi and 7 Lindner SC, which is an intellectual property law 8 firm founded in 1994. Before that, I worked at Baker 9 McKenzie. The office that I am now a partner with 10 has offices in Mexico City, Barcelona and Madrid and 11 since 2011 has been recognized in several relevant 12 publications as a leading law firm. 13 I was president of the Mexican 14 Association for the Protection of Intellectual 15 Property, which is the Mexican chapter of AIPPI, from 16 1998 to 2001; and I've been recognized for my 17 professional work in several relevant publications. 18 Now, my presentation is aimed at -- 19 well, it doesn't cover all the issues, but, rather, 20 what I think is the central part, such as evolution 21 of the requirement of industrial applicability in 22 Mexican patent law and what I consider should be the 23 interpretation of such of these provisions. The 24 first insertion of the requirement of susceptible of 25 industrial application or applicability, as one of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1924 12:01</p> <p>1 the three prongs in Mexican law for patent 2 requirements, began in 1976. This was a law that 3 occurred in... 4 In a historical context in Mexico 5 there was a great deal of protectionism, and the 6 Mexican economy was very much closed off. Indeed, 7 this law was accompanied by another which is closely 8 related to it, the law on technological transfer, 9 where it was necessary before registering with the 10 antecedent to ANP, there were acts that had to be 11 done vis-à-vis this other authority precisely to 12 protect national interest. That was the vision. 13 Now, article 4 established that in 14 order for an invention to be patentable it had to be 15 new, involved some creative activities, susceptible 16 of industrial application in the terms of that law. 17 Article 4 established that an invention was 18 considered susceptible of industrial application if 19 it could be produced or used in any branch of 20 economic activity. This law was repealed in 1991 21 when the law on fostering and protecting industrial 22 property was adopted, completely replaced the 1976 23 law with the market economy view. 24 This law reflects a substantial change 25 in the law. One of the biggest changes was no doubt</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1925 12:02</p>

<p>1 opening up to patentability of certain goods such as 2 pharmaceutical inventions. And in a 1977 decree -- 3 in a decree it said this had to open up in 1997, but 4 this came first and it anticipated patentability 5 among other things in pharmaceuticals. 6 In 1994, the law was amended. It took 7 on its current name, Law on Industrial Property, and 8 this was an important amendment or reform because it 9 implemented several provisions to which we are 10 committed by NAFTA. It implemented several 11 provisions, mostly procedural. Why? Well, because 12 of the legal nature or the legal tradition of the 13 partner countries to this treaty there were major 14 asymmetries. For example, for us the measures -- 15 precautionary measures were not provided for. Also 16 process patents and other procedural issues such as 17 this. 18 Now, in terms of requirements for 19 patentability, there was not a substantial change. 20 The only thing was that the 1991 law spoke explicitly 21 of certain industries where there could be -- or 22 inventions related to certain industries such as 23 livestock, a provision that might be a limitation or 24 a result of the type of industry -- well, here there 25 was a much broader concept that could take in those</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1926 12:04</p>	<p>1 and many others using the expression "in any branch 2 of economic activity." 3 Now, NAFTA did not impose definitions. 4 We did not have to adopt express definitions in our 5 law, and an addition that I think is relevant for 6 1994 was an article on nullification. This is 7 Article 78-I. It included a breach of Article 47 of 8 the law as grounds for being able to annul a patent. 9 In my opinion, the substantial reform 10 in terms of requirements of patentability, but 11 particularly industrial application requirement, was 12 the reform of 2010. There's already been discussion 13 of the Statement of Motives, and I am sorry for 14 repeating something here but I do think it's 15 important. If we were to briefly summarize what was 16 considered as the motivation behind this 2010 reform 17 and specifically around the concept of industrial 18 application or industrial applicability (because 19 there were other articles that were amended as well), 20 often in practice there were patents that were 21 processed in which utility was not clearly defined of 22 the invention and the fact of granting inadequate 23 patents that did not satisfy that requirement could 24 give rise to obstacles for parallel innovation, avoid 25 the improper practice of filing premature</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1927 12:06</p>
<p>1 applications so as to be able to get a date of 2 submission even though the development had not been 3 concluded, and avoid the filing of applications that 4 were not well supported with the hope of showing them 5 in the course of the process because this would alter 6 the aims of the patent system. 7 These are specific paragraphs which in 8 one way or another -- first in the Statement of 9 Motives and later I'll refer to the committee report. 10 Here it's noted that in practice, industrial 11 application has been distorted. It's often -- 12 oftentimes there are applications that don't specify 13 this requirement. There are -- well, if there are 14 delays in defining industrial applicability for 15 subsequent stages, this gives rise to an imbalance in 16 the system as to -- or concerning possible future 17 developments one would be breaching the social 18 contract of granting a patent for something that 19 turns out to be speculative and thereby impede or 20 obstruct parallel development. 21 Now, this is the part of the Statement 22 of Motives that indicates that it is advisable to 23 avoid the practice of filing requests or applications 24 prematurely just to get a legal date, being date of 25 submission. This part of the Statement of Motives</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1928 12:07</p>	<p>1 reflects the negative impact of early or premature 2 filing and the possible impacts that save the early 3 filing in trying to then perfect during the 4 processing filing which throws up obstacles to other 5 researchers and others who are pursuing similar 6 research. 7 This is a quote from the Statement of 8 Motives that speaks of the need to reduce the 9 negative effects of the abuse in the exercise of 10 patent rights so as to defend the general interests 11 and not give rise to such an imbalance. And also, 12 this is another quote from the Statement of Motives, 13 so the senators noted that the reform would ensure 14 that the description and claims in patent 15 applications would be precise, clear and sufficient 16 so that it would be possible for the industrial 17 applicability requirement to be complied with and 18 that this -- so that this requirement, which had been 19 alluded, would retake a central role in the granting 20 of patents as one of the three basic requirements of 21 patentability. 22 The committee report -- and here this 23 is a quote from the committee report. I'd like to 24 clarify here that the number of legislators who 25 propose an initiative is not relevant. Sometimes a</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1929 12:09</p>

<p>1 single legislator might introduce a bill. Sometimes 2 two or three, sometimes more. The Statement of 3 Motives is where it is indicated why the legislator 4 or legislators making the proposal are of the view 5 that the reform is important and why they're 6 proposing it. This is then subjected to the reports 7 of one or more committees in the respective chamber, 8 which in this case was the Senate. And there it was 9 decided that three committees would issue opinions on 10 the reform, or reports. This is the report of the 11 three committees. 12 As regards industrial application, the 13 senators who sat on these committees and determined 14 the reform noted that industrial applicability is the 15 function, the practical utility of an invention to 16 resolve a specific problem or address a specific 17 situation and it is, together with novelty and 18 inventive step, one of the requirements that makes an 19 invention patentable. 20 Also, in the committee report it was 21 indicated that the inclusion that an invention has a 22 practical utility would address the necessity to 23 foresee the utility. In other words, it has been 24 said here that the proposal is rejected. The 25 proposal wasn't rejected. Some changes were proposed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1930 12:10</p>	<p>1 in the terminology at some part of the terminology, 2 and here it endorses that the initial motivation of 3 the Statement of Motives would be met with this 4 addition that it have a practical utility. And here 5 you have this paragraph specifically that 6 notwithstanding the foregoing, it is considered the 7 final edition to section IV contained in the 8 initiative that is established for the purposes 9 described in the application, satisfies the 10 motivation of the proposed amendment, which aims to 11 limit the practice of filing patent applications for 12 which the development of the industrial applicability 13 has not been concluded. 14 This is a quote from the committee 15 report, not the Statement of Motives. The same 16 report also notes and now in relation to the article 17 which was also proposed, Article 41-II, it is noted 18 that a description should be complete and include the 19 best known method or the best method known by the 20 applicant for carrying out the investigation and that 21 this should be done through practical examples or 22 specific applications of the invention. 23 Now, here the senators who issued this 24 report considered that by including the word 25 "exemplify," that this would conclude -- or this</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1931 12:12</p>
<p>1 would satisfy in industrial application and it would 2 also satisfy the motivation that gave rise to the 3 proposed reform. Now here are the two texts as 4 proposed. Article 12-IV, it was already commented 5 that it spoke about help and what the members of the 6 committee said, well, for example, the extent to 7 which -- the extent of helpfulness, well, that 8 concepts such as these would introduce subjectivity 9 into the analysis that the institute would have to 10 undertake when deciding whether or not the industrial 11 application was well supported. And so they proposed 12 alternative wording, which is in the right-hand 13 column, which is that it have practical utility and 14 that it could be used in any branch of economic 15 activity for the purposes described in the request. 16 In other words, it is associated with the 17 description. 18 As regards Article 47-I, it replaced 19 the word "shows" or accredit at the end by exemplify 20 and indicated the reasons for this, noting that 21 Article 28 of the rules is referenced and indicating 22 that with this inclusion it meets the need for there 23 to be clarity from the time of the application 24 submitted, just what the industrial application of 25 applicability is rather than leaving it up to mere</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1932 12:14</p>	<p>1 speculation. 2 For me, the effects of this reform no 3 doubt are substantial. The legal framework, while 4 there was -- while the concept existed, it wasn't 5 applied as much as other substantive aspects such as 6 novelty of the inventive activity. So with this, 7 this reform underscores the importance of the 8 requirement and it reinforces the importance of 9 meeting this requirement as part of the description 10 in a patent application. Certainly this is something 11 that the courts are going to see more frequently. 12 There has not been much time to have enough cases. 13 There are some cases in my office where handling 14 several cases that have not been resolved, but I have 15 no question but that we're going to be seeing such 16 cases more commonly. Holistic interpretation, it 17 seems to me that when it comes to interpreting a 18 legal provision, it is not possible to isolate one 19 concept from another. The interpretation must be 20 harmonious and it must make sense. 21 Now, under Mexican law, there cannot 22 be an invention -- we're not even talking about 23 something patentable -- unless it is a human creation 24 that allows transmission of material and energy 25 existing in nature for the benefit of mankind and the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1933 12:15</p>

<p>1 satisfaction of human concrete necessities. 2 Article 16, which has been cited here, are the three 3 requirements of patentability but it also notes -- 4 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Lindner, I will 5 grant you two more minutes but on the condition that 6 you speak half the speed, because you are already out 7 of time. 8 MS. LINDNER: Thank you, President. 9 In the terms of this law 10 Article 12-IV, which we have already referred to, and 11 Article 47 which I think is very important because it 12 includes several requirements -- 13 THE PRESIDENT: I give bonus time for 14 you because I understand you have three more minutes, 15 plus the two granted you for half the speed. So you 16 have five more minutes now. 17 MS. LINDNER: Thank you very much, 18 President. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Can you please start 20 again with the last sentence? 21 MS. LINDNER: Yes, of course. 22 Article 47 of the Industrial Property 23 Law at Section 1 spells out what the description of 24 the invention is. It says what a patent application 25 should include. It speaks of the description at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1934 12:17</p> <p>1 47-I. This article is generally interpreted and 2 applied in a somewhat confusing, mixed-up way; and it 3 seems to me that this article includes several 4 concepts. The first is clarity and completeness of 5 the description so as to make possible full 6 understanding of the invention and the possibility of 7 putting it into practice. 8 The best -- it shall include the best 9 method known by the applicant to carry out the 10 invention when this is not clear from the 11 description. A third one that was included in the 12 reform, the information exemplifying the industrial 13 applicability of the invention and another as when 14 necessary, which is certification of the positive 15 biological material as appropriate. 16 Now, Article 55 allows the examiner to 17 request information or documentation. There was much 18 discussion in the different reports about proof, 19 evidence, technological and conceptual situations. 20 This article enables the examiner to request -- or 21 require information and documentation in order to 22 support the patentability or compliance with the 23 substantive requirements when the examiner considers 24 that that would be well advised to complete the 25 substantive examination. And 55 bis entails a</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1935 12:18</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>
<p>1 limitation. It cannot expand the subject matter. It 2 cannot modify the application as submitted in its 3 descriptive part or in any other way. In other 4 words, it's an aid to the examiner, but it cannot 5 alter the subject matter or the application as filed. 6 Article 78, I mentioned this a moment 7 ago, and this was introduced into the 1994 reform 8 implementing the NAFTA. And it said that it shall be 9 grounds for nullification when a patent has been 10 granted in contravention to the requirements and 11 conditions for the granting of patents. And it says 12 what are the requirements and conditions for granting 13 a patent and it says Article 16, which spells out 14 requirements and patentability. Article 19 which 15 says which inventions are not subject to patents, 16 27 and 31 which refers to models and designs, and 47 17 which refers to the documents in a patent 18 application. 19 Thank you, President. I have now 20 concluded. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, 22 Ms. Lindner. 23 We will now recess for lunch, and we 24 will resume at quarter past 1. Ms. Lindner, you are 25 under testimony. It means you are not allowed to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1936 12:20</p> <p>1 discuss this case with anyone. 2 <i>(Lunch Recess)</i> 3 THE PRESIDENT: I think we can resume 4 the hearing. Who is conducting the cross-examination 5 on behalf of the Claimant? 6 MS. CHEEK: Mr. Smith will be 7 examining Ms. Lindner. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Sorry, have you 9 finished the direct? 10 MR. SPELLISCY: We don't have any 11 additional. 12 THE PRESIDENT: That is what I 13 assumed. 14 Please proceed. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 MR. SMITH: Hello, Ms. Lindner. I'd 17 like to start by discussing your educational and work 18 background. You have no science degree, correct? 19 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. 20 MR. SMITH: And you have no chemistry 21 degree, correct? 22 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. 23 MR. SMITH: Nevertheless, you have 24 testified in this case about the validity of Mexican 25 patents on chemical inventions, right?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1937 12:21</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.dianaburden.com</p>

<p>1 MS. LINDNER: That is correct, and I 2 also testified that I did so with the assistance of 3 two chemists/biologists who work in my office and are 4 former examiners with IMPI. 5 MR. SMITH: You reviewed the 6 electronic dockets for several pharmaceutical 7 patents, right? 8 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. 9 MR. SMITH: And you claim that the 10 patent examiners at IMPI made mistakes in the dockets 11 you reviewed, right? 12 MS. LINDNER: I don't know which you 13 specifically are referring to. If you're referring 14 to two, then yes, in combination and having discussed 15 it with the two technical people in the office who 16 helped me with the analysis, we concluded that there 17 were shortcomings in those analyses. 18 If you are referring to the examples 19 that I cite, in effect what I say is that there 20 should have been a more rigorous examination and that 21 several of the requirements indicated, even though 22 they might be called one thing, they all have to do 23 with requirements that go to industrial application. 24 MR. SMITH: To be specific, you claim 25 that the IMPI examiners of Lilly's patents for</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1938 01:17</p> <p>1 olanzapine and atomoxetine should have objected to 2 the patent for lack of industrial applicability, 3 right? 4 MS. LINDNER: What I say is that in 5 those two cases, the examiners should have stated the 6 requirements and, if not met, then the patents should 7 have been denied. 8 MR. SMITH: Could you turn to tab 2, 9 which is your Second Report, and to paragraphs 41 10 and 42. At page 16 at the bottom of paragraph 41 and 11 this is with respect to the olanzapine application, 12 you wrote, "An examiner should have concluded to a 13 lack of industrial applicability," right? 14 MS. LINDNER: Could you tell me again 15 what the part of the paragraph this is at, please? 16 MR. SMITH: The concluding sentence of 17 paragraph 41. 18 MS. LINDNER: Yes. What I say is the 19 claims of this patent sought to protect the use of 20 olanzapine in the treatment of all kinds of patients 21 with central nervous system disturbances, including 22 schizophrenia. Nonetheless, these claims are only 23 backed by very limited and inconclusive studies. 24 Therefore, an examiner should have concluded to a 25 lack of industrial applicability, sufficiency of</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>
---	---

<p>1 disclosure, and lack of support. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Ms. Lindner, if you 3 read from the document, could you keep the same speed 4 as you normally speak here? Because you went like a 5 bullet train. 6 MS. LINDNER: I'm sorry. Should I 7 read it again? 8 THE PRESIDENT: Not necessary. Simply 9 for next time, please. 10 MS. LINDNER: Thank you. 11 MR. SMITH: So that is with respect to 12 the patent Lilly held for olanzapine, and then in the 13 final sentence of paragraph 42, with respect to 14 Lilly's patent for atomoxetine you write, "given the 15 applicant's failure to establish industrial 16 applicability, the patent should not have been 17 granted." Is that correct? 18 MS. LINDNER: Correct. 19 MR. SMITH: You have never worked at 20 IMPI in any capacity, right? 21 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. 22 MR. SMITH: You've worked primarily as 23 a lawyer in private practice, right? 24 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. 25 MR. SMITH: Your specialization is</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>	<p>1940 01:21</p> <p>1 patent litigation, right? 2 MS. LINDNER: In recent years most of 3 the cases have been in that area. Also trademarks 4 and other matters. 5 MR. SMITH: You yourself do not 6 specialize in patent prosecution, do you? 7 MS. LINDNER: Can you tell me what you 8 mean by the word "procesar," which is the word used 9 by the translator for "prosecute"? 10 MR. SMITH: The process of obtaining a 11 patent from IMPI. 12 MS. LINDNER: I directly do not draft 13 the applications because they're technical 14 applications. When they are my clients, I review 15 them with my technical people; but, indeed, I do not 16 draft them. 17 MR. SMITH: Going back to your 18 litigation work, your patent litigation is primarily 19 in the pharmaceutical field, right? 20 MS. LINDNER: A good part of my time 21 on this has to do with this issue. 22 MR. SMITH: And a good part of your 23 time doing pharmaceutical patent litigation is spent 24 doing that litigation for generic drug companies, 25 right?</p> <p>www.dianaburden.com</p>
--	--

1 MS. LINDNER: That is correct.
2 Nonetheless, I must clarify that these -- I also
3 represent these companies in patent requests, and I
4 represent them and that's -- it's in respect of that
5 that I advise them to make or present patent
6 applications in one or another manner.
7 MR. SMITH: When you say you represent
8 these companies, you're referring to generic drug
9 companies, right?
10 MS. LINDNER: Yes. I am referring to
11 national laboratories that manufacture generic drugs
12 and that are innovating as well.
13 MR. SMITH: You are legal counsel to
14 the National Association of Medicine Manufacturers,
15 right?
16 MS. LINDNER: Yes, the ANAFAM, which
17 is the acronym in Spanish, brings together several
18 national laboratories, although there are other
19 associations that do so as well, and I advise them on
20 certain issues.
21 MR. SMITH: ANAFAM, your client, is
22 the leading Mexican trade association for generic
23 drug manufacturers, right?
24 MS. LINDNER: That is right, though I
25 could not tell you, given the existence of the other

www.dianaburden.com

1942
01:25

1 Mexican associations that also represent national
2 laboratories or primarily Mexican capital -- I can't
3 tell you which has the largest number of
4 manufacturers. There is another called MALAF. I
5 can't tell you how many laboratories are brought
6 together under one or the other grouping, but it is a
7 major association and it participates in CANIFARMA
8 and ANIF, who represent laboratories with foreign
9 capital or laboratories that are multinationals.
10 MR. SMITH: ANAFAM's membership
11 includes international generic drug companies, right?
12 MS. LINDNER: As far as I know, ANAFAM
13 does not bring together foreign companies -- well,
14 perhaps there is one, but they would have a presence
15 in Mexico and manufacture generics. Those are the
16 requirements. I don't know the statute by memory
17 because I'm not a member, but I believe those are the
18 two requirements.
19 MR. SMITH: The subsidiary in Mexico
20 of the multinational generic company Teva is a member
21 of ANAFAM, right?
22 MS. LINDNER: Yes, Teva is a member of
23 ANAFAM. What I don't know is whether it participates
24 on all the committees that make up ANAFAM. ANAFAM,
25 like ANIF and the chamber have different committees.

www.dianaburden.com

1943
01:27

1 So when a laboratory or a company has clashing
2 interests on certain issues, as could be the case
3 with intellectual property, then such companies are
4 excluded from participating on those committees.
5 MR. SMITH: Teva is the same company
6 that bought Novopharm, which challenged Lilly's
7 patent for Zyprexa in Canada, correct?
8 MS. LINDNER: I'm not quite familiar
9 with the litigation in Canada, but I assume that it
10 is.
11 MR. SMITH: You have been a legal
12 advisor to ANAFAM and the generic drug industry since
13 1999, right?
14 MS. LINDNER: I am the legal advisor
15 of ANAFAM in connection with matters that I'm asked
16 to provide advice on. It is not something
17 continuous, but yes, we could say that.
18 MR. SMITH: You mentioned in your
19 First Report at paragraph 4 that ANAFAM and the
20 generic drug industry supported an initiative to
21 reform the Mexican patent statute, right? Your First
22 Report is at tab 1, and the paragraph I'm referring
23 to is No. 4. And at the bottom of page 1 you write,
24 "ANAFAM decided to support the 2010 reform
25 initiative," as it carries over to page 2. Is that

www.dianaburden.com

1944
01:29

1 correct?
2 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. When
3 there are initiatives proposed by whomever they're
4 proposed, there are groups of interest that may or
5 may not decide to approve or, rather, support that
6 initiative. When ANAFAM discovered that this
7 initiative existed, it thought it was an interesting
8 matter, and they asked me to provide support for the
9 initiative with information. And I also went with
10 them to meetings with senators.
11 MR. SMITH: In fact, you testified
12 that you participated in the entire legislative
13 process that preceded the 2010 reforms, right?
14 MS. LINDNER: That preceded the
15 approval of that reform, yes.
16 MR. SMITH: That legislative process
17 began with amendments proposed in 2008, right?
18 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
19 MR. SMITH: And you provide the text
20 of that original proposal at paragraph 31 of your
21 First Report. Is that right?
22 MS. LINDNER: Yes, two of the
23 articles. If memory serves, there are a number of
24 articles that were proposed for this amendment.
25 MR. SMITH: And you advised the

www.dianaburden.com

1945
01:31

1946
01:33

1 generic drug industry on this proposal at
2 paragraph 31, right?
3 MS. LINDNER: Where am I saying that?
4 MR. SMITH: It's a question.
5 MS. LINDNER: No.
6 MR. SMITH: You advised ANAFAM on this
7 original proposal, correct?
8 MS. LINDNER: I provided my opinion to
9 ANAFAM in connection with this proposal.
10 MR. SMITH: And you attended
11 legislative meetings regarding this original proposal
12 on behalf of ANAFAM, right?
13 MS. LINDNER: I was accompanying --
14 when you said on behalf of ANAFAM, but I was
15 accompanying ANAFAM representatives. I was never
16 there alone. I was an expert in connection with
17 intellectual property matters and I accompanied
18 ANAFAM to these meetings with the senators.
19 MR. SMITH: As an expert, did you see
20 the text of this proposal before it was formally
21 submitted by the three senators?
22 MS. LINDNER: I saw the text when the
23 text was published in the official Gazette. It was
24 the internal official Gazette of the Congress.
25 MR. SMITH: Your client, ANAFAM,

www.dianaburden.com

1947
01:34

1 supported this original proposal identified at
2 paragraph 31 of your First Report, right?
3 MS. LINDNER: ANAFAM welcomed the fact
4 that industrial applicability was defined
5 specifically and that this requirement would be,
6 indeed, applied in practice.
7 MR. SMITH: As an expert, you
8 personally supported this original proposal as well,
9 right?
10 MS. LINDNER: Well, I think that the
11 wording was undoubtedly something that could be
12 improved, and I think that in part, that is what the
13 committees of examiners did. They improved the
14 wording because the word "help" here, "*coadjuve*," may
15 have different degrees of meaning and that may have
16 some kind of issue in practical terms in connection
17 with applications.
18 MR. SMITH: Other than the word "help"
19 and any confusion it might produce, you supported the
20 wording of this original proposal yourself, right?
21 MS. LINDNER: I, with ANAFAM, said
22 that I agreed that this definition had to be
23 improved. I don't know if those were my exact words
24 at the time, but I was there supporting ANAFAM, yes.
25 MR. SMITH: You did not speak out in

www.dianaburden.com

1948
01:36

1 opposition to this proposal, did you?
2 MS. LINDNER: I did not. I did not
3 oppose to it, and I do not recall whether I proposed
4 an alternative definition. I cannot remember that.
5 But I don't think I did.
6 MR. SMITH: You supported this
7 proposal because it was designed to increase the
8 market share of generic drug makers in Mexico, right?
9 MS. LINDNER: I did not. I am
10 convinced that patents must meet patentability
11 requirements, that patents come out of Article 28 of
12 the Constitution that provides for an exception to
13 monopolies that are patents are an exception to free
14 competition, that patents must meet the requirements
15 because they are subject to a regime of exemption and
16 the patents must be valid and must be solid and that
17 must meet all standards. And that is my personal
18 belief.
19 MR. SMITH: As to the beliefs of the
20 proposing senators, you agree that one reason the
21 senators made this proposal was to increase the
22 market share of generics in Mexico, right?
23 MS. LINDNER: I don't know if it was
24 drafted in that manner. What I do remember is that
25 there was a provision that talked about access to

www.dianaburden.com

1949
01:38

1 medicines or something to that effect. And therein,
2 they talked about the importance of the social
3 contract or the social effects or the social interest
4 that is involved in granting undue patents. So that
5 is why each one of the patentability requirements has
6 to play a role. It is stated there that industrial
7 applicability had lost that protagonism that it had
8 in the past.
9 MR. SMITH: You agree, and you
10 testified this morning, that the motives of the
11 legislators are reflected in the document, the
12 Statement of Motives, right? This document, for the
13 record, R-283, which appears at tab 4 in your
14 cross-examination bundle.
15 MS. LINDNER: That is correct. The
16 Statement of Motives provides the reasons why there
17 is a proposal to conduct an amendment.
18 MR. SMITH: Please turn to page 2 of
19 that document at tab 4. This is R-283, the Statement
20 of Motives. At the bottom of page 2, there is a
21 paragraph that discusses the market share of generic
22 drug-makers in developed countries. Do you see that
23 paragraph?
24 THE PRESIDENT: Maybe, Mr. Smith, you
25 can also start with the words. I don't know how your

www.dianaburden.com

1950
01:40

1 knowledge of Spanish is. Is it the paragraph starts
2 with "un ejemplo"?

3 MR. SMITH: No, the paragraph that
4 starts with "in los paeses desarrollados."

5 THE PRESIDENT: *Muy bien.*

6 MR. SMITH: At the end of that
7 paragraph the proposing senators say, "Said generics
8 represent from 19 percent of the market in Spain to
9 almost 60 percent of the market in Canada, while in
10 Mexico they unfortunately do not exceed 16 percent.
11 Is that right?"

12 MS. LINDNER: Yes.

13 MR. SMITH: So you agree that this
14 proposal was designed to increase the market share of
15 generic drugs in Mexico and bring it closer to the
16 level in Canada, right?

17 You agree that this proposal, based on
18 this statement in the Statement of Motives, was
19 designed to increase the market share of generic
20 drugs in Mexico and bring it closer to the level in
21 Canada, correct?

22 MS. LINDNER: I think that this means
23 that we're inserting something that is not in the
24 wording as it is here. What I see here is that in
25 other countries, the generic market share that bring

www.dianaburden.com

1951
01:42

1 about the acts as connected with paragraph 1, well,
2 that is higher in other countries.

3 MR. SMITH: Let's turn to the details
4 of that proposal, and in particular the proposed
5 amendments to the definition of industrial
6 application.

7 The proposal would have made several
8 changes to Article 12-IV of Mexico's Industrial
9 Property Law as it stood in 2008, right?

10 MS. LINDNER: Well, could we perhaps
11 look at a document, specifically speaking?

12 MR. SMITH: Yes. Let's look first at
13 the law that existed at the time this proposal was
14 made. That appears at tab 5, which is R-279. In the
15 Spanish, the relevant language appears at page 4 of
16 the *Diario Oficial*. Do you see Article 12-IV on
17 page 4?

18 MS. LINDNER: At page 4 I see --
19 excuse me. I'm looking at the tab 5 here and page 1.
20 Then at page 5 we have 55 so...

21 MR. SMITH: I apologize. If you turn
22 to the blue sheet first. Go as far as the blue sheet
23 behind tab 5. You don't have a blue sheet. I'm
24 sorry. There's a blank page and then you see the
25 first page of the *Diario Oficial* in the Spanish

www.dianaburden.com

1952
01:44

1 original. Now please turn to page 4 of this Spanish
2 original. You see on the right-hand side
3 Article 12-IV?

4 MS. LINDNER: Yes, I do.

5 MR. SMITH: It says -- it offers a
6 definition of industrial application. It says,
7 "Industrial application. The possibility that an
8 invention can be produced or used in any branch of
9 economic activity." Right?

10 MS. LINDNER: That is correct.

11 MR. SMITH: But the original proposal
12 in 2008 would have removed the word "possibility"
13 from this provision, right?

14 MS. LINDNER: I would have to look at
15 it. Could we please compare the two?

16 MR. SMITH: Let's turn to tab 6.
17 Tab 6 is R-276, and it is titled *Dictamen*. It's a
18 report from Congress from three commissions. You see
19 it?

20 MS. LINDNER: Yes, I do.

21 MR. SMITH: If you turn, please, to
22 page 4 of that document, the bottom left.

23 MS. LINDNER: Yes, I found it.

24 MR. SMITH: You can see the proposal
25 that is at issue here. Do you see that?

www.dianaburden.com

1953
01:47

1 MS. LINDNER: Yes, I do.

2 MR. SMITH: It begins: "Industrial
3 applicability, the fact that an invention" -- and it
4 continues from there. So this proposal would have
5 replaced the word "the possibility," which appeared
6 in the law at that time, with the word "the fact."
7 Is that correct?

8 MS. LINDNER: It would have replaced a
9 number of things. It would have changed at least a
10 number of things.

11 MR. SMITH: Right, it would have; but
12 one of the things it would have changed is the word
13 "possibility" to "fact." Right?

14 MS. LINDNER: Yes. It talks about --
15 it would have replaced the whole thing from "fact"
16 onwards. The fact the invention solves or helps to
17 solve in a practical way a specific problem or
18 addresses a particular... all that.

19 MR. SMITH: Exactly. And the
20 Congressional committees and the Senate rejected that
21 change, that replacement of the word "possibility"
22 with "fact." Correct?

23 MS. LINDNER: Can we look at the
24 corresponding paragraph, please, or the relevant
25 paragraph?

www.dianaburden.com

1954
01:48

1 MR. SMITH: By relevant paragraph, I'm
2 not sure if you're referring to the provision as
3 enacted or to the explanation; but let's turn to the
4 explanation, if that makes sense. If you'd turn to
5 the next page, page 5, the fourth paragraph. This is
6 at tab 6 still. The first three words of this
7 paragraph are "*por otra parte*."
8 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
9 MR. SMITH: It continues: "Modifying
10 in the definition the concept 'possibility' to that
11 of 'fact' is a drawback and is contrary to the
12 provisions of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects
13 of Intellectual Property Rights (hereinafter TRIPS),
14 an international instrument of which Mexico is a
15 part." Right?
16 And it then continues to say that the
17 TRIPS Agreement establishes that industrial
18 application is a possibility, not a fact. So
19 according to the Congress, changing the word
20 "possibility" in Article 12-IV to fact would have
21 been contrary to Mexico's obligations under an
22 international treaty, right?
23 MS. LINDNER: This is true, but I
24 think that you need to put this in a context and look
25 at the next paragraph where an explanation is given

www.dianaburden.com

1955
01:50

1 in connection with the difficulty to establish an
2 industrial application definition under that idea.
3 It says there should be proof and the authorities
4 should reproduce the invention in order to prove the
5 existence of the referred-to industrial application.
6 Now, a denial of the existence of this
7 application by the authorities would be a complex
8 illustration and motivation for the administrative
9 authorities, and it would mean longer times and this
10 would prejudice the uses of the intellectual property
11 system and also the agility that these proceedings
12 entail. And it says here that they were, at the last
13 paragraph, for the purposes described in the
14 application. I think that here, the senators that
15 looked at this weighed a number of factors, including
16 the fact that the authority needed to provide correct
17 reasons for an act of the authority in connection
18 with denying a patent. And that responsibility could
19 not be attributed to them to reproduce the invention.
20 MR. SMITH: So the senators noted that
21 there are many reasons not to change the word
22 "possibility" to "fact," including the ones you just
23 discussed, right?
24 MS. LINDNER: That is correct, yes.
25 MR. SMITH: But an additional reason,

www.dianaburden.com

1956
01:52

1 and the first reason they mention in this report, was
2 the international law obligation of Mexico not to
3 make this change, correct?
4 MS. LINDNER: That is correct, yes.
5 MR. SMITH: In your presentation
6 today, I don't believe you mentioned when the word
7 "possibility" was added to Mexico's statute, did you?
8 MS. LINDNER: I did not. I was making
9 reference to the susceptibility of industrial
10 application.
11 MR. SMITH: The word "possibility" was
12 added to Mexico's definition of industrial
13 application in June 1991, right?
14 MS. LINDNER: Correct, yes.
15 MR. SMITH: At the time of that 1991
16 reform, a reform you described earlier today as
17 significant and market-oriented, I believe, Mexico
18 was involved in various international negotiations
19 relating to intellectual property, including NAFTA,
20 correct?
21 MS. LINDNER: I am not an expert in
22 international law, so I would not be able to answer
23 specific questions related to dates and as to when
24 negotiations may have started or how the relevant
25 process evolved.

www.dianaburden.com

1957
01:54

1 MR. SMITH: A second concern, as you
2 discussed earlier, that the Congressional report
3 highlights was that changing the word "possibility"
4 to "fact" would require applicants to provide proof
5 of industrial application, right?
6 MS. LINDNER: Well, it says here
7 textually if the term "fact" would be included, this
8 would be a necessity to provide proof, and the
9 authority would be obligated to reproduce the
10 invention to show the existence or fact of the
11 relevant industrial application. I'm not exactly
12 sure what this means here. There's a gerund in
13 Spanish.
14 MR. SMITH: Despite the gerund, the
15 text suggests that according to Congress, requiring
16 proof of industrial application would create
17 difficulties both for IMPI examiners and for
18 applicants, right?
19 MS. LINDNER: That could be one of the
20 interpretations of this text.
21 MR. SMITH: This legislative history
22 indicates that in Mexico in 2008, industrial
23 application was not already subject to proof, right?
24 MS. LINDNER: My understanding from
25 this document was that on many occasions, this was

www.dianaburden.com

1958
01:56

1 not specifically paid attention to. Now, if one of
2 the applicants met the other requirements, novelty,
3 inventiveness, et cetera, the examiner didn't really
4 focus on industrial applicability. That was my
5 understanding.
6 MR. SMITH: The final version adopted
7 after these various changes we have discussed were
8 rejected appears at tab 9 of your bundle. If you
9 could turn to tab 9. That is R-282. And if you go
10 past the blue page again and turn to the second page,
11 which at the top is labeled page 63, you can see
12 Article 12-IV in the middle of the page. Are you
13 there?
14 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
15 MR. SMITH: And this is the law
16 enacted in 2010 and still in force today. "Industrial
17 applicability. The possibility that an invention has
18 a practical utility or can be produced or used in any
19 field of economic activity for the purposes described
20 in the application."
21 The definition of industrial
22 application in Article 12-IV still incorporates the
23 word "possibility," not the word "fact," right?
24 MS. LINDNER: Yes. It also includes
25 two other provisions.

www.dianaburden.com

1959
01:58

1 MR. SMITH: One of those other
2 provisions is the phrase "has a practical utility."
3 Right?
4 MS. LINDNER: That is correct, yes.
5 MR. SMITH: And the Congress adopted
6 that objective phrase instead of adopting the
7 proposal which stated "helps to solve, in a practical
8 way, a specific problem or address a particular
9 situation," right?
10 MS. LINDNER: Yes. Congress preferred
11 the phrase "has a practical utility" instead of
12 "helps," et cetera.
13 MR. SMITH: At tab 6 again, going back
14 to the *Dictamén* which is R-276. I'm focused now on
15 this phrase "has a practical utility." If you count
16 down --
17 MS. LINDNER: What page are you on,
18 please?
19 MR. SMITH: Page 5. I apologize.
20 At page 5 I'm looking at the third
21 paragraph and at the very end of that paragraph. The
22 first few words of the paragraph are "*respecto de la*
23 *definición propuesta.*"
24 In that final sentence the Congress
25 says, "For this reason, these commissions consider

www.dianaburden.com

1960
02:00

1 adjusting the proposed wording to include that the
2 corresponding invention has a practical utility with
3 which it is deemed that the need of foreseeing said
4 utility is addressed without introducing elements
5 that could result in discretion or generate
6 confusion." Right?
7 MS. LINDNER: That's correct, yes, and
8 this is the conclusion after analyzing the term
9 "help." This is the conclusion reached by the
10 legislators, because they thought that "help" would
11 include subjective elements or discretionality and
12 would create confusion when assessing the patent, and
13 this could be counterproductive.
14 MR. SMITH: And their goal in adopting
15 the phrase "has a practical utility" was to avoid
16 that discretion and avoid confusion, right?
17 MS. LINDNER: Yes. And as part of
18 that purpose, to avoid confusion and to provide more
19 legal certainty in connection with processing
20 patents, the phrase "for the purposes described in
21 the application" was added, and this was stated in
22 the Statement of Motives and they thought that this
23 would meet the purposes of the reform in connection
24 with this provision.
25 MR. SMITH: I'd like to step away from

www.dianaburden.com

1961
02:02

1 Article 12 and turn to Article 16 of the same
2 statute. We can see Article 16 at tab 5, which is
3 R-279. And if you could, going past the blue sheet,
4 again, please turn to page 4, where we were before.
5 Do you see Article 16 there at the bottom? Right?
6 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
7 MR. SMITH: So Article 16 states the
8 substantive obligation for patentability in Mexico,
9 correct?
10 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
11 MR. SMITH: And it sets forth the
12 three main patentability requirements -- novelty,
13 inventive step, and industrial application. Right?
14 MS. LINDNER: That is correct, yes.
15 MR. SMITH: Just to state it for the
16 record, Article 16 says "Inventions that are new,
17 resulting from an inventive step, and susceptible of
18 industrial application under the terms of this law
19 shall be patentable." Correct?
20 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
21 MR. SMITH: And Article 12, which
22 appears above it on the same page in the same column,
23 provides just a definition for the term "industrial
24 application." Right?
25 MS. LINDNER: Yes.

www.dianaburden.com

1962
02:04

1 MR. SMITH: So under Mexican law, in
2 the operative provision of the statute, inventions
3 must simply be susceptible of industrial application,
4 right?
5 MS. LINDNER: Can I ask you why are we
6 looking at a provision that is no longer current and
7 that was already the subject matter of a reform?
8 MR. SMITH: Has Article 16 been
9 reformed as to the phrase "susceptible of industrial
10 application"?
11 MS. LINDNER: 16 wasn't reformed but
12 section IV of 12 was reformed and they are linked
13 together.
14 MR. SMITH: Yes. I am turning to this
15 because it is the exhibit in which the current
16 statutory language appears for Article 16. And you
17 don't dispute that that is the current statutory
18 language for Article 16, do you?
19 MS. LINDNER: I don't dispute that
20 Article 16 reads this way, but I do dispute that
21 Article 12-IV does state this way in its current
22 form.
23 MR. SMITH: I don't dispute that. So
24 you agree, don't you, that the word "possibility" in
25 the definition of "industrial application" today is

www.dianaburden.com

1963
02:06

1 consistent with the phrase "susceptible of industrial
2 application" in Article 16, right?
3 MS. LINDNER: I believe the definition
4 of "susceptible to industrial application" is in
5 section IV of Article 12. Otherwise, it'd be in
6 Article 16 itself. Article 16 is an indication of
7 the applicability and the scope, and the definition
8 is not contained in that same article.
9 MR. SMITH: Today, under the current
10 law, neither Article 16 nor Article 12-IV, the
11 provisions about industrial application, includes the
12 words "proof" or "prove," right?
13 MS. LINDNER: Well, that shouldn't be
14 there. It should be contained in the practical part
15 where you refer to the fact of implementation of
16 these requirements.
17 MR. SMITH: So you agree that neither
18 the word "proof" nor the word "prove" appears in
19 Article 16 or in Article 12-IV, right?
20 MS. LINDNER: There's no reason why
21 they should appear there. Now, I would want to
22 interpret Article 12-IV in its current way, not in
23 its historical versions.
24 MR. SMITH: And I am asking you about
25 its current state, not its historical application.

www.dianaburden.com

1964
02:08

1 These provisions, Article 16 and Article 12-IV also
2 do not include the words "evidence" or "fact."
3 Right?
4 MS. LINDNER: These two articles, as
5 they are here, do not.
6 MR. SMITH: And these two articles, as
7 they exist today in Mexican law, do not as well,
8 right?
9 MS. LINDNER: The possibility of
10 having something exist is the fact that it might --
11 or that it may exist. If you ask me whether I read
12 the word "evidence of proof" anywhere here, no, those
13 words are not there.
14 MR. SMITH: Let's change topics. I'd
15 like to discuss the record of patent litigation in
16 Mexico. If you could please turn to your
17 Second Report, which is at tab 2, and specifically to
18 paragraph 40. The paragraph begins, although I don't
19 have the Spanish in front of me, "Senora Gonzalez."
20 In this paragraph you state in absolute terms there
21 are not many patent cases raising industrial
22 applicability, but that is simply because there are
23 relatively few nullity proceedings involving patents.
24 And later in the same paragraph at the very end you
25 say again that there are "very few patent nullity

www.dianaburden.com

1965
02:10

1 proceedings that are initiated." Is that right?
2 MS. LINDNER: More or less. Do you
3 want me to read any part of the paragraph?
4 MR. SMITH: No. I just would like to
5 ask whether it is your opinion that there are
6 relatively few or very few patent nullity proceedings
7 initiated in Mexico. Is that your view?
8 MS. LINDNER: Patent nullity cases,
9 yes.
10 MR. SMITH: Just above paragraph 40,
11 in paragraph 39, you provide some specific
12 statistics. You say -- and this is the paragraph
13 that begins further, Ms. Gonzalez's claims, you say
14 on average at the end of the paragraph, less than 13
15 patent validity challenge proceedings were filed over
16 this period referred to by Ms. Gonzalez. Is that
17 right?
18 MS. LINDNER: I can't find No. 13
19 there.
20 MR. SMITH: At the very end, the last
21 sentence in paragraph 39. This is just an annual
22 average, 13 challenges per year. Is that right?
23 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
24 MR. SMITH: And for this count, you
25 relied on a document that you received -- or that

www.dianaburden.com

1966
02:13

1 came from IMPI, right? I think it appears at tab 11,
2 if we could turn to tab 11. This is Exhibit R-460.
3 This document shows the number of nullity actions
4 filed each year specifically regarding patents,
5 right?
6 MS. LINDNER: I have it here.
7 MR. SMITH: This shows the number of
8 nullity actions filed each year regarding patents
9 specifically, right?
10 MS. LINDNER: That is correct.
11 MR. SMITH: And it goes back to 1994,
12 when NAFTA entered into force, and it comes through
13 November 2015, right?
14 MS. LINDNER: That is correct.
15 MR. SMITH: I'm sure you cannot add
16 these rows in your head, but would you agree that
17 there have been a total of 287 patent nullity actions
18 in Mexico since NAFTA entered into force?
19 MS. LINDNER: There's many years, but
20 yes.
21 MR. SMITH: Many years. The total is
22 just short of 300. That total, since 1994, is not
23 very few, is it?
24 MS. LINDNER: I would say that they
25 are few cases. There's not many cases. Not 250 per

www.dianaburden.com

1967
02:15

1 year, which is what you could deduce from
2 Mrs. Gonzalez's statement.
3 MR. SMITH: If we focus on the more
4 recent period, just from 2005 through November 2015,
5 the total is 185 nullity actions in Mexico, right? I
6 realize that would be hard for you to add. It's just
7 short of 200.
8 MS. LINDNER: From what year to what
9 year?
10 MR. SMITH: 2005 through most of 2015.
11 So from row 12 of this table through row 22.
12 MS. LINDNER: Well, I don't know what
13 the total is, but I guess I'll have to believe you.
14 I'm not that good at math.
15 MR. SMITH: Not a problem. I had to
16 add these myself separately. Ms. Gonzalez has
17 testified that approximately ten patent nullity
18 actions are resolved on the merits each year. Do you
19 agree with that estimate?
20 MS. LINDNER: Well, I think it should
21 be clarified that this document refers to requests
22 for administrative declaration and not solved nullity
23 cases. These solved nullity cases are in another
24 document which also appears here, and the total of
25 the solved nullity cases for the period from 1998 to

www.dianaburden.com

1968
02:16

1 2015 is the one that appears here because IMPI was
2 consulted in the answer that from 1994 to 1997 there
3 wasn't even one single case -- resolved, of course.
4 MR. SMITH: If you're referring to the
5 other document from IMPI that shows the number of
6 patents that have been invalidated, we will turn to
7 that in just a moment. I'm just asking now about the
8 estimate from Ms. Gonzalez regarding how many patent
9 nullity actions are resolved, without regard to
10 result, just completed each year in Mexico, and
11 asking whether you agree that that number is
12 approximately ten per year.
13 MS. LINDNER: I can't recall the
14 figures of Ms. Gonzalez, but I think she spoke about
15 5,000 procedures and about 20 percent, that is about
16 1,000, had to do with patents. I don't recall
17 whether they were nullity cases, and that percentage
18 is over a certain number of years. But I don't
19 recall having this come up to ten per year. Or maybe
20 I understood the figures incorrectly.
21 MR. SMITH: How many patent nullity
22 actions, in your view, are resolved on the merits
23 each year in Mexico?
24 MS. LINDNER: I never -- I have never
25 asked IMPI, and IMPI is the only body that can

www.dianaburden.com

1969
02:18

1 provide that answer about an average. That could be
2 calculated here, but it doesn't apply only to
3 pharmaceutical patents. The percentage applying to
4 pharmaceutical patents is very low indeed.
5 MR. SMITH: Let's turn to the other
6 document you received from IMPI. It's at tab 12.
7 Are you there?
8 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
9 MR. SMITH: This report from IMPI
10 tells us, as I understand it, how many and which
11 patents have been invalidated year by year. Is that
12 correct?
13 MS. LINDNER: That is correct.
14 MR. SMITH: And if we focus -- if you
15 turn to the second page and we focus on the years
16 since 2005, from 2005 through September 2015, we can
17 see that 30 patents have been invalidated in nullity
18 proceedings in the last decade. Is that right?
19 MS. LINDNER: Yes. In the last decade
20 that is from 2005 to 2015.
21 MR. SMITH: Yes. And this total does
22 not include nullity actions that were resolved in
23 favor of the patent holder, right?
24 MS. LINDNER: That is the case.
25 MR. SMITH: So with nearly 200 nullity

www.dianaburden.com

1970
02:21

1 actions filed and presumably well over 30 nullity
2 actions resolved since 2005, don't you agree that the
3 litigation record in Mexico is sufficient to assess
4 the impact of the industrial application requirement?
5 MS. LINDNER: I think not. I would
6 say not, because from all these procedures we have
7 here and including from those that were denied, where
8 nullity was denied, we would have to see how many
9 referred to pharmaceutical patents. And from what I
10 recall having seen, from these only 16 correspond to
11 pharmaceutical patents.
12 MR. SMITH: The industrial application
13 requirement does not apply only to pharmaceutical
14 patents, does it?
15 MS. LINDNER: No. It applies to all
16 patents.
17 MR. SMITH: Yet, not a single patent
18 in any field of technology has been invalidated based
19 on the industrial application requirement, right?
20 MS. LINDNER: I haven't found any case
21 of nullity as such. I think there's a procedure
22 being discussed now, but I haven't found any from
23 what you refer.
24 MR. SMITH: Let's turn to Lilly's
25 Mexican patents for Zyprexa and Strattera. The

www.dianaburden.com

1971
02:22

1 patent numbers are MX173791 for Zyprexa. Is that
2 correct?
3 MS. LINDNER: I don't know. I don't
4 recall by memory.
5 MR. SMITH: The file history for this
6 patent appears at tab 20, and it's C-426, if you'd
7 like to refresh your recollection of the number. So
8 the validity of this -- let me back up. This patent
9 application never received a rejection or objection
10 on the basis of industrial applicability during
11 prosecution, did it?
12 MS. LINDNER: During which
13 prosecution? I think I said prosecution. There was
14 the processing.
15 MR. SMITH: During the application
16 process before IMPI for this patent, there was never
17 an industrial application objection from an examiner,
18 right?
19 MS. LINDNER: That is the case.
20 MR. SMITH: And the validity of this
21 patent was never challenged after the patent issued,
22 correct?
23 MS. LINDNER: That's true, although
24 that does not mean that the patent was valid or the
25 examination was valid. The patent I think already

www.dianaburden.com

1972
02:25

1 expired.
2 MR. SMITH: Let's turn to the other
3 patent, for Strattera. That patent for Strattera was
4 never subject to an industrial application objection
5 during prosecution, was it?
6 MS. LINDNER: Can you tell me where it
7 is in the binder?
8 MR. SMITH: Yes. It appears at
9 tab 22.
10 MS. LINDNER: And what was the
11 question?
12 MR. SMITH: This patent application
13 never received an objection on the basis of
14 industrial application, right?
15 MS. LINDNER: On the basis of
16 industrial application it wasn't, but there was
17 substantive requirement connected to this. And this
18 is something I don't see here.
19 MR. SMITH: This patent was granted by
20 IMPI, correct?
21 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
22 MR. SMITH: And the validity of this
23 patent was never challenged after its issuance,
24 correct?
25 MS. LINDNER: That is correct,

www.dianaburden.com

1973
02:26

1 although I would repeat what I said regarding Zyprexa
2 a moment ago, and this patent already expired as
3 well.
4 MR. SMITH: This patent expired this
5 year, didn't it?
6 MS. LINDNER: In January, yes. That's
7 what I think.
8 MR. SMITH: In January of 2016, right?
9 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
10 MR. SMITH: That's five or six years
11 after the 2010 reforms, isn't it?
12 MS. LINDNER: Yes.
13 MR. SMITH: And there was no
14 litigation challenge of any kind to this patent
15 during that period, right?
16 MS. LINDNER: For that to happen,
17 someone has to be interested in the product, and
18 there should be an evaluation of the cost benefit of
19 attacking a patent in this way.
20 MR. SMITH: And no one asked you or
21 your law firm to file such a challenge, right?
22 MS. LINDNER: No.
23 MR. SMITH: That's all I have,
24 Mr. President.
25 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

www.dianaburden.com

1974
02:28

1 Mr. Spelliscy?
2 MR. SPELLISCY: Give us one quick
3 minute to discuss.
4 THE PRESIDENT: Sure.
5 MR. SPELLISCY: We have no redirect.
6 Thank you.
7 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. The
8 Tribunal has no questions either.
9 Ms. Lindner, thank you for testifying.
10 You are now excused as a witness, and you are
11 released.
12 MS. LINDNER: Thank you very much.
13 THE PRESIDENT: This ends, then, the
14 evidentiary phase of the hearing. We understand that
15 we start on Wednesday at 9:00 with the closing
16 submissions, each side three and a half hours. You
17 want one or two breaks per presentation?
18 MS. CHEEK: I think one likely should
19 be sufficient.
20 THE PRESIDENT: Coffee break or tea
21 break.
22 MS. CHEEK: One coffee break per side.
23 I believe the Procedural Order provides for some
24 rebuttal. So I don't think each side will be going
25 three and a half hours. It will likely be shorter

www.dianaburden.com

1975
02:29

1 than that.
2 MR. SPELLISCY: I would think one
3 break during the original and then probably a break
4 before the rebuttals after the presentations.
5 THE PRESIDENT: Very good. Then the
6 Tribunal will submit to you questions tonight which
7 we hope will give you enough time. Of course, the
8 Tribunal prefers if you can address them on
9 Wednesday, but we're aware there may be some
10 questions you say no, we need more research or that
11 you say we would like initially to give a first
12 reaction to the question but we would like to expound
13 on it in the post-hearing briefs. And that's fine
14 for the Tribunal so that you don't feel pressed in
15 that respect. Is that acceptable to the parties?
16 MS. CHEEK: That's acceptable to the
17 Claimant. Thank you.
18 MR. SPELLISCY: That's acceptable.
19 THE PRESIDENT: Then the final thing
20 is the United States has already taken leave.
21 Nonetheless, they have asked the Tribunal whether
22 they could have a copy of the questions which we will
23 submit to you tonight. Is there any objection by the
24 parties to the Tribunal providing the United States
25 and then of course by the same measure then we would

www.dianaburden.com

1976
02:31

1 also give it to Mexico?
2 MS. CHEEK: I'm not sure why that's
3 necessary, since they're not being asked to answer
4 those questions and we will be presenting our answers
5 during the course of closing arguments on Wednesday .
6 MR. SPELLISCY: The Respondent would
7 have no objection to it. I think it's perfectly fine
8 to share the questions with them. It would be a
9 document issued by the Tribunal which I understand
10 under the Procedural Order, unless it contains
11 confidential information, it would be a public
12 document as it is.
13 THE PRESIDENT: To my knowledge, the
14 questions will not contain confidential information.
15 What we might do is when we send it to you, we wait
16 until you have reviewed them and if you say, wait a
17 moment, there is indeed a confidential question, it
18 may be that we were not aware of it, then we delay a
19 little bit. What I understand it to be is more so
20 they can follow your presentations than anything
21 else.
22 MS. CHEEK: I see. As long as
23 Claimant has an opportunity to quickly review before
24 it's provided to the non-disputing parties, that
25 would be acceptable to Claimant.

www.dianaburden.com

1977
02:32

1 THE PRESIDENT: I think it's fair
2 enough to proceed in that way. We will send it to
3 you tonight. Then let us know tomorrow in the course
4 of the day, and then we will submit it to the
5 non-disputing parties.
6 Anything else? Ms. Cheek?
7 MS. CHEEK: Nothing from the Claimant.
8 MR. SPELLISCY: Nothing from the
9 Respondent.
10 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.
11 Then we will see each other Wednesday morning at
12 9:00.
13 (Hearing adjourned at 2:32 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

www.dianaburden.com

	1897/11	1912/18
MR.	1897/19	1912/23
CHAJON: [14]	1898/14	1913/4
1846/9	1907/15	1913/10
1846/14	1907/19	1913/14
1848/24	1907/24	1913/22
1849/16	1908/3 1908/7	1914/7
1850/5	1908/12	1914/15
1861/17	1908/20	1915/14
1894/24	1908/23	1915/20
1895/2	1909/2 1909/7	1916/13
1895/11	1909/10	1916/17
1897/25	1909/13	1916/21
1898/8	1909/16	1917/4
1906/21	1909/23	1917/18
1917/23	1910/3 1910/8	1918/8
1920/23	1910/16	1918/21
MR.	1910/20	1919/3
SALAZAR:	1910/25	1919/13
[56] 1895/24	1911/7	1919/19
1896/9	1911/11	1920/4
1896/16	1911/18	1920/14
1896/25	1912/1 1912/7	1921/4
1897/6	1912/11	MR. SMITH:
		[120] 1937/15

	1945/15	1953/1
MR. SMITH:...	1945/18	1953/10
[119] 1937/19	1945/24	1953/18
1937/22	1946/3 1946/5	1953/25
1938/4 1938/8	1946/9	1954/8
1938/23	1946/18	1955/19
1939/7	1946/24	1955/24
1939/15	1947/6	1956/4
1940/10	1947/17	1956/10
1940/18	1947/24	1956/14
1940/21	1948/5	1956/25
1940/24	1948/18	1957/13
1941/4 1941/9	1949/8	1957/20
1941/16	1949/17	1958/5
1941/21	1950/2 1950/5	1958/14
1942/6	1950/12	1958/25
1942/12	1951/2	1959/4
1942/20	1951/11	1959/12
1943/9	1951/20	1959/18
1943/18	1952/4	1960/13
1944/4	1952/10	1960/24
1944/10	1952/15	1961/6
1944/17	1952/20	1961/10
1945/10	1952/23	1961/14

<p>MR. SMITH:..... [45] 1961/20 1961/25 1962/7 1962/13 1962/22 1963/8 1963/16 1963/23 1964/5 1964/13 1965/3 1965/9 1965/19 1965/23 1966/6 1966/10 1966/14 1966/20 1967/2 1967/9 1967/14 1968/3 1968/20</p>	<p>1969/4 1969/8 1969/13 1969/20 1969/24 1970/11 1970/16 1970/23 1971/4 1971/14 1971/19 1972/1 1972/7 1972/11 1972/18 1972/21 1973/3 1973/7 1973/9 1973/12 1973/19 1973/22 MR. SPELLISCY: [9] 1844/24 1921/18 1937/9 1974/1</p>	<p>1974/4 1975/1 1975/17 1976/5 1977/7 MS. CHEEK: [17] 1843/4 1843/7 1844/21 1845/9 1845/24 1846/6 1846/15 1846/20 1897/21 1921/14 1937/5 1974/17 1974/21 1975/15 1976/1 1976/21 1977/6 MS. GONZALEZ: [110] 1846/25</p>
---	--	---

	1865/16	1872/19
MS.	1866/2	1873/2 1873/6
GONZALEZ:...	1866/13	1873/15
[109] 1847/6	1866/17	1873/25
1847/16	1867/1 1867/7	1874/6
1847/22	1867/11	1874/12
1848/5	1867/16	1874/25
1848/11	1867/21	1875/14
1848/17	1868/18	1875/18
1848/21	1868/21	1876/1
1850/9 1851/9	1869/12	1876/17
1856/17	1869/16	1877/5
1862/8	1869/20	1877/20
1862/13	1870/7	1878/2 1878/5
1862/17	1870/12	1878/20
1862/21	1870/18	1879/2 1879/7
1862/24	1871/3	1879/14
1863/4	1871/10	1879/21
1863/16	1871/15	1880/13
1863/22	1871/21	1880/20
1864/9	1871/24	1881/3
1864/18	1872/3 1872/7	1881/12
1865/2 1865/7	1872/10	1881/16
1865/13	1872/13	1881/23

<p>MS. GONZALEZ:... [33] 1882/19 1883/1 1883/11 1884/3 1884/11 1884/17 1884/23 1885/8 1885/15 1885/24 1886/7 1887/3 1887/15 1888/4 1888/21 1889/21 1890/2 1890/13 1890/19 1891/3 1891/11</p>	<p>1891/17 1891/24 1892/4 1892/8 1892/14 1892/19 1893/14 1893/22 1894/1 1894/14 1895/9 1895/18 MS. LINDNER: [131] 1921/24 1922/7 1922/13 1922/22 1923/3 1923/7 1923/14 1923/18 1934/7 1934/16 1934/20 1937/18</p>	<p>1937/21 1937/25 1938/7 1938/11 1939/3 1939/13 1939/17 1940/5 1940/9 1940/17 1940/20 1940/23 1941/1 1941/6 1941/11 1941/19 1941/25 1942/9 1942/15 1942/23 1943/11 1943/21 1944/7 1944/13 1945/1 1945/13</p>
---	--	---

	1953/13	1963/2
MS.	1953/22	1963/12
LINDNER:...	1954/7	1963/19
[93] 1945/17	1954/22	1964/3 1964/8
1945/21	1955/23	1965/1 1965/7
1946/2 1946/4	1956/3 1956/7	1965/17
1946/7	1956/13	1965/22
1946/12	1956/20	1966/5 1966/9
1946/21	1957/5	1966/13
1947/2 1947/9	1957/18	1966/18
1947/20	1957/23	1966/23
1948/1 1948/8	1958/13	1967/7
1948/22	1958/23	1967/11
1949/14	1959/3 1959/9	1967/19
1950/11	1959/16	1968/12
1950/21	1960/6	1968/23
1951/9	1960/16	1969/7
1951/17	1961/5 1961/9	1969/12
1952/3 1952/9	1961/13	1969/18
1952/13	1961/19	1969/23
1952/19	1961/24	1970/4
1952/22	1962/4	1970/14
1952/25	1962/10	1970/19
1953/7	1962/18	1971/2

<p>MS. LINDNER:..... [14] 1971/11 1971/18 1971/22 1972/5 1972/9 1972/14 1972/20 1972/24 1973/5 1973/8 1973/11 1973/15 1973/21 1974/11</p>	<p>THE INTERPRETE R: [1] 1886/12 THE PRESIDENT: [94] 1842/17 1843/6 1844/19 1844/23 1845/8 1846/2 1846/8 1846/12 1846/18 1846/21 1847/3 1847/8 1847/17 1848/1 1848/6 1848/12 1848/18 1848/22 1849/14 1850/2 1851/7 1856/14 1861/15</p>	<p>1861/18 1863/9 1864/4 1864/12 1868/3 1868/7 1868/11 1869/5 1870/20 1875/22 1883/13 1883/19 1886/16 1886/20 1887/21 1889/1 1889/5 1889/14 1892/12 1894/22 1895/12 1895/15 1895/21 1896/2 1896/11 1896/19 1897/1 1897/8</p>
<p>MS. MONTPLAISI R: [161] SIR DANIEL BETHLEHEM: [4] 1845/21 1845/25 1846/4 1914/22</p>		

	1934/18	'possibility' [1]
	1936/20	1954/10
THE	1937/2 1937/7	0
PRESIDENT:...	1937/11	068 [1]
. [43] 1897/17	1940/1 1940/7	1904/12
1897/23	1949/23	1
1898/5	1950/4	1,000 [2]
1898/11	1973/24	1860/8
1906/23	1974/3 1974/6	1968/16
1914/19	1974/12	10 [9] 1848/8
1915/4	1974/19	1848/15
1917/21	1975/4	1867/9
1918/1 1918/6	1975/18	1867/15
1918/18	1976/12	1875/5
1920/21	1976/25	1875/13
1920/25	1977/9	1896/23
1921/6	'	1897/4
1921/16	'76 [1]	1914/20
1921/21	1873/12	1050 [1]
1922/1 1922/8	'97 [2]	1839/7
1922/17	1907/20	11 [5] 1849/20
1922/24	1908/14	1850/5
1923/5 1923/8	'fact' [1]	1914/24
1934/3	1954/11	
1934/12		

1	1958/22	1912/4 1934/2
11... [2]	120 [1]	1936/13
1966/1 1966/2	1899/18	1939/10
11,440 [1]	1201 [1]	1961/1 1961/2
1903/1	1840/12	1961/5 1961/7
12 [14]	125 [1]	1961/16
1874/12	1841/12	1962/8
1878/14	13 [4] 1915/6	1962/11
1880/9	1965/14	1962/16
1881/11	1965/18	1962/18
1886/2 1895/5	1965/22	1962/20
1917/20	15 [4] 1867/20	1963/2 1963/6
1921/11	1867/24	1963/6
1961/1	1868/5 1869/3	1963/10
1961/21	15 years [1]	1963/19
1962/12	1869/15	1964/1
1963/5	16 [28]	1970/10
1967/11	1852/16	16 percent [1]
1969/6	1852/17	1950/10
12-IV [6]	1852/17	160 [1]
1875/6 1878/1	1859/24	1840/16
1879/6	1874/16	17 [1] 1923/2
1879/13	1881/8	1709 [1]
1889/25	1900/18	1881/3

1	1925/22	1874/3 1874/8
173791 [1]	1977 [2]	1874/12
1905/19	1838/24	1874/16
18 [2] 1913/10	1926/2	1874/24
1913/12	1989 [1]	1875/8 1891/8
1838-1977 [1]	1907/15	1891/13
1838/24	199 [1]	1925/20
185 [1] 1967/5	1875/21	1926/20
18th [1]	1991 [28]	1956/13
1878/8	1853/9	1956/15
19 [1] 1936/14	1853/18	1993 [4]
19 percent [1]	1853/24	1898/23
1950/8	1859/4 1861/9	1899/1
19 years [2]	1867/19	1907/18
1876/5	1867/24	1909/23
1876/13	1869/4	1994 [23]
1976 [12]	1869/12	1854/15
1838/4 1869/3	1869/20	1856/24
1869/12	1869/21	1858/18
1870/3 1873/2	1870/3	1859/4 1870/9
1873/4 1873/6	1871/14	1870/15
1873/9 1874/8	1871/17	1871/3 1871/8
1874/11	1871/18	1871/15
1925/2	1873/22	1874/8

1	1C3 [1] 1840/17	2000 to [1] 1908/15
1994... [13] 1874/24 1875/5 1875/7 1875/12 1875/21 1880/10 1924/8 1926/6 1927/6 1936/7 1966/11 1966/22 1968/2	1PS [1] 1839/13	2000 until [1] 1899/11
	2	20004-2041 [1] 1840/12
	20 [6] 1839/15 1868/17 1868/18 1873/14 1889/5 1971/6	2001 [1] 1924/16
	20 percent [2] 1860/14 1968/15	2002 [6] 1899/11 1899/14 1908/5 1908/10 1908/15 1908/18
1996 [2] 1907/18 1907/21	20 years [2] 1898/24 1906/16	2005 [7] 1843/22 1967/4 1967/10 1969/16 1969/16 1969/20 1970/2
1997 [5] 1899/1 1908/5 1908/10 1926/3 1968/2	20,218 [1] 1902/25	
1998 [2] 1924/16 1967/25	200 [3] 1899/17 1967/7 1969/25	
1999 [1] 1944/13	2000 [1] 1908/14	2008 [8]

2	1879/1	1944/24
2008... [8]	1881/11	1945/13
1855/10	1889/18	1958/16
1881/20	1889/25	1973/11
1901/10	1890/24	2011 [11]
1911/22	1891/8 1891/9	1844/15
1945/17	1891/14	1862/8
1951/9	1891/21	1862/17
1952/12	1892/2	1863/22
1957/22	1893/17	1864/9
2009 [5]	1894/4	1864/11
1863/21	1901/11	1865/2 1865/6
1864/9	1902/25	1865/9
1864/11	1906/15	1865/21
1865/2	1909/2	1924/11
1865/15	1911/24	2012 [6]
2010 [37]	1912/21	1863/6
1855/10	1913/9	1899/14
1858/6 1876/4	1914/12	1908/20
1876/12	1915/12	1908/23
1878/7	1915/18	1909/23
1878/14	1917/16	1917/4
1878/19	1927/12	2013 [1]
1878/22	1927/16	1844/7

2	202275 [1] 1905/19	26 [4] 1848/9 1896/22
2014 [2] 1848/9 1896/22	2041 [1] 1840/12	1913/19 1922/20
2015 [10] 1848/15 1897/4 1922/20 1923/1 1966/13 1967/4 1967/10 1968/1 1969/16 1969/20	21 [1] 1897/3 22 [7] 1848/15 1877/17 1877/25 1878/4 1878/6 1967/11 1972/9	2600 [1] 1840/16 27 and [1] 1936/16
2016 [4] 1838/21 1842/1 1909/13 1973/8	238 [2] 1868/18 1873/14	275 [3] 1868/3 1868/3 1873/23
202 [2] 1876/11 1889/25	24 [5] 1879/5 1879/11 1880/16 1888/13 1888/15	276 [4] 1886/12 1890/6 1952/17 1959/14
202.662.6000 [1] 1840/13	24 hours [2] 1843/14 1843/16	279 [4] 1875/5 1875/14 1951/14 1961/3
	250 [1] 1966/25	28 [2] 1932/21 1948/11
		282 [1] 1958/9 283 [6]

<p>2</p> <hr/> <p>283... [6] 1881/11 1886/3 1895/5 1917/20 1949/13 1949/19</p> <p>287 [1] 1966/17</p> <p>297 [1] 1873/14</p> <p>2:32 p.m [1] 1977/13</p>	<p>1945/20 1946/2 1947/2</p> <p>33 [1] 1922/20 39 [2] 1965/11 1965/21</p> <p>3AL [1] 1839/16</p> <hr/> <p>4</p> <hr/> <p>40 [2] 1964/18 1965/10</p> <p>41 [3] 1939/9 1939/10 1939/17</p>	<p>1934/22 1936/16</p> <p>47-I [1] 1935/1 480-Box [1] 1839/7</p> <p>49 [1] 1839/12 495 [5] 1844/13 1845/23 1846/5 1846/11 1846/11</p> <hr/> <p>5</p> <hr/> <p>5,000 [2] 1860/7 1968/15</p> <p>50 percent [1] 1903/2</p> <p>500 [1] 1860/21</p> <p>501 [5] 1843/10 1843/20 1844/19</p>
<p>3</p> <hr/> <p>30 [6] 1856/24 1914/10 1915/1 1915/6 1969/17 1970/1</p> <p>300 [1] 1966/22</p> <p>302 [1] 1905/1</p> <p>31 [4] 1936/16</p>	<p>418 [2] 1901/15 1912/4</p> <p>42 [2] 1939/10 1940/13</p> <p>426 [1] 1971/6</p> <p>460 [1] 1966/2</p> <p>47 [7] 1890/25 1893/2 1893/8 1927/7 1934/11</p>	

5	791 [1] 1905/23	1860/7
501... [2] 1846/9 1846/10	9	1860/20 1860/24
531 [2] 1844/5 1844/10	916 [1] 1903/25	1861/23 1869/10
55 [3] 1935/16 1935/25 1951/20	9:00 [2] 1974/15 1977/12	1884/8 1890/16 1895/4
552 [1] 1903/15	9th [1] 1839/6	1902/18 1903/10 1905/14
6	A	1907/7 1918/1 1918/18
6-11 [1] 1849/20	abandon [1] 1869/19	1932/5
60 percent [1] 1950/9	abbreviation [1] 1850/22	1933/22
613.233.1781 [1] 1840/17	able [4] 1915/9 1927/8 1928/1	1935/18 1937/24
63 [1] 1958/11	1956/22	1948/25 1949/2 1951/1
7	about [33] 1843/25 1850/19 1851/4 1859/24	1953/14 1963/11 1963/24 1968/7 1968/14
700 [1] 1860/21		
78 [1] 1936/6		

<p>A</p> <p>about... [3] 1968/15 1968/15 1969/1</p> <p>above [8] 1848/10 1848/17 1896/25 1897/6 1922/21 1923/3 1961/22 1965/10</p> <p>absolute [1] 1964/20</p> <p>abuse [1] 1929/9</p> <p>academic [3] 1843/10 1843/22 1844/4</p> <p>acceptable [6] 1921/17</p>	<p>1921/19 1975/15 1975/16 1975/18 1976/25</p> <p>accepts [1] 1885/1</p> <p>access [5] 1862/16 1863/2 1863/20 1911/17 1948/25</p> <p>accompanied [2] 1925/7 1946/17</p> <p>accompanyin g [2] 1946/13 1946/15</p> <p>accomplished [1] 1901/24</p> <p>accordance [3] 1896/19 1906/10</p>	<p>1922/17</p> <p>according [7] 1858/4 1878/17 1901/22 1910/9 1919/17 1954/19 1957/15</p> <p>account [2] 1893/7 1897/13</p> <p>accredit [1] 1932/19</p> <p>acid [1] 1905/11</p> <p>acronym [1] 1942/17</p> <p>act [14] 1859/4 1859/4 1867/20 1868/16 1869/3 1870/11</p>
--	--	---

A	1970/1 1970/2	add [7] 1843/9
act... [8]	active [1]	1876/15
1874/11	1846/23	1881/1 1892/3
1874/12	activities [2]	1966/15
1875/5 1875/7	1855/6	1967/6
1875/8	1925/15	1967/16
1876/10	activity [14]	added [9]
1910/20	1853/3 1855/1	1858/14
1955/17	1855/4	1875/17
action [1]	1855/25	1876/8 1890/1
1913/17	1858/11	1891/11
actions [15]	1900/22	1894/9 1956/7
1860/9	1901/4	1956/12
1860/10	1912/23	1960/21
1860/11	1925/20	addition [5]
1860/12	1927/2	1897/17
1899/7 1966/3	1932/15	1914/13
1966/8	1933/6 1952/9	1915/13
1966/17	1958/19	1927/5 1931/4
1967/5	acts [2]	additional [4]
1967/18	1925/10	1843/9
1968/9	1951/1	1913/21
1968/22	actually [1]	1937/11
1969/22	1855/10	1955/25

<p>A</p> <p>address [5] 1888/3 1930/16 1930/22 1959/8 1975/8</p> <p>addressed [1] 1960/4</p> <p>addresses [2] 1855/22 1953/18</p> <p>adjourned [1] 1977/13</p> <p>adjust [3] 1858/2 1888/6 1888/10</p> <p>adjusting [1] 1960/1</p> <p>adjuvar [1] 1879/24</p> <p>administers [1] 1852/1</p> <p>administrative [5] 1843/3</p>	<p>1910/15 1913/14 1955/8 1967/22</p> <p>adopt [4] 1870/11 1870/16 1871/9 1927/4</p> <p>adopted [7] 1867/19 1869/14 1871/2 1872/17 1925/22 1958/6 1959/5</p> <p>adopting [2] 1959/6 1960/14</p> <p>ADRIAN [1] 1841/5</p> <p>advice [1] 1944/16</p> <p>advisable [2] 1882/6</p>	<p>1928/22</p> <p>advise [2] 1942/5 1942/19</p> <p>advised [3] 1935/24 1945/25 1946/6</p> <p>advisor [4] 1899/12 1908/16 1944/12 1944/14</p> <p>AFFAIRS [1] 1841/11</p> <p>affidavit [1] 1844/6</p> <p>after [24] 1843/16 1843/21 1844/15 1848/8 1854/13 1858/6</p>
--	--	---

<p>A</p> <hr/> <p>after... [18] 1873/11 1878/19 1879/1 1891/5 1896/23 1909/1 1913/9 1914/12 1915/12 1915/17 1921/13 1923/21 1958/7 1960/8 1971/21 1972/23 1973/11 1975/4</p> <p>afternoon [2] 1921/22 1921/25</p> <p>again [17] 1850/12 1853/22 1864/13</p>	<p>1870/19 1870/22 1873/12 1882/20 1884/12 1884/24 1885/16 1934/20 1939/14 1940/7 1958/10 1959/13 1961/4 1964/25</p> <p>agency [1] 1910/15</p> <p>agility [1] 1955/11</p> <p>ago [2] 1936/7 1973/2</p> <p>agree [19] 1845/6 1876/12 1883/10</p>	<p>1891/9 1915/10 1915/15 1916/15 1918/25 1919/10 1948/20 1949/9 1950/13 1950/17 1962/24 1963/17 1966/16 1967/19 1968/11 1970/2</p> <p>agreed [14] 1845/3 1845/13 1845/15 1845/17 1845/18 1845/19 1849/8</p>
---	--	---

A	1924/18	1866/5 1866/6
agreed... [7]	aims [2]	1877/20
1849/10	1928/6	1878/2
1849/12	1931/10	1878/13
1849/23	AIPPI [1]	1895/12
1853/12	1924/15	1898/17
1853/23	ajvandenbergh	1899/7 1900/2
1891/7	[1] 1839/8	1902/15
1947/22	ALBERT [1]	1909/11
agreement [7]	1839/5	1909/16
1838/3	ALEXANDER	1909/18
1854/13	[2] 1840/5	1911/20
1856/13	1840/9	1915/21
1856/20	all [38]	1916/10
1856/24	1844/22	1920/9
1954/12	1845/7	1924/19
1954/17	1845/20	1938/22
agriculture [1]	1847/1	1939/20
1854/2	1849/11	1943/24
ahead [2]	1850/22	1948/17
1843/14	1851/6 1854/4	1953/18
1853/20	1854/9 1855/5	1970/6
aid [1] 1936/4	1860/22	1970/15
aimed [1]	1864/24	1973/23

A	1849/25	also [54]
allow [3]	alongside [1]	1840/19
1851/3	1844/3	1841/16
1881/25	already [21]	1845/16
1916/25	1844/11	1849/16
allowed [2]	1844/12	1849/20
1889/10	1846/6	1850/16
1936/25	1849/14	1851/1
allows [2]	1858/15	1852/21
1933/24	1876/23	1857/13
1935/16	1876/25	1860/10
alluded [1]	1877/14	1862/1
1929/19	1877/15	1866/11
almost [7]	1879/25	1872/6 1873/1
1850/20	1902/3	1885/21
1850/22	1912/16	1886/15
1869/15	1927/12	1886/21
1898/23	1932/4 1934/6	1892/3
1906/15	1934/10	1898/21
1906/16	1957/23	1898/22
1950/9	1962/7	1899/3 1899/6
alone [1]	1971/25	1901/14
1946/16	1973/2	1902/17
along [1]	1975/20	1902/20

A	1958/24	1874/7 1875/7
also... [29]	1964/1	1897/15
1903/23	1967/24	1898/19
1904/9	1976/1	1903/13
1904/15	alter [2]	1916/23
1905/12	1928/5 1936/5	1916/24
1905/13	altered [1]	1917/5 1917/8
1905/17	1855/9	1923/23
1909/16	alternative [2]	1924/6 1924/9
1910/11	1932/12	1927/13
1912/25	1948/4	1942/10
1913/13	although [5]	1944/14
1919/22	1853/21	1946/3 1948/9
1926/15	1942/18	1956/21
1929/11	1964/18	1962/14
1930/20	1971/23	1963/24
1931/16	1973/1	amend [4]
1931/17	always [1]	1855/11
1932/2 1934/3	1924/1	1871/8
1938/2 1941/3	am [24]	1871/20
1942/2 1943/1	1850/12	1871/23
1945/9	1850/18	amended [6]
1949/25	1850/24	1856/7 1878/8
1955/11	1866/18	1904/6 1906/4

A	1912/15	1943/24
amended... [2]	1913/9	1943/24
1926/6	1914/13	1944/12
1927/19	1915/12	1944/15
amendment	1945/17	1944/19
[13] 1858/25	1951/5	1944/24
1872/2	AMERICAN [1]	1945/6 1946/6
1872/24	1838/3	1946/9
1876/22	amino [1]	1946/12
1878/9	1905/11	1946/14
1878/23	among [1]	1946/15
1901/9	1926/5	1946/18
1901/11	amongst [1]	1946/25
1915/24	1857/22	1947/3
1926/8	AMPROFON	1947/21
1931/10	[3] 1863/2	1947/24
1945/24	1863/14	ANAFAM's [1]
1949/17	1863/19	1943/10
amendments	ANAFAM [22]	analyses [1]
[11] 1872/6	1942/16	1938/17
1872/13	1942/21	analysis [9]
1911/23	1943/12	1863/3
1911/24	1943/21	1880/25
1912/10	1943/23	1900/16

<p>A</p> <p>analysis... [6] 1901/1 1904/4 1906/11 1906/13 1932/9 1938/16</p> <p>analyze [1] 1902/17</p> <p>analyzed [4] 1888/21 1909/22 1916/1 1916/17</p> <p>analyzing [3] 1914/11 1915/11 1960/8</p> <p>and 42 [1] 1939/10</p> <p>Anderson [1] 1840/20</p> <p>ANDRE [1] 1841/8</p>	<p>ANIF [2] 1943/8 1943/25</p> <p>annual [1] 1965/21</p> <p>annul [1] 1927/8</p> <p>another [12] 1857/25 1858/25 1893/3 1893/11 1925/7 1928/8 1929/12 1933/19 1935/13 1942/6 1943/4 1967/23</p> <p>ANP [1] 1925/10</p> <p>answer [12] 1847/15 1862/4 1873/8 1884/3 1894/1</p>	<p>1896/9 1907/10 1922/7 1956/22 1968/2 1969/1 1976/3</p> <p>answered [1] 1862/2</p> <p>answers [1] 1976/4</p> <p>antecedent [1] 1925/10</p> <p>anterior [1] 1890/7</p> <p>anticipated [1] 1926/4</p> <p>any [58] 1843/2 1844/20 1845/9 1847/10 1847/12 1848/21 1850/1 1852/5</p>
--	---	--

A	1908/1	anyone [2]
any... [50]	1912/23	1889/11
1852/8 1853/2	1913/20	1937/1
1853/25	1915/22	anything [2]
1854/25	1917/13	1976/20
1855/3 1855/7	1920/10	1977/6
1855/24	1920/22	anywhere [1]
1858/10	1922/2 1922/4	1964/12
1861/10	1923/6	aparente [1]
1861/16	1925/19	1918/6
1868/22	1927/1	apologies [1]
1872/1	1932/14	1846/21
1882/15	1936/3	apologize [2]
1882/23	1937/10	1951/21
1884/21	1940/20	1959/19
1885/6	1947/19	Apotex [1]
1885/13	1952/8	1844/7
1894/23	1958/18	apparent [2]
1895/13	1965/3	1860/3 1919/1
1896/5 1896/6	1970/18	appealed [2]
1897/10	1970/20	1852/9 1852/9
1900/22	1970/22	Appeals [1]
1901/3	1973/14	1844/8
1903/19	1975/23	appear [3]

<p>A</p> <p>appear... [3] 1847/10 1896/4 1963/21</p> <p>appeared [1] 1953/5</p> <p>appearing [8] 1848/8 1848/10 1848/16 1896/23 1896/24 1897/5 1922/21 1923/3</p> <p>appears [12] 1949/13 1951/14 1951/15 1958/8 1961/22 1962/16 1963/18</p>	<p>1966/1 1967/24 1968/1 1971/6 1972/8</p> <p>applicability [41] 1844/1 1852/15 1852/25 1854/7 1856/4 1858/9 1859/12 1873/1 1877/10 1891/2 1891/11 1892/4 1894/10 1900/20 1901/19 1913/8 1914/12 1915/11 1919/3 1919/13</p>	<p>1924/21 1924/25 1927/18 1928/14 1929/17 1930/14 1931/12 1932/25 1935/13 1939/2 1939/13 1939/25 1940/16 1947/4 1949/7 1953/3 1958/4 1958/17 1963/7 1964/22 1971/10</p> <p>applicability/utility [1] 1844/1</p> <p>applicant [11] 1859/7</p>
--	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>applicant... [10] 1891/22 1893/14 1893/19 1894/6 1904/5 1905/25 1906/4 1917/7 1931/20 1935/9</p> <p>applicant's [1] 1940/15</p> <p>applicants [6] 1891/14 1892/3 1904/16 1957/4 1957/18 1958/2</p> <p>application [150]</p> <p>applications [24] 1860/20 1860/21</p>	1860/23 1860/25 1865/12 1885/23 1886/6 1890/11 1899/25 1902/19 1903/1 1909/22 1916/16 1928/1 1928/3 1928/12 1928/23 1929/15 1931/11 1931/22 1941/13 1941/14 1942/6 1947/17 <p>applied [7] 1873/24 1874/5</p>	1878/17 1911/6 1933/5 1935/2 1947/6 <p>applies [2] 1893/13 1970/15</p> <p>apply [3] 1911/2 1969/2 1970/13</p> <p>applying [1] 1969/3</p> <p>appreciate [3] 1847/19 1896/13 1922/9</p> <p>appreciated [2] 1862/3 1907/9</p> <p>approach [1] 1915/20</p> <p>appropriate [3] 1889/7 1921/11 1935/15</p>
---	---	---

A	ARBITRATOR	1877/4
approval [1]	S [1] 1839/10	1879/21
1945/15	are [105]	1884/1
approve [1]	1844/2 1844/5	1886/24
1945/5	1844/20	1887/4 1887/5
approximately	1845/11	1889/9
[4] 1860/7	1845/13	1889/10
1909/3	1846/22	1889/11
1967/17	1849/4 1849/5	1894/12
1968/12	1849/10	1895/17
April [1]	1849/19	1904/8
1865/21	1852/18	1909/25
Arango [1]	1860/9	1910/14
1839/24	1860/20	1914/17
ARBITRAL [4]	1866/16	1915/1 1915/5
1839/3	1866/17	1916/16
1847/20	1867/15	1921/3 1921/4
1896/14	1867/25	1926/9 1928/7
1922/10	1867/25	1928/12
arbitration [5]	1868/1 1868/8	1928/13
1838/3 1838/4	1869/22	1928/13
1838/17	1872/6	1929/5 1930/4
1861/24	1872/12	1932/3 1933/3
1907/9	1875/20	1933/11

<p>A</p> <p>are... [51]</p> <p>1933/13</p> <p>1934/2 1934/6</p> <p>1936/12</p> <p>1936/15</p> <p>1936/24</p> <p>1936/25</p> <p>1938/3</p> <p>1938/13</p> <p>1938/18</p> <p>1939/22</p> <p>1941/14</p> <p>1942/12</p> <p>1942/13</p> <p>1942/18</p> <p>1943/5 1943/9</p> <p>1943/15</p> <p>1943/17</p> <p>1944/3 1945/3</p> <p>1945/4</p> <p>1945/23</p> <p>1948/13</p> <p>1948/13</p>	<p>1948/15</p> <p>1949/11</p> <p>1954/7</p> <p>1955/21</p> <p>1958/12</p> <p>1959/17</p> <p>1959/22</p> <p>1961/16</p> <p>1962/5</p> <p>1962/12</p> <p>1964/5</p> <p>1964/13</p> <p>1964/21</p> <p>1964/22</p> <p>1964/25</p> <p>1965/1 1965/5</p> <p>1966/25</p> <p>1967/18</p> <p>1967/23</p> <p>1968/9</p> <p>1968/22</p> <p>1969/7 1971/1</p> <p>1974/10</p> <p>1974/10</p>	<p>area [9]</p> <p>1864/23</p> <p>1866/4 1866/7</p> <p>1866/21</p> <p>1899/2 1899/8</p> <p>1908/15</p> <p>1918/14</p> <p>1941/3</p> <p>areas [2]</p> <p>1869/25</p> <p>1909/19</p> <p>arguments [1]</p> <p>1976/5</p> <p>Arochi [1]</p> <p>1924/6</p> <p>ARONSON [1]</p> <p>1840/9</p> <p>around [1]</p> <p>1927/17</p> <p>article [116]</p> <p>1843/10</p> <p>1843/22</p> <p>1844/4</p> <p>1844/16</p>
---	---	--

A	1868/22	1890/25
article... [112]	1869/3 1869/3	1891/5 1893/2
1850/4 1850/5	1873/6	1900/18
1852/16	1873/16	1900/19
1852/17	1874/11	1902/2 1913/2
1852/17	1874/12	1925/13
1852/23	1874/16	1925/17
1854/20	1875/6	1927/6 1927/7
1854/22	1875/11	1927/7
1855/15	1875/22	1931/16
1858/5 1858/7	1876/9	1931/17
1858/18	1876/17	1932/4
1859/2	1876/23	1932/18
1859/15	1877/2 1877/4	1932/21
1859/24	1877/19	1934/2
1859/25	1878/1	1934/10
1860/1 1860/2	1878/10	1934/11
1867/20	1878/14	1934/22
1867/24	1879/6	1935/1 1935/3
1868/5	1879/13	1935/16
1868/15	1880/9 1881/3	1935/20
1868/17	1881/5 1881/8	1936/6
1868/17	1889/20	1936/13
1868/18	1889/25	1936/14

<p>A</p> <hr/> <p>article..... [31]</p> <p>1948/11</p> <p>1951/8</p> <p>1951/16</p> <p>1952/3</p> <p>1954/20</p> <p>1958/12</p> <p>1958/22</p> <p>1961/1 1961/1</p> <p>1961/2 1961/5</p> <p>1961/7</p> <p>1961/16</p> <p>1961/21</p> <p>1962/8</p> <p>1962/16</p> <p>1962/18</p> <p>1962/20</p> <p>1962/21</p> <p>1963/2 1963/5</p> <p>1963/6 1963/6</p> <p>1963/8</p> <p>1963/10</p> <p>1963/10</p>	<p>1963/19</p> <p>1963/19</p> <p>1963/22</p> <p>1964/1 1964/1</p> <p>Article 12 [6]</p> <p>1874/12</p> <p>1878/14</p> <p>1880/9 1961/1</p> <p>1961/21</p> <p>1963/5</p> <p>Article 12-IV [26]</p> <p>1852/23</p> <p>1854/20</p> <p>1858/5 1858/7</p> <p>1859/25</p> <p>1875/11</p> <p>1875/22</p> <p>1876/9</p> <p>1876/17</p> <p>1877/19</p> <p>1878/10</p> <p>1889/20</p> <p>1900/19</p> <p>1913/2 1932/4</p>	<p>1934/10</p> <p>1951/8</p> <p>1951/16</p> <p>1952/3</p> <p>1954/20</p> <p>1958/12</p> <p>1962/21</p> <p>1963/10</p> <p>1963/19</p> <p>1963/22</p> <p>1964/1</p> <p>Article 15 [4]</p> <p>1867/20</p> <p>1867/24</p> <p>1868/5 1869/3</p> <p>Article 16 [23]</p> <p>1852/16</p> <p>1852/17</p> <p>1852/17</p> <p>1859/24</p> <p>1874/16</p> <p>1881/8</p> <p>1900/18</p> <p>1934/2</p>
--	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>Article 16... [15] 1936/13 1961/1 1961/2 1961/5 1961/7 1961/16 1962/8 1962/16 1962/18 1962/20 1963/2 1963/6 1963/10 1963/19 1964/1</p> <p>Article 19 [1] 1936/14</p> <p>Article 28 [2] 1932/21 1948/11</p> <p>Article 28-IV [2] 1859/15 1902/2</p> <p>Article 28-VIII [3] 1850/5</p>	<p>1858/18 1860/2</p> <p>article 4 [8] 1868/15 1868/17 1868/17 1868/18 1868/22 1869/3 1925/13 1925/17</p> <p>Article 41-II [1] 1931/17</p> <p>Article 47 [5] 1890/25 1893/2 1927/7 1934/11 1934/22</p> <p>Article 47-I [3] 1859/2 1860/1 1932/18</p> <p>Article 55 [1] 1935/16</p> <p>Article 78 [1]</p>	<p>1936/6</p> <p>Article 78-I [1] 1927/7</p> <p>Article 8 [3] 1873/6 1873/16 1874/11</p> <p>articles [9] 1857/10 1859/21 1877/7 1878/7 1927/19 1945/23 1945/24 1964/4 1964/6</p> <p>Arvie [1] 1840/20</p> <p>aside [4] 1873/23 1874/3 1898/3 1908/2</p> <p>ask [10] 1861/25 1862/2</p>
---	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>ask... [8] 1869/10 1874/1 1877/21 1884/1 1907/12 1962/5 1964/11 1965/5</p> <p>asked [9] 1879/8 1895/4 1906/18 1944/15 1945/8 1968/25 1973/20 1975/21 1976/3</p> <p>asking [7] 1861/23 1883/23 1907/7 1915/10</p>	<p>1963/24 1968/7 1968/11</p> <p>aspects [6] 1856/14 1856/20 1911/15 1912/16 1933/5 1954/12</p> <p>assess [3] 1878/18 1906/7 1970/3</p> <p>assessed [1] 1910/3</p> <p>assessing [1] 1960/12</p> <p>assist [2] 1879/25 1880/5</p> <p>assistance [1] 1938/2</p> <p>assistant [1] 1898/22</p>	<p>associate [1] 1843/11</p> <p>associated [1] 1932/16</p> <p>association [7] 1850/25 1855/13 1862/7 1924/14 1942/14 1942/22 1943/7</p> <p>associations [2] 1942/19 1943/1</p> <p>assume [6] 1846/24 1847/14 1848/4 1896/23 1922/6 1944/9</p> <p>assumed [1] 1937/13</p> <p>assumes [1]</p>
--	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>assumes... [1] 1896/8</p> <p>AstraZeneca [1] 1844/6</p> <p>asymmetries [1] 1926/14</p> <p>atomoxetine [2] 1939/1 1940/14</p> <p>attacking [1] 1973/19</p> <p>attended [1] 1946/10</p> <p>attention [1] 1958/1</p> <p>attributed [1] 1955/19</p> <p>authoritative [1] 1844/5</p> <p>authorities [3] 1955/3 1955/7 1955/9</p> <p>authority [9]</p>	<p>1851/25</p> <p>1857/3</p> <p>1857/21</p> <p>1880/7</p> <p>1910/11</p> <p>1925/11</p> <p>1955/16</p> <p>1955/17</p> <p>1957/9</p> <p>authorization [1] 1892/21</p> <p>Autonoma [1] 1850/14</p> <p>Autónomo [1] 1898/20</p> <p>available [4] 1854/11 1866/7 1884/10 1884/16</p> <p>Avenue [2] 1839/7 1840/12</p> <p>average [3]</p>	<p>1965/14</p> <p>1965/22</p> <p>1969/1</p> <p>avoid [9] 1892/8 1892/13 1919/23 1927/24 1928/3 1928/23 1960/15 1960/16 1960/18</p> <p>avoiding [1] 1858/2</p> <p>aware [3] 1883/23 1975/9 1976/18</p> <p>away [1] 1960/25</p> <hr/> <p>B</p> <hr/> <p>back [6] 1846/8</p>
--	--	---

B	1901/1	because [54]
back... [5]	1906/12	1844/4
1885/19	1906/19	1847/11
1941/17	1923/24	1847/13
1959/13	1950/17	1854/8
1966/11	1970/18	1855/16
1971/8	basic [3]	1856/3
backed [1]	1885/1 1893/4	1857/19
1939/23	1929/20	1859/21
background	basically [5]	1859/23
[2] 1910/23	1873/25	1861/3
1937/18	1874/6	1863/11
Baker [1]	1874/25	1874/17
1924/8	1875/22	1876/23
Barcelona [1]	1880/22	1878/25
1924/10	basis [5]	1880/5 1881/5
based [13]	1847/25	1896/5 1896/7
1851/5	1910/5	1901/5
1851/12	1971/10	1901/22
1861/14	1972/13	1902/2
1866/24	1972/15	1903/19
1867/5	be [147]	1904/3 1904/7
1879/15	became [1]	1914/5
1885/18	1908/18	1914/13

B	1962/15	1927/12
because... [28]	1964/22	1928/2
1915/12	1968/1 1970/6	1928/11
1915/19	becoming [1]	1929/18
1916/19	1863/18	1930/23
1916/20	been [43]	1931/13
1917/9	1845/16	1933/12
1918/18	1849/12	1933/14
1919/5	1849/18	1934/2 1936/9
1919/10	1849/22	1938/20
1922/3 1922/5	1850/15	1939/7
1926/8	1853/16	1940/16
1926/11	1861/9 1862/6	1941/3
1927/18	1862/15	1944/11
1928/5 1934/6	1865/16	1954/21
1934/11	1872/17	1962/8
1934/14	1872/21	1966/17
1940/4	1877/14	1968/6
1941/13	1881/6 1888/8	1969/11
1943/17	1919/3	1969/17
1947/14	1919/18	1970/18
1948/7	1920/1 1924/2	before [26]
1948/15	1924/11	1843/24
1960/10	1924/16	1847/19

B	began [3]	1865/20
before... [24]	1923/20	1873/3
1849/1	1925/2	1876/24
1860/20	1945/17	1887/2
1863/11	begins [4]	1887/13
1869/15	1849/2 1953/2	1906/18
1872/18	1964/18	1911/23
1878/19	1965/13	1919/8 1927/8
1889/2 1891/5	behalf [8]	1928/24
1893/17	1840/3 1841/3	1970/22
1894/4	1909/6	1976/3
1896/13	1909/13	Belgium [1]
1914/14	1912/1 1937/5	1839/8
1915/14	1946/12	belief [4]
1918/9	1946/14	1848/1
1918/16	behind [5]	1896/19
1918/19	1849/24	1922/17
1922/10	1882/18	1948/18
1924/8 1925/9	1895/5	beliefs [1]
1946/20	1927/16	1948/19
1961/4	1951/23	believe [9]
1971/16	being [14]	1844/2
1975/4	1843/15	1875/10
1976/23	1864/19	1923/12

<p>B</p> <p>believe... [6] 1943/17 1956/6 1956/17 1963/3 1967/13 1974/23</p> <p>benefit [5] 1845/11 1881/23 1886/21 1933/25 1973/18</p> <p>BERENGAUT [1] 1840/5</p> <p>BERG [3] 1839/5 1839/6 1849/1</p> <p>best [10] 1859/6 1891/15 1891/23 1893/13</p>	<p>1893/19 1894/5 1931/19 1931/19 1935/8 1935/8</p> <p>best-known [5] 1891/15 1891/23 1893/13 1893/19 1894/5</p> <p>BETHLEHEM [1] 1839/15</p> <p>better [4] 1879/7 1879/13 1879/21 1880/2</p> <p>between [4] 1864/16 1869/12 1874/23 1904/6</p> <p>beyond [1]</p>	<p>1917/25</p> <p>bien [1] 1950/5</p> <p>biggest [1] 1925/25</p> <p>bill [1] 1930/1</p> <p>binder [3] 1873/21 1895/6 1972/7</p> <p>binding [2] 1910/8 1910/15</p> <p>biological [1] 1935/15</p> <p>biologists [1] 1938/3</p> <p>biotechnological [1] 1905/8</p> <p>birth [1] 1903/16</p> <p>bis [1] 1935/25</p> <p>bit [5] 1850/18 1851/4 1879/9</p>
---	--	--

B	1939/10	breaching [1]
bit... [2]	1944/23	1928/17
1886/16	1949/20	break [7]
1976/19	1952/22	1889/7 1889/8
blank [1]	1961/5	1974/20
1951/24	bought [1]	1974/21
blue [5]	1944/6	1974/22
1951/22	box [3] 1839/7	1975/3 1975/3
1951/22	1856/3 1859/9	breaks [1]
1951/23	Brad [1]	1974/17
1958/10	1841/19	briefly [3]
1961/3	branch [9]	1899/22
body [1]	1854/25	1903/10
1968/25	1855/4 1855/7	1927/15
bonus [1]	1901/3	briefs [1]
1934/13	1912/23	1975/13
BORN [1]	1925/19	bring [6]
1839/11	1927/1	1902/18
both [6]	1932/14	1905/14
1858/22	1952/8	1943/13
1869/4 1877/4	branches [1]	1950/15
1902/7 1915/7	1855/6	1950/20
1957/17	breach [1]	1950/25
bottom [5]	1927/7	bringing [1]

<p>B</p> <p>bringing... [1] 1854/9</p> <p>brings [2] 1882/5 1942/17</p> <p>broader [3] 1860/9 1862/23 1926/25</p> <p>brought [2] 1874/14 1943/5</p> <p>Brussels [1] 1839/7</p> <p>building [2] 1841/12 1921/10</p> <p>bullet [1] 1940/5</p> <p>bundle [8] 1849/2 1849/20 1869/8 1898/2</p>	<p>1898/10 1907/1 1949/14 1958/8</p> <p>bundles [1] 1849/13</p> <p>Burden [1] 1839/21</p> <p>BUREAU [1] 1841/10</p> <p>BURLING [1] 1840/11</p> <p>business [1] 1923/23</p> <hr/> <p>C</p> <p>C-418 [2] 1901/15 1912/4</p> <p>C-426 [1] 1971/6</p> <p>C-531 [2] 1844/5 1844/10</p> <p>calculated [1]</p>	<p>1969/2</p> <p>call [1] 1846/14</p> <p>called [4] 1854/17 1866/20 1938/22 1943/4</p> <p>Caltrider [1] 1840/20</p> <p>came [6] 1865/18 1879/2 1909/2 1915/18 1926/4 1966/1</p> <p>can [56] 1845/6 1845/7 1846/7 1846/14 1848/9 1848/20 1848/24 1850/3 1852/16</p>
---	---	---

<p>C</p> <p>can... [47]</p> <p>1853/2 1853/7</p> <p>1853/13</p> <p>1853/22</p> <p>1854/21</p> <p>1854/25</p> <p>1855/1 1855/3</p> <p>1855/23</p> <p>1858/10</p> <p>1858/13</p> <p>1858/21</p> <p>1859/9 1862/2</p> <p>1863/10</p> <p>1867/13</p> <p>1872/14</p> <p>1873/18</p> <p>1882/8</p> <p>1885/25</p> <p>1892/21</p> <p>1895/6</p> <p>1895/10</p> <p>1900/4</p> <p>1900/21</p>	<p>1901/3 1902/6</p> <p>1918/2 1920/6</p> <p>1920/15</p> <p>1923/13</p> <p>1934/19</p> <p>1937/3 1941/7</p> <p>1949/25</p> <p>1952/8</p> <p>1952/24</p> <p>1953/23</p> <p>1958/11</p> <p>1958/18</p> <p>1961/2 1962/5</p> <p>1968/25</p> <p>1969/16</p> <p>1972/6 1975/8</p> <p>1976/20</p> <p>can't [7]</p> <p>1919/5 1919/9</p> <p>1920/6 1943/2</p> <p>1943/5</p> <p>1965/18</p> <p>1968/13</p> <p>CANADA [15]</p>	<p>1838/12</p> <p>1840/17</p> <p>1841/14</p> <p>1843/8 1844/7</p> <p>1861/23</p> <p>1870/12</p> <p>1870/17</p> <p>1871/10</p> <p>1907/7 1944/7</p> <p>1944/9 1950/9</p> <p>1950/16</p> <p>1950/21</p> <p>Canada's [1]</p> <p>1844/10</p> <p>CANIFARMA</p> <p>[1] 1943/7</p> <p>cannot [11]</p> <p>1871/23</p> <p>1886/8 1887/8</p> <p>1914/5 1920/9</p> <p>1933/21</p> <p>1936/1 1936/2</p> <p>1936/4 1948/4</p> <p>1966/15</p>
--	---	---

<p>C</p> <p>capable [2] 1868/1 1873/17</p> <p>capacity [3] 1902/24 1908/20 1940/20</p> <p>capital [2] 1943/2 1943/9</p> <p>Carlisle [1] 1839/21</p> <p>CARMONA [3] 1842/4 1847/3 1847/8</p> <p>carries [1] 1944/25</p> <p>carry [7] 1859/7 1891/15 1891/23 1893/14 1893/19 1894/6 1935/9</p>	<p>carrying [2] 1877/14 1931/20</p> <p>case [38] 1838/6 1844/15 1854/1 1864/12 1870/8 1872/15 1883/16 1883/19 1883/22 1886/18 1889/10 1901/18 1903/13 1903/14 1903/14 1903/15 1903/17 1903/25 1904/5 1904/12</p>	<p>1905/7 1906/1 1912/19 1912/24 1913/5 1913/20 1914/8 1916/2 1919/20 1923/24 1930/8 1937/1 1937/24 1944/2 1968/3 1969/24 1970/20 1971/19</p> <p>case-based [1] 1923/24</p> <p>cases [23] 1860/14 1860/17 1860/25 1903/5 1903/7 1903/11 1904/8 1904/10</p>
--	--	--

<p>C</p> <p>cases... [15]</p> <p>1916/25</p> <p>1933/12</p> <p>1933/13</p> <p>1933/14</p> <p>1933/16</p> <p>1939/5 1941/3</p> <p>1964/21</p> <p>1965/8</p> <p>1966/25</p> <p>1966/25</p> <p>1967/23</p> <p>1967/23</p> <p>1967/25</p> <p>1968/17</p> <p>cause [1]</p> <p>1912/17</p> <p>central [3]</p> <p>1924/20</p> <p>1929/19</p> <p>1939/21</p> <p>certain [9]</p> <p>1845/3 1861/1</p>	<p>1880/10</p> <p>1926/1</p> <p>1926/21</p> <p>1926/22</p> <p>1942/20</p> <p>1944/2</p> <p>1968/18</p> <p>Certainly [1]</p> <p>1933/10</p> <p>certainty [1]</p> <p>1960/19</p> <p>certificate [2]</p> <p>1907/22</p> <p>1908/3</p> <p>certification [1]</p> <p>1935/14</p> <p>cetera [3]</p> <p>1865/24</p> <p>1958/3</p> <p>1959/12</p> <p>CHAJON [8]</p> <p>1840/6</p> <p>1845/16</p> <p>1846/16</p>	<p>1849/15</p> <p>1894/23</p> <p>1897/22</p> <p>1897/24</p> <p>1920/23</p> <p>challenge [3]</p> <p>1965/15</p> <p>1973/14</p> <p>1973/21</p> <p>challenged [3]</p> <p>1944/6</p> <p>1971/21</p> <p>1972/23</p> <p>challenges [1]</p> <p>1965/22</p> <p>chamber [2]</p> <p>1930/7</p> <p>1943/25</p> <p>chance [3]</p> <p>1873/8</p> <p>1873/10</p> <p>1918/11</p> <p>change [33]</p> <p>1855/16</p>
---	--	---

<p>C</p> <p>change... [32]</p> <p>1855/19</p> <p>1856/9</p> <p>1858/23</p> <p>1869/23</p> <p>1870/10</p> <p>1870/16</p> <p>1871/13</p> <p>1874/15</p> <p>1877/19</p> <p>1878/1</p> <p>1878/13</p> <p>1879/24</p> <p>1880/4 1881/6</p> <p>1892/25</p> <p>1906/12</p> <p>1906/14</p> <p>1913/4 1914/3</p> <p>1914/12</p> <p>1915/11</p> <p>1915/17</p> <p>1915/20</p> <p>1916/12</p>	<p>1916/23</p> <p>1917/1</p> <p>1925/24</p> <p>1926/19</p> <p>1953/21</p> <p>1955/21</p> <p>1956/3</p> <p>1964/14</p> <p>changed [12]</p> <p>1853/4 1858/5</p> <p>1859/14</p> <p>1870/3</p> <p>1878/22</p> <p>1893/1 1914/6</p> <p>1916/11</p> <p>1916/17</p> <p>1916/21</p> <p>1953/9</p> <p>1953/12</p> <p>changeover [1]</p> <p>1921/8</p> <p>changes [10]</p> <p>1902/11</p> <p>1902/12</p>	<p>1902/16</p> <p>1902/18</p> <p>1915/21</p> <p>1917/13</p> <p>1925/25</p> <p>1930/25</p> <p>1951/8 1958/7</p> <p>changing [2]</p> <p>1954/19</p> <p>1957/3</p> <p>chapter [2]</p> <p>1838/3</p> <p>1924/15</p> <p>charge [8]</p> <p>1865/20</p> <p>1866/3 1899/2</p> <p>1899/7</p> <p>1899/12</p> <p>1899/15</p> <p>1908/16</p> <p>1918/15</p> <p>Charlie [1]</p> <p>1839/24</p> <p>check [1]</p>
--	--	---

<p>C</p> <p>check... [1] 1846/11</p> <p>CHEEK [3] 1840/5 1846/14 1977/6</p> <p>chemical [7] 1899/2 1899/3 1899/4 1899/8 1908/15 1909/18 1937/25</p> <p>chemist [1] 1898/19</p> <p>chemistry [3] 1899/4 1907/15 1937/20</p> <p>chemists [1] 1938/3</p> <p>chemists/biologists [1] 1938/3</p>	<p>chief [1] 1899/6</p> <p>choice [1] 1903/20</p> <p>citation [2] 1845/24 1846/12</p> <p>citations [1] 1844/18</p> <p>cite [1] 1938/19</p> <p>cited [2] 1904/9 1934/2</p> <p>City [1] 1924/10</p> <p>civil [2] 1851/5 1852/12</p> <p>claim [2] 1938/9 1938/24</p> <p>Claimant [13] 1838/9 1840/3 1843/14</p>	<p>1843/17 1845/2 1847/10 1896/4 1921/16 1937/5 1975/17 1976/23 1976/25 1977/7</p> <p>Claimant's [1] 1845/5</p> <p>claimed [3] 1904/3 1904/4 1904/19</p> <p>claims [9] 1852/10 1903/16 1904/6 1905/5 1906/4 1929/14 1939/19 1939/22 1965/13</p>
---	--	--

<p>C</p> <p>clarification [4] 1847/13 1882/15 1896/7 1922/5</p> <p>clarifications [2] 1885/20 1902/9</p> <p>clarified [5] 1858/8 1879/17 1888/16 1888/23 1967/21</p> <p>clarifies [2] 1876/20 1877/10</p> <p>clarify [10] 1874/20 1880/2 1880/17 1881/4 1883/3 1887/7 1915/3 1919/14</p>	<p>1929/24 1942/2</p> <p>clarity [5] 1904/1 1904/7 1904/14 1932/23 1935/4</p> <p>clashing [1] 1944/1</p> <p>classes [1] 1866/17</p> <p>clear [27] 1843/13 1859/8 1859/21 1862/1 1874/9 1880/19 1883/10 1891/16 1891/19 1891/24 1891/25 1892/5 1892/22</p>	<p>1892/22 1893/12 1893/20 1893/24 1894/7 1894/11 1894/13 1894/19 1900/8 1907/13 1915/1 1920/8 1929/15 1935/10</p> <p>clearly [5] 1874/13 1901/8 1905/10 1919/7 1927/21</p> <p>client [2] 1942/21 1946/25</p> <p>clients [4] 1909/6</p>
--	---	--

<p>C</p> <p>clients... [3] 1909/13 1909/18 1941/14</p> <p>close [1] 1923/22</p> <p>closed [1] 1925/6</p> <p>closely [1] 1925/7</p> <p>closer [2] 1950/15 1950/20</p> <p>closing [2] 1974/15 1976/5</p> <p>CO [1] 1839/10</p> <p>CO-ARBITRATORS [1] 1839/10</p> <p>coadjuvar [1] 1880/5</p>	<p>coadjuve [1] 1947/14</p> <p>coalition [5] 1862/16 1862/19 1863/2 1863/15 1863/20</p> <p>codifies [1] 1905/13</p> <p>coffee [4] 1889/7 1889/12 1974/20 1974/22</p> <p>colleague [2] 1845/16 1846/16</p> <p>column [2] 1932/13 1961/22</p> <p>combination [1] 1938/14</p> <p>combining [1]</p>	<p>1901/17</p> <p>come [2] 1948/11 1968/19</p> <p>comes [5] 1871/5 1872/18 1890/22 1933/17 1966/12</p> <p>coming [1] 1843/18</p> <p>comma [1] 1894/17</p> <p>command [1] 1864/20</p> <p>commented [1] 1932/4</p> <p>comments [1] 1912/5</p> <p>commerce [1] 1855/24</p> <p>commission [3] 1856/9</p>
--	---	---

<p>C</p> <p>commission... [2] 1880/25 1887/20</p> <p>commissioner s [1] 1888/1</p> <p>commissions [8] 1872/6 1882/25 1888/10 1888/20 1890/8 1890/16 1952/18 1959/25</p> <p>committed [1] 1926/10</p> <p>committee [12] 1883/6 1883/6 1884/5 1884/25 1885/9 1885/17 1928/9</p>	<p>1929/22 1929/23 1930/20 1931/14 1932/6</p> <p>committees [11] 1872/10 1882/12 1930/7 1930/9 1930/11 1930/13 1943/24 1943/25 1944/4 1947/13 1953/20</p> <p>common [3] 1886/7 1902/22 1917/7</p> <p>commonly [1] 1933/16</p> <p>communicatio n [2] 1917/6</p>	<p>1917/14</p> <p>companies [8] 1862/12 1941/24 1942/3 1942/8 1942/9 1943/11 1943/13 1944/3</p> <p>company [4] 1838/8 1943/20 1944/1 1944/5</p> <p>compare [4] 1868/14 1874/11 1875/7 1952/15</p> <p>comparing [2] 1869/4 1874/7</p> <p>comparison [1] 1873/20</p> <p>competition [1] 1948/14</p>
---	--	---

<p>C</p> <p>compiled [1] 1849/12</p> <p>complete [5] 1890/12 1907/22 1920/8 1931/18 1935/24</p> <p>completed [2] 1907/14 1968/10</p> <p>completely [1] 1925/22</p> <p>completeness [1] 1935/4</p> <p>completes [1] 1920/21</p> <p>complex [1] 1955/7</p> <p>compliance [1] 1935/22</p> <p>complied [1] 1929/17</p>	<p>comply [1] 1871/13</p> <p>con [1] 1886/23</p> <p>conceived [1] 1881/23</p> <p>concept [11] 1856/10 1856/10 1856/11 1878/10 1880/19 1888/17 1926/25 1927/17 1933/4 1933/19 1954/10</p> <p>concepts [2] 1932/8 1935/4</p> <p>conceptual [1] 1935/19</p> <p>concern [1] 1957/1</p>	<p>concerned [2] 1890/16 1894/8</p> <p>concerning [1] 1928/16</p> <p>conclude [4] 1861/7 1906/6 1914/1 1931/25</p> <p>concluded [7] 1920/2 1928/3 1931/13 1936/20 1938/16 1939/12 1939/24</p> <p>concluding [1] 1939/16</p> <p>conclusion [3] 1884/5 1960/8 1960/9</p> <p>conclusions [2] 1916/9 1916/9</p>
--	---	--

C	confidential	1960/16
concrete [2]	[3] 1976/11	1960/18
1881/22	1976/14	Congreso [1]
1934/1	1976/17	1879/20
condition [4]	confirm [10]	congress [28]
1891/19	1846/7	1856/9
1894/18	1848/10	1857/14
1900/3 1934/5	1848/16	1872/2
conditions [3]	1848/20	1872/17
1912/21	1896/24	1877/12
1936/11	1897/1 1897/5	1879/16
1936/12	1897/8	1879/23
conduct [5]	1922/21	1880/1
1897/22	1923/2	1880/24
1900/5	confusing [2]	1881/1
1900/25	1858/3 1935/2	1882/16
1911/13	confusion [9]	1882/25
1949/17	1870/25	1883/8
conducted [3]	1912/17	1884/10
1899/5 1900/4	1914/24	1884/16
1900/16	1915/8	1884/22
conducting [2]	1947/19	1885/7
1846/17	1960/6	1885/10
1937/4	1960/12	1885/14

<p>C</p> <hr/> <p>congress... [9] 1894/8 1918/16 1946/24 1952/18 1954/19 1957/15 1959/5 1959/10 1959/24</p> <p>Congress' [1] 1885/10</p> <p>Congressiona I [9] 1881/10 1886/11 1887/14 1887/18 1890/5 1917/16 1920/12 1953/20 1957/2</p> <p>connected [2]</p>	1951/1 1972/17 connection [33] 1847/21 1850/24 1851/19 1852/5 1852/10 1852/11 1856/7 1857/5 1857/9 1859/1 1887/6 1888/7 1893/5 1896/15 1899/24 1901/19 1901/24 1902/23 1904/23 1905/1 1905/22 1906/2 1908/17 1911/20	1922/12 1944/15 1946/9 1946/16 1947/16 1955/1 1955/17 1960/19 1960/23 conscience [3] 1847/25 1896/18 1922/16 consider [6] 1854/10 1888/1 1888/10 1916/11 1924/22 1959/25 consideration [1] 1885/17 considered [13] 1869/22
--	---	--

<p>C</p> <p>considered... [12] 1877/9 1887/20 1902/1 1903/17 1903/18 1912/21 1914/13 1915/13 1925/18 1927/16 1931/6 1931/24</p> <p>considers [1] 1935/23</p> <p>consistent [2] 1911/6 1963/1</p> <p>consistently [1] 1878/17</p> <p>Constitution [4] 1851/13 1851/14 1851/15</p>	<p>1948/12</p> <p>construction [1] 1854/4</p> <p>consultant [4] 1897/15 1909/6 1916/24 1917/11</p> <p>consulted [1] 1968/2</p> <p>contain [1] 1976/14</p> <p>contained [4] 1901/14 1931/7 1963/8 1963/14</p> <p>contains [1] 1976/10</p> <p>contemplated [1] 1901/5</p> <p>contents [1] 1918/11</p> <p>context [2] 1925/4</p>	<p>1954/24</p> <p>continue [3] 1889/2 1889/16 1918/20</p> <p>continued [1] 1854/14</p> <p>continues [3] 1953/4 1954/9 1954/16</p> <p>continuous [1] 1944/17</p> <p>contract [3] 1865/6 1928/18 1949/3</p> <p>contrary [4] 1856/13 1856/19 1954/11 1954/21</p> <p>contrasted [1] 1844/10</p> <p>contravention [1] 1936/10</p>
--	--	--

C	correct [173]	1864/17
contributes	correction [3]	1867/2
[1] 1857/11	1848/21	1867/12
control [2]	1865/18	1868/17
1899/19	1897/10	1868/20
1903/16	correctly [1]	1870/13
conveys [1]	1879/20	1872/22
1920/13	correspond	1872/23
convinced [1]	[1] 1970/10	1873/9
1948/10	correspondin	1873/11
coordinator	g [4] 1849/21	1873/14
[3] 1908/6	1920/1	1873/20
1908/11	1953/24	1874/1 1875/1
1908/14	1960/2	1875/9
copy [2]	corresponds	1877/21
1849/18	[2] 1847/15	1878/3 1879/9
1975/22	1896/9	1882/15
copyright [3]	cost [1]	1882/22
1862/20	1973/18	1883/22
1862/24	could [70]	1884/2
1907/23	1845/4 1847/5	1884/13
copyrights [2]	1848/3	1886/14
1862/12	1848/13	1889/22
1866/22	1858/3 1862/4	1891/4

C	1944/17	countries [5]
could... [38]	1947/11	1853/20
1893/25	1951/10	1926/13
1895/23	1952/15	1949/22
1896/21	1955/18	1950/25
1897/2	1957/19	1951/2
1907/10	1958/9 1960/5	course [17]
1908/8	1960/13	1852/7
1912/22	1961/3	1860/13
1914/16	1964/16	1863/13
1919/8 1919/8	1966/2 1967/1	1873/13
1919/14	1969/1	1878/5 1887/8
1921/23	1975/22	1889/11
1922/19	counsel [3]	1909/24
1925/19	1861/22	1920/9 1922/7
1926/21	1907/6	1928/5
1926/25	1942/13	1934/21
1927/23	count [2]	1968/3 1975/7
1932/14	1959/15	1975/25
1939/8	1965/24	1976/5 1977/3
1939/14	counterprodu	court [6]
1940/3	ctive [2]	1839/20
1942/25	1857/19	1844/8
1944/2	1960/13	1847/19

<p>C</p> <p>court... [3] 1852/10 1896/13 1922/10</p> <p>courts [2] 1871/23 1933/11</p> <p>cover [1] 1924/19</p> <p>covers [1] 1849/13</p> <p>COVINGTON [1] 1840/11</p> <p>create [2] 1957/16 1960/12</p> <p>created [1] 1880/8</p> <p>creates [1] 1870/24</p> <p>creating [1] 1857/22</p> <p>creation [1]</p>	<p>1933/23</p> <p>creative [1] 1925/15</p> <p>criteria [3] 1857/20 1866/5 1902/16</p> <p>cross [12] 1861/19 1861/20 1889/4 1889/16 1895/6 1906/25 1907/4 1920/21 1921/13 1937/4 1937/15 1949/14</p> <p>cross-examination [11] 1861/19 1861/20</p>	<p>1889/4 1889/16 1895/6 1906/25 1907/4 1920/21 1937/4 1937/15 1949/14</p> <p>Culture [3] 1862/16 1863/2 1863/20</p> <p>current [8] 1926/7 1962/6 1962/15 1962/17 1962/21 1963/9 1963/22 1963/25</p> <p>currently [2] 1854/18 1866/16</p>
--	---	--

<p>C</p> <p>curriculum [1] 1864/25</p> <p>curve [1] 1853/20</p> <p>CV [4] 1864/4 1866/10 1897/13 1898/2</p>	<p>1928/24</p> <p>dated [6] 1848/9 1848/14 1896/22 1897/4 1922/20 1923/1</p> <p>dates [1] 1956/23</p>	<p>1885/15 1887/23 1897/20 1898/20 1908/19 1918/6 1959/22</p> <p>deal [2] 1856/5 1925/5</p>
<p>D</p> <p>D.C [1] 1838/18</p> <p>Dainel [1] 1839/25</p> <p>damages [1] 1852/10</p> <p>DANIEL [1] 1839/15</p> <p>date [5] 1890/18 1919/25 1928/1 1928/24</p>	<p>day [3] 1843/2 1872/22 1977/4</p> <p>DC [1] 1840/12</p> <p>DDAJ.2008.04 63 [1] 1901/15</p> <p>de [13] 1850/14 1850/21 1865/25 1879/20 1882/17 1884/9</p>	<p>deals [1] 1852/1</p> <p>dealt [1] 1850/23</p> <p>DEARDEN [1] 1840/14</p> <p>debate [1] 1886/8</p> <p>decade [2] 1969/18 1969/19</p> <p>decades [1] 1853/5</p> <p>December [2] 1856/24</p>

D		
December... [1] 1923/1	declaration [3] 1847/24 1882/24 1967/22	1881/22 1927/21 1947/4
December 2015 [1] 1923/1	declare [3] 1847/24 1896/17	defines [2] 1852/21 1877/5
December 30 [1] 1856/24	1922/15	definicion [1] 1887/23
decide [1] 1945/5	declared [1] 1882/16	definición [1] 1959/23
decided [5] 1844/15 1857/24 1903/22 1930/9 1944/24	decree [3] 1878/8 1926/2 1926/3	defining [1] 1928/14
deciding [1] 1932/10	deduce [1] 1967/1	Definitely [1] 1850/6
decision [5] 1852/8 1857/14 1857/24 1872/21 1872/22	deemed [3] 1905/10 1905/15 1960/3	definition [37] 1853/23 1854/6 1856/11 1858/7 1873/24 1874/6 1874/22 1875/8 1875/12
	defend [1] 1929/10	
	define [1] 1853/21	
	defined [3]	

D	1961/23	demonstrate
definition...	1962/25	[1] 1857/4
[28] 1875/17	1963/3 1963/7	demonstrative
1875/21	definitions [2]	[7] 1869/2
1876/6 1876/9	1927/3 1927/4	1869/7 1869/9
1876/14	definitively [1]	1869/11
1876/21	1888/23	1873/20
1877/10	degree [5]	1875/13
1878/9	1898/20	1891/7
1878/11	1907/15	den [3] 1839/5
1879/18	1923/22	1839/6 1849/1
1881/5	1937/18	denial [1]
1881/14	1937/21	1955/6
1888/22	degrees [2]	denied [3]
1889/19	1857/17	1939/7 1970/7
1901/18	1947/15	1970/8
1913/1	delay [1]	denying [1]
1947/22	1976/18	1955/18
1948/4 1951/5	delays [1]	department
1952/6	1928/14	[3] 1841/10
1954/10	delete [1]	1899/6
1955/2	1875/25	1908/14
1956/12	demand [1]	departmental
1958/21	1901/4	[2] 1908/6

D	1840/8	1956/16
departmental..	desarrollados	1958/19
. [1] 1908/11	[1] 1950/4	1960/20
depended [1]	describe [2]	describing [1]
1903/19	1849/2	1905/11
dependency	1891/19	description
[1] 1904/6	described [21]	[40] 1858/20
depending [1]	1853/3	1859/3 1859/6
1872/23	1855/25	1859/8 1876/8
depends [2]	1858/11	1876/16
1872/20	1858/14	1877/2
1892/21	1876/24	1888/25
deputy [11]	1877/11	1890/25
1863/20	1887/2	1891/14
1863/25	1887/13	1891/16
1864/6 1864/7	1889/21	1891/22
1864/10	1890/1 1890/9	1891/24
1864/16	1900/23	1892/1 1892/6
1864/20	1901/25	1892/23
1864/24	1905/10	1893/3 1893/9
1865/11	1905/16	1893/12
1865/19	1931/9	1893/18
1878/25	1932/15	1893/21
DERZKO [1]	1955/13	1894/7

<p>D</p> <p>description... [18] 1894/13 1894/19 1900/11 1901/2 1902/5 1904/2 1905/1 1905/4 1905/14 1920/8 1929/14 1931/18 1932/17 1933/9 1934/23 1934/25 1935/5 1935/11</p> <p>descriptive [1] 1936/3</p> <p>deserve [1] 1857/18</p> <p>designed [3] 1948/7</p>	<p>1950/14 1950/19</p> <p>designs [2] 1860/17 1936/16</p> <p>despite [2] 1919/1 1957/14</p> <p>details [2] 1919/9 1951/3</p> <p>deter [2] 1885/22 1886/5</p> <p>determined [5] 1856/8 1879/23 1880/1 1880/12 1930/13</p> <p>developed [1] 1949/22</p> <p>development [6] 1841/11 1890/12</p>	<p>1920/1 1928/2 1928/20 1931/12</p> <p>developments [1] 1928/17</p> <p>devoted [1] 1924/5</p> <p>Diana [1] 1839/21</p> <p>Diario [2] 1951/16 1951/25</p> <p>Dictamen [1] 1952/17</p> <p>Dictamén [1] 1959/14</p> <p>Dictámen [1] 1879/20</p> <p>did [49] 1854/10 1855/6 1865/5 1865/11 1866/1 1870/10</p>
--	--	--

<p>D</p> <p>did... [43]</p> <p>1870/16</p> <p>1871/8</p> <p>1871/12</p> <p>1877/19</p> <p>1878/1</p> <p>1878/12</p> <p>1885/13</p> <p>1892/11</p> <p>1895/11</p> <p>1898/10</p> <p>1902/16</p> <p>1902/18</p> <p>1903/24</p> <p>1906/14</p> <p>1908/1 1909/7</p> <p>1911/9</p> <p>1911/12</p> <p>1911/12</p> <p>1913/7</p> <p>1913/13</p> <p>1914/3</p> <p>1914/12</p>	<p>1915/11</p> <p>1915/17</p> <p>1916/12</p> <p>1920/4</p> <p>1920/17</p> <p>1927/3 1927/4</p> <p>1927/23</p> <p>1938/2</p> <p>1946/19</p> <p>1947/13</p> <p>1947/25</p> <p>1948/1 1948/2</p> <p>1948/2 1948/5</p> <p>1948/9 1956/7</p> <p>1956/8</p> <p>1971/11</p> <p>didn't [11]</p> <p>1866/11</p> <p>1869/18</p> <p>1870/14</p> <p>1870/20</p> <p>1873/8</p> <p>1882/21</p> <p>1902/12</p>	<p>1918/10</p> <p>1918/23</p> <p>1958/3 1973/5</p> <p>difference [4]</p> <p>1864/16</p> <p>1864/18</p> <p>1869/5</p> <p>1869/12</p> <p>different [8]</p> <p>1864/1 1868/9</p> <p>1874/22</p> <p>1877/7 1877/8</p> <p>1935/18</p> <p>1943/25</p> <p>1947/15</p> <p>difficult [1]</p> <p>1859/18</p> <p>difficulties [1]</p> <p>1957/17</p> <p>difficulty [1]</p> <p>1955/1</p> <p>direct [6]</p> <p>1846/17</p> <p>1848/24</p>
--	--	---

D	1864/21	1857/21
direct... [4]	1864/24	1960/5
1906/23	1865/19	1960/16
1921/12	1865/19	discretionality
1923/11	1899/12	[1] 1960/11
1937/9	1899/15	discretionary
directed [1]	1908/6	[1] 1858/3
1868/5	1908/11	discrimination
directly [4]	1908/16	[1] 1855/8
1860/24	1908/19	discuss [10]
1881/7	1911/4	1849/6
1899/18	disagreed [4]	1872/13
1941/12	1883/24	1882/6
director [22]	1884/22	1888/25
1850/25	1885/7	1889/10
1861/7 1862/6	1885/14	1917/8
1862/10	disclosure [2]	1917/10
1863/19	1904/14	1937/1
1863/25	1940/1	1964/15
1863/25	discontent [1]	1974/3
1864/14	1912/10	discussed
1864/16	discovered [1]	[10] 1901/10
1864/17	1945/6	1911/23
1864/20	discretion [3]	1915/23

D	1962/20	1852/4 1852/8
discussed...	1962/23	1853/18
[7] 1917/17	disputing [2]	1854/20
1919/6	1976/24	1859/2
1938/14	1977/5	1859/19
1955/23	distorted [4]	1860/11
1957/2 1958/7	1919/3	1860/13
1970/22	1919/13	1861/6
discusses [1]	1919/19	1861/25
1949/21	1928/11	1865/6 1868/5
discussing [1]	distributed [1]	1868/18
1937/17	1849/3	1868/19
discussion [3]	disturbances	1869/6
1856/17	[1] 1939/21	1872/16
1927/12	divisional [1]	1875/10
1935/18	1908/19	1875/25
discussions	do [87] 1843/5	1883/15
[4] 1876/21	1844/19	1883/16
1888/20	1846/19	1889/3
1901/20	1847/13	1889/12
1911/25	1847/13	1893/4
dispute [4]	1848/22	1894/18
1962/17	1851/16	1896/7 1899/7
1962/19	1851/21	1899/9

D	1927/14	1938/6
do... [52]	1938/22	1938/10
1900/12	1941/5 1941/6	doctrine [1]
1901/8	1941/12	1844/10
1903/11	1941/15	document [37]
1903/16	1941/21	1844/12
1904/4 1905/2	1942/19	1845/7
1905/5 1905/6	1948/3	1849/13
1905/10	1948/24	1849/16
1906/18	1949/22	1849/21
1907/12	1950/10	1873/10
1909/6	1951/16	1881/14
1909/13	1952/4	1882/23
1909/14	1952/20	1883/10
1909/15	1952/25	1884/21
1909/18	1953/1 1961/5	1885/1 1885/7
1911/2	1962/18	1885/13
1913/19	1962/20	1885/20
1916/11	1964/2 1964/5	1886/3 1886/7
1918/13	1964/7 1965/2	1886/9 1895/9
1922/4 1922/5	1967/18	1895/11
1922/14	1968/16	1918/1 1918/8
1923/15	1976/15	1920/6 1940/3
1923/25	dockets [2]	1949/11

D	1936/17	don't [47]
document...	does [14]	1845/8
[13] 1949/12	1843/17	1847/13
1949/19	1852/4	1870/21
1951/11	1858/22	1871/5
1952/22	1863/15	1877/18
1957/25	1883/4	1882/6
1965/25	1888/19	1883/10
1966/3	1901/4	1883/13
1967/21	1906/11	1884/12
1967/24	1943/13	1884/21
1968/5 1969/6	1962/21	1885/6
1976/9	1969/21	1894/16
1976/12	1970/13	1896/7
documentatio	1970/14	1910/23
n [2] 1935/17	1971/24	1915/8
1935/21	doesn't [3]	1916/20
documents [8]	1882/10	1916/22
1844/3	1924/19	1917/2
1845/2	1969/2	1918/22
1849/10	doing [3]	1919/10
1849/23	1880/5	1920/16
1873/8 1900/2	1941/23	1922/5
1906/3	1941/24	1928/12

D	1975/14	Drive [1]
don't... [24]	done [6]	1841/12
1937/10	1881/7	drug [9]
1938/12	1882/13	1941/24
1943/16	1905/14	1942/8
1943/23	1910/5	1942/23
1947/23	1925/11	1943/11
1948/5	1931/21	1944/12
1948/23	doubt [2]	1944/20
1949/25	1925/25	1946/1 1948/8
1951/23	1933/3	1949/22
1956/6	down [1]	drug-makers
1962/17	1959/16	[1] 1949/22
1962/19	draft [2]	drugs [3]
1962/23	1941/12	1942/11
1962/24	1941/16	1950/15
1962/24	drafted [1]	1950/20
1964/18	1948/24	during [19]
1967/12	drafters [2]	1850/22
1968/16	1892/8	1860/6 1879/1
1968/18	1892/17	1898/24
1970/2 1971/3	drawback [2]	1901/11
1971/3	1856/12	1901/19
1972/18	1954/11	1902/22
1974/24		

<p>D</p> <p>during... [12] 1904/15 1905/20 1906/15 1913/9 1929/3 1971/10 1971/12 1971/15 1972/5 1973/15 1975/3 1976/5</p>	<p>1974/16 1974/24 1977/11 earlier [2] 1956/16 1957/2 early [2] 1929/1 1929/2 easier [1] 1869/1 easy [1] 1849/25 economic [14] 1853/2 1854/25 1855/4 1855/6 1855/24 1858/11 1900/22 1901/3 1912/23 1925/20 1927/2 1932/14</p>	<p>1952/9 1958/19 economy [2] 1925/6 1925/23 edition [1] 1931/7 educational [1] 1937/17 effect [5] 1879/2 1909/2 1915/18 1938/19 1949/1 effects [3] 1929/9 1933/2 1949/3 Eileen [1] 1840/21 either [7] 1847/11 1848/21 1896/5 1897/10</p>
<p>E</p> <p>each [14] 1849/21 1875/4 1903/7 1904/18 1911/14 1949/5 1966/4 1966/8 1967/18 1968/10 1968/23</p>		

<p>E</p> <p>either... [3] 1922/3 1923/7 1974/8</p> <p>ejemplo [2] 1914/22 1950/2</p> <p>electronic [2] 1846/2 1938/6</p> <p>elements [4] 1857/15 1880/6 1960/4 1960/11</p> <p>ELEVEN [1] 1838/3</p> <p>Elgin [1] 1840/16</p> <p>ELI [3] 1838/8 1844/14 1905/18</p> <p>else [2] 1976/21 1977/6</p> <p>embodiments [1] 1904/18</p>	<p>embrace [1] 1869/20</p> <p>emphasize [1] 1855/2</p> <p>emphasized [1] 1855/1</p> <p>employees [1] 1899/17</p> <p>employment [1] 1908/22</p> <p>en [1] 1886/23</p> <p>enables [1] 1935/20</p> <p>enacted [4] 1912/10 1912/15 1954/3 1958/16</p> <p>end [9] 1865/6 1887/25 1919/9 1932/19 1950/6 1959/21</p>	<p>1964/24 1965/14 1965/20</p> <p>ended [2] 1893/22 1901/10</p> <p>endorses [1] 1931/2</p> <p>ends [1] 1974/13</p> <p>energy [1] 1933/24</p> <p>England [1] 1844/8</p> <p>English [9] 1845/14 1845/20 1849/5 1849/8 1849/11 1864/4 1864/5 1864/14 1898/8</p> <p>enough [3] 1933/12</p>
--	--	---

<p>E</p> <p>enough... [2] 1975/7 1977/2</p> <p>enshrined [1] 1852/22</p> <p>ensure [2] 1911/5 1929/13</p> <p>entail [2] 1866/2 1955/12</p> <p>entails [1] 1935/25</p> <p>entered [4] 1873/1 1877/1 1966/12 1966/18</p> <p>entire [5] 1860/15 1866/4 1883/8 1883/21 1945/12</p> <p>error [1] 1876/3</p>	<p>Essex [1] 1839/15</p> <p>esta [1] 1886/23</p> <p>establish [11] 1859/17 1870/1 1881/25 1887/11 1892/18 1892/21 1899/23 1902/10 1904/15 1940/15 1955/1</p> <p>established [21] 1854/22 1858/16 1860/2 1866/6 1872/21 1877/15 1882/7 1882/17</p>	<p>1883/5 1887/18 1890/22 1900/13 1902/3 1904/24 1911/15 1911/21 1912/16 1916/4 1925/13 1925/17 1931/8</p> <p>establishes [4] 1851/17 1856/25 1893/8 1954/17</p> <p>establishing [5] 1857/17 1859/12 1859/13 1866/4 1887/6</p> <p>estimate [3]</p>
---	---	---

<p>E</p> <p>estimate... [3] 1889/4 1967/19 1968/8</p> <p>et [3] 1865/24 1958/3 1959/12</p> <p>et cetera [3] 1865/24 1958/3 1959/12</p> <p>evaluate [1] 1916/7</p> <p>evaluation [2] 1900/25 1973/18</p> <p>even [11] 1857/3 1870/1 1872/9 1904/12 1910/7 1914/12 1915/12</p>	<p>1928/2 1933/22 1938/21 1968/3</p> <p>evening [1] 1845/1</p> <p>ever [1] 1861/11</p> <p>everybody [1] 1921/18</p> <p>everyone [1] 1849/25</p> <p>evidence [5] 1859/22 1901/4 1935/19 1964/2 1964/12</p> <p>evident [2] 1858/20 1902/5</p> <p>evidentiary [1] 1974/14</p> <p>evolution [1]</p>	<p>1924/20</p> <p>evolved [1] 1956/25</p> <p>exact [2] 1878/4 1947/23</p> <p>exactly [6] 1849/17 1866/2 1886/17 1887/7 1953/19 1957/11</p> <p>examination [28] 1846/17 1848/24 1861/19 1861/20 1889/4 1889/16 1895/2 1895/6 1897/23 1899/23 1899/24</p>
---	---	---

<p>E</p> <p>examination... [17] 1900/5 1900/6 1900/11 1905/20 1906/25 1907/4 1911/14 1915/23 1920/21 1921/12 1923/11 1935/25 1937/4 1937/15 1938/20 1949/14 1971/25</p> <p>examinations [3] 1899/6 1899/8 1913/9</p> <p>examiner [19] 1899/2 1900/4</p>	<p>1900/6 1901/4 1904/1 1904/13 1904/17 1907/18 1910/19 1913/20 1917/8 1935/16 1935/20 1935/23 1936/4 1939/12 1939/24 1958/3 1971/17</p> <p>examiners [22] 1865/13 1899/18 1900/25 1902/14 1902/15 1902/17 1906/7</p>	<p>1906/13 1910/3 1910/23 1911/7 1911/10 1915/20 1916/10 1916/17 1917/11 1938/4 1938/10 1938/25 1939/5 1947/13 1957/17</p> <p>examining [1] 1937/7</p> <p>example [5] 1850/4 1902/25 1904/18 1926/14 1932/6</p> <p>examples [8]</p>
---	---	--

E	1860/1	1849/19
examples... [8]	1931/25	exist [3]
1892/18	1932/19	1964/7
1892/23	exemplifying	1964/10
1894/12	[6] 1859/14	1964/11
1894/20	1891/2	existed [5]
1904/21	1891/10	1890/21
1913/21	1892/3	1891/19
1931/21	1894/10	1933/4 1945/7
1938/18	1935/12	1951/13
exceed [1]	exemption [1]	existence [5]
1950/10	1948/15	1857/4
exception [2]	exercise [1]	1942/25
1948/12	1929/9	1955/5 1955/6
1948/13	exhibit [7]	1957/10
excluded [1]	1843/9	existing [1]
1944/4	1843/15	1933/25
excuse [1]	1843/16	expand [1]
1951/19	1843/16	1936/1
excused [3]	1843/18	expects [3]
1895/18	1962/15	1847/21
1921/3	1966/2	1896/15
1974/10	exhibits [2]	1922/12
exemplify [3]	1849/14	experience [8]
		1850/19

<p>E</p> <p>experience... [7] 1860/6 1860/23 1898/18 1918/12 1919/11 1920/3 1923/20</p> <p>expert [18] 1847/10 1847/23 1848/14 1864/15 1880/25 1896/4 1896/22 1897/3 1897/4 1907/8 1918/13 1922/15 1922/19 1923/1 1946/16</p>	<p>1946/19 1947/7 1956/21</p> <p>expertise [1] 1861/2</p> <p>Experts [1] 1872/12</p> <p>expired [3] 1972/1 1973/2 1973/4</p> <p>explain [5] 1845/16 1860/8 1864/18 1867/12 1903/13</p> <p>explained [1] 1879/22</p> <p>explanation [4] 1862/5 1954/3 1954/4 1954/25</p> <p>explanations [1] 1907/11</p>	<p>explicitly [3] 1858/19 1902/4 1926/20</p> <p>Exposicion [3] 1882/17 1884/9 1885/15</p> <p>expound [1] 1975/12</p> <p>express [1] 1927/4</p> <p>expressed [1] 1901/12</p> <p>expressing [1] 1918/15</p> <p>expression [2] 1870/22 1927/1</p> <p>expressly [1] 1919/6</p> <p>extent [2] 1932/6 1932/7</p>
--	--	---

<p>F</p> <p>facilitate [2] 1845/18 1873/19</p> <p>fact [34] 1855/20 1855/20 1856/7 1856/10 1856/12 1857/1 1857/2 1857/5 1878/16 1894/18 1901/20 1907/20 1912/20 1914/9 1927/22 1945/11 1947/3 1953/3 1953/6 1953/13 1953/15</p>	<p>1953/16 1953/22 1954/18 1954/20 1955/16 1955/22 1957/4 1957/7 1957/10 1958/23 1963/15 1964/2 1964/10</p> <p>factors [1] 1955/15</p> <p>faculty [3] 1850/14 1866/12 1866/12</p> <p>failure [2] 1903/11 1940/15</p> <p>fair [2] 1920/11 1977/1</p>	<p>familiar [5] 1871/19 1909/21 1917/8 1917/15 1944/8</p> <p>familiarized [1] 1918/20</p> <p>far [2] 1943/12 1951/22</p> <p>favor [2] 1919/7 1969/23</p> <p>Federal [5] 1851/15 1851/16 1851/20 1852/9 1910/14</p> <p>Federation [1] 1856/24</p> <p>feel [2] 1917/24</p>
--	---	---

F	1909/18	1919/25
feel... [1]	1941/19	1920/7
1975/14	1958/19	1920/14
fence [1]	1970/18	1927/25
1916/24	fields [1]	1928/3
few [10]	1870/6	1928/23
1882/1	figures [2]	1929/2 1929/3
1894/25	1968/14	1929/4
1906/7	1968/20	1931/11
1959/22	file [4] 1909/6	final [5]
1964/23	1909/13	1931/7
1964/25	1971/5	1940/13
1965/6 1965/6	1973/21	1958/6
1966/23	filed [6]	1959/24
1966/25	1860/23	1975/19
field [13]	1936/5	find [5]
1853/2 1855/8	1965/15	1846/2
1855/24	1966/4 1966/8	1859/23
1858/11	1970/1	1867/13
1863/7	filing [14]	1868/5
1869/23	1863/3	1965/18
1899/14	1863/16	fine [2]
1900/22	1890/17	1975/13
1906/12	1890/18	1976/7

F	1877/17	firsthand [1]
finish [1]	1877/24	1917/3
1863/12	1879/1	fishing [1]
finished [1]	1896/21	1854/2
1937/9	1898/17	five [5] 1903/5
firm [7]	1900/1	1903/7 1921/8
1851/1 1863/6	1901/17	1934/16
1897/15	1909/1	1973/10
1897/19	1913/18	Floor [1]
1924/8	1915/17	1839/6
1924/12	1922/19	focus [4]
1973/21	1924/24	1958/4 1967/3
first [41]	1926/4 1928/8	1969/14
1843/11	1935/4	1969/15
1846/19	1944/19	focused [1]
1847/1 1848/3	1944/21	1959/14
1849/23	1945/21	follow [3]
1852/6 1853/9	1947/2	1849/25
1854/6	1951/12	1898/6
1854/11	1951/22	1976/20
1858/6 1873/1	1951/25	followed [1]
1876/5 1876/9	1954/6 1956/1	1923/24
1876/12	1959/22	following [5]
1877/3	1975/11	1843/19

F	form [6] 1858/21 1902/6 1902/11 1905/23 1920/10 1962/22	fostering [1] 1925/21
following... [4] 1859/5 1859/16 1901/17 1902/4	formal [3] 1851/6 1900/1 1918/16	found [3] 1952/23 1970/20 1970/22
force [4] 1872/19 1958/16 1966/12 1966/18	formally [1] 1946/20	founded [1] 1924/8
forcing [1] 1857/3	former [1] 1938/4	founding [1] 1924/6
foregoing [1] 1931/6	forth [6] 1866/25 1867/6 1885/2 1888/8 1901/16 1961/11	fourth [1] 1954/5
foreign [3] 1841/11 1943/8 1943/13	forward [3] 1883/11 1885/15 1895/8	framework [1] 1933/3
foresee [2] 1888/3 1930/23		free [4] 1838/3 1854/13 1889/11 1948/13
foreseeing [1] 1960/3		frequently [1] 1933/11
		front [5] 1847/22 1869/7

<p>F</p> <p>front... [3] 1896/16 1922/13 1964/19</p> <p>fulfill [2] 1859/18 1870/2</p> <p>fulfilled [1] 1871/16</p> <p>fulfilling [1] 1920/7</p> <p>fulfillment [1] 1866/5</p> <p>full [8] 1847/5 1849/18 1865/3 1895/23 1899/15 1919/25 1921/23 1935/5</p> <p>function [5] 1881/21</p>	<p>1887/2 1887/13 1887/17 1930/15</p> <p>further [3] 1861/17 1861/18 1965/13</p> <p>future [1] 1928/16</p> <hr/> <p>G</p> <p>Garcia [1] 1896/2</p> <p>GARY [1] 1839/11</p> <p>gary.born [1] 1839/13</p> <p>Gastrell [1] 1839/18</p> <p>gather [1] 1919/8</p> <p>gave [2] 1873/11 1932/2</p>	<p>Gazette [3] 1856/23 1946/23 1946/24</p> <p>general [17] 1850/25 1856/1 1860/5 1862/6 1862/10 1863/19 1863/21 1864/7 1864/10 1865/11 1865/19 1878/25 1899/12 1908/7 1908/12 1908/16 1929/10</p> <p>generally [3] 1872/14 1924/3 1935/1</p>
---	--	---

G	gentlemen [1] 1843/2	1922/12
generate [1] 1960/5	George [1] 1923/22	1923/13 1927/24
generic [15] 1855/14 1941/24 1942/8 1942/11 1942/22 1943/11 1943/20 1944/12 1944/20 1946/1 1948/8 1949/21 1950/15 1950/19 1950/25	gerund [2] 1957/12 1957/14	1929/11 1934/13 1974/2 1975/7 1975/11 1976/1
	get [4] 1846/8 1866/11 1928/1 1928/24	given [6] 1854/16 1858/4 1907/1 1940/14 1942/25 1954/25
	Giglio [1] 1839/25	
	GILDA [3] 1842/4 1847/8 1850/12	gives [2] 1864/14 1928/15
	GINA [1] 1840/7	go [22] 1844/18 1848/3 1848/4 1848/7 1848/14 1848/15 1851/8
generics [3] 1943/15 1948/22 1950/7	give [14] 1847/21 1854/19 1862/4 1902/23 1907/11	
Genome [1] 1844/14		

G	1850/18	1849/1 1849/3
go... [15]	1851/11	1849/6 1850/7
1856/15	1852/23	1850/9
1860/19	1856/2 1856/8	1850/12
1872/2 1875/9	1879/17	1861/22
1886/17	1885/19	1864/17
1889/24	1886/15	1868/18
1896/21	1886/16	1882/15
1896/22	1903/13	1883/21
1897/2	1933/11	1889/9
1922/19	1933/15	1889/18
1922/25	1941/17	1894/22
1923/1	1959/13	1895/3
1938/23	1961/3	1895/17
1951/22	1974/24	1898/11
1958/9	GONZALEZ	1907/2
goal [2]	[34] 1842/4	1917/17
1862/19	1846/14	1964/19
1960/14	1846/18	1965/16
goes [3]	1847/3 1847/5	1967/16
1852/12	1847/8 1847/9	1968/8
1878/15	1847/18	1968/14
1966/11	1848/3	Gonzalez's [2]
going [16]	1848/20	1965/13

<p>G</p> <p>Gonzalez's... [1] 1967/2</p> <p>GONZALEZ-C ARMONA [2] 1847/3 1847/8</p> <p>Gonzalez.....1850 [1] 1842/6</p> <p>good [16] 1843/1 1847/1 1847/4 1847/7 1850/12 1861/21 1895/22 1895/25 1896/1 1907/5 1921/22 1921/25 1941/20 1941/22 1967/14 1975/5</p> <p>goods [1]</p>	<p>1926/1</p> <p>GORE [1] 1840/8</p> <p>got [2] 1849/16 1898/20</p> <p>government [2] 1838/12 1924/2</p> <p>GOWLING [1] 1840/15</p> <p>graduate [1] 1866/19</p> <p>grant [2] 1861/10 1934/5</p> <p>granted [10] 1903/1 1903/3 1903/9 1903/24 1905/23 1906/5 1934/15 1936/10</p>	<p>1940/17 1972/19</p> <p>granting [6] 1927/22 1928/18 1929/19 1936/11 1936/12 1949/4</p> <p>grants [1] 1852/4</p> <p>great [3] 1861/2 1869/23 1925/5</p> <p>grounds [2] 1927/8 1936/9</p> <p>group [2] 1915/23 1919/22</p> <p>grouping [2] 1845/8 1943/6</p> <p>groups [1] 1945/4</p>
--	---	---

<p>G</p> <p>guess [1] 1967/13</p> <p>guide [1] 1910/18</p> <p>guidelines [1] 1910/1</p> <p>guiding [1] 1851/17</p>	<p>1876/22</p> <p>1877/14</p> <p>1880/4 1881/6</p> <p>1888/8</p> <p>1891/14</p> <p>1891/22</p> <p>1892/24</p> <p>1899/7</p> <p>1899/17</p> <p>1901/8</p> <p>1902/24</p> <p>1903/4</p> <p>1903/11</p> <p>1903/16</p> <p>1904/17</p> <p>1904/20</p> <p>1905/2 1905/5</p> <p>1905/6 1911/5</p> <p>1911/17</p> <p>1920/1</p> <p>1925/10</p> <p>1925/14</p> <p>1926/3 1928/2</p> <p>1929/18</p>	<p>1947/22</p> <p>1949/7 1949/7</p> <p>1967/15</p> <p>1968/16</p> <p>HALE [1] 1839/12</p> <p>half [5] 1909/4</p> <p>1934/6</p> <p>1934/15</p> <p>1974/16</p> <p>1974/25</p> <p>hand [2] 1932/12</p> <p>1952/2</p> <p>handed [1] 1849/18</p> <p>handling [1] 1933/13</p> <p>HANOTIAU [1] 1839/6</p> <p>happen [1] 1973/16</p> <p>happened [1] 1853/6</p>
<p>H</p> <p>had [47] 1844/17</p> <p>1845/4 1845/5</p> <p>1850/19</p> <p>1853/8</p> <p>1853/19</p> <p>1858/15</p> <p>1859/16</p> <p>1861/3 1861/5</p> <p>1865/21</p> <p>1869/22</p> <p>1870/3</p> <p>1873/10</p>		

H	1861/9 1863/2	1930/21
happens [1]	1872/17	1930/23
1860/4	1872/21	1931/13
hard [1]	1878/17	1933/12
1967/6	1878/22	1934/2 1936/9
harmonious	1888/2 1893/4	1941/21
[1] 1933/20	1894/17	1943/3 1944/1
harmonize [2]	1899/9 1900/2	1949/5
1877/13	1900/6 1900/7	1958/17
1880/2	1900/8 1900/8	1959/2
harmonized	1900/9	1959/11
[1] 1879/16	1900/10	1959/15
harmonizes	1900/11	1960/2
[1] 1859/14	1900/21	1960/15
has [65]	1904/4 1914/5	1962/8
1843/8	1916/17	1967/16
1843/25	1916/20	1970/18
1851/5 1853/1	1918/12	1973/17
1853/4 1853/6	1919/3	1974/8
1853/15	1919/18	1975/20
1853/18	1923/25	1976/23
1854/20	1924/10	have [136]
1854/24	1924/11	1843/5
1858/9 1859/2	1928/11	1843/20

H	1863/6	1905/12
have... [134]	1865/11	1906/22
1845/6	1865/16	1907/1 1908/1
1845/13	1865/16	1909/15
1845/15	1868/18	1909/18
1849/8	1869/6 1873/8	1910/23
1849/12	1875/10	1911/16
1849/21	1875/25	1914/6
1850/1	1876/10	1916/25
1850/15	1877/23	1917/2 1917/9
1850/23	1881/2 1881/7	1918/9
1851/1	1887/25	1918/10
1851/15	1889/8	1918/20
1851/16	1890/11	1918/22
1851/17	1891/7	1919/5 1921/8
1851/20	1891/19	1921/10
1851/22	1892/25	1921/12
1851/23	1893/4	1923/12
1851/25	1893/17	1924/3 1927/4
1853/8 1854/7	1894/17	1931/4 1931/5
1858/1 1859/5	1898/18	1932/9
1859/10	1900/8	1932/13
1860/10	1904/15	1933/12
1862/15	1905/10	1933/14

H		
have..... [55]		
1933/14	1947/15	1973/23
1934/10	1947/15	1974/5
1934/14	1951/7	1975/21
1934/16	1951/20	1975/22
1936/19	1951/23	1976/7
1937/8	1952/12	1976/16
1937/10	1952/14	haven't [3]
1937/18	1953/4 1953/8	1917/12
1937/20	1953/9	1970/20
1937/23	1953/11	1970/22
1938/20	1953/12	having [7]
1938/22	1953/15	1844/17
1939/1 1939/5	1954/20	1860/11
1939/7	1956/24	1890/18
1939/12	1958/7	1938/14
1939/24	1964/19	1964/10
1940/16	1966/6	1968/19
1940/19	1966/17	1970/10
1941/3	1967/13	he [2] 1844/9
1943/14	1968/6	1918/1
1943/25	1968/24	he's [1]
1944/11	1969/11	1886/15
	1969/17	head [1]
	1970/6 1970/8	1966/16

H	1947/14	1887/8 1887/9
hearing [5]	1947/18	1887/16
1843/2 1937/4	1960/9	1894/11
1974/14	1960/10	1894/17
1975/13	helped [1]	1896/4
1977/13	1938/16	1898/19
HEDWIG [3]	helpfulness	1914/25
1842/15	[1] 1932/7	1923/22
1921/21	helps [4]	1926/24
1922/1	1855/21	1927/14
held [2]	1953/16	1928/10
1898/25	1959/7	1929/22
1940/12	1959/12	1929/24
Hello [1]	HENDERSON	1930/24
1937/16	[1] 1840/15	1931/2 1931/4
help [13]	her [2] 1849/5	1931/23
1850/3	1884/1	1932/3 1934/2
1857/15	here [46]	1940/4
1857/17	1854/19	1947/14
1879/24	1855/1 1856/3	1950/24
1880/5	1856/8	1950/24
1880/11	1856/19	1951/19
1880/11	1865/18	1952/25
1918/3 1932/5	1882/7 1886/9	1955/12

<p>H</p> <p>here... [11] 1955/14 1957/6 1957/12 1964/5 1964/12 1966/6 1967/24 1968/1 1969/2 1970/7 1972/18</p> <p>hereby [1] 1922/15</p> <p>hereinafter [2] 1856/21 1954/13</p> <p>herself [1] 1903/21</p> <p>hesitate [2] 1861/25 1907/12</p> <p>HGS [1] 1846/12</p>	<p>high [1] 1861/4</p> <p>higher [1] 1951/2</p> <p>highest [1] 1851/13</p> <p>highlights [1] 1957/3</p> <p>HILDA [1] 1847/3</p> <p>himself [1] 1903/20</p> <p>his [1] 1898/2</p> <p>historical [3] 1925/4 1963/23 1963/25</p> <p>historically [1] 1853/7</p> <p>history [4] 1845/12 1849/9 1957/21 1971/5</p>	<p>holder [1] 1969/23</p> <p>Holistic [1] 1933/16</p> <p>honor [4] 1847/24 1895/12 1896/18 1922/16</p> <p>honorable [1] 1850/11</p> <p>hope [3] 1849/24 1928/4 1975/7</p> <p>hopefully [1] 1845/19</p> <p>hours [4] 1843/14 1843/16 1974/16 1974/25</p> <p>household [1] 1843/4</p> <p>how [19]</p>
--	---	--

H	1844/14	1856/8
how... [19]	1861/2 1866/6	1861/22
1854/22	1933/23	1868/12
1858/5	1934/1	1875/24
1872/18	hvdb.com [1]	1882/20
1872/20	1839/8	1883/12
1883/20	I	1888/5 1907/6
1889/3 1895/8	I confirm [1]	1914/21
1900/15	1897/8	1915/9
1902/10	I understand	1918/17
1909/21	[1] 1969/10	1940/6
1910/1	I'd [8] 1843/12	1943/17
1911/14	1849/2 1883/2	1944/8
1943/5	1884/24	1944/15
1949/25	1929/23	1944/22
1956/24	1937/16	1951/19
1968/8	1960/25	1951/23
1968/21	1964/14	1954/1
1969/10	I'll [4] 1915/3	1957/11
1970/8	1915/7 1928/9	1959/14
However [2]	1967/13	1959/20
1878/12	I'm [28]	1966/15
1916/23	1846/1	1967/14
human [5]	1852/23	1968/7 1976/2

I	1861/3 1929/1	1906/13
I've [4]	1970/4	1906/16
1850/19	impacts [1]	1907/18
1924/1 1924/2	1929/2	1908/23
1924/16	impede [1]	1908/25
idea [4]	1928/19	1909/22
1877/12	IMPI [56]	1910/3
1881/6 1902/3	1850/21	1910/16
1955/2	1852/2 1852/4	1911/10
identified [1]	1852/8 1860/6	1911/19
1947/1	1861/8 1861/9	1912/1 1912/5
II [1] 1931/17	1863/21	1912/9
illustrate [1]	1864/8 1865/6	1912/20
1901/2	1878/17	1914/13
illustrated [1]	1879/1	1915/12
1860/3	1898/23	1916/2
illustration [1]	1898/23	1916/16
1955/8	1899/20	1917/3 1917/6
image [1]	1901/6	1938/4
1875/11	1901/11	1938/10
imbalance [2]	1901/16	1938/25
1928/15	1902/24	1940/20
1929/11	1902/25	1941/11
impact [3]	1904/22	1957/17

I		
IMPI... [9]		
1966/1 1968/1	implement [1]	1927/25
1968/5	1854/14	improved [3]
1968/25	implementatio	1947/12
1968/25	n [2] 1853/11	1947/13
1969/6 1969/9	1963/15	1947/23
1971/16	implemented	inadequate [1]
1972/20	[2] 1926/9	1927/22
IMPI's [15]	1926/10	include [16]
1877/19	implementing	1854/2
1878/2	[2] 1910/11	1858/15
1878/13	1936/8	1859/6
1910/19	importance [3]	1860/13
1910/22	1933/7	1891/14
1911/6	1933/8 1949/2	1891/22
1911/17	important [6]	1893/18
1912/14	1854/8	1894/5
1914/1 1914/2	1869/25	1901/22
1914/5	1926/8	1931/18
1914/11	1927/15	1934/25
1915/10	1930/5	1935/8 1960/1
1915/16	1934/11	1960/11
1915/19	impose [1]	1964/2
	1927/3	1969/22
	improper [1]	included [13]

<p>I</p> <p>included... [13] 1859/1 1859/17 1876/19 1877/1 1878/9 1880/4 1884/7 1889/19 1890/25 1892/17 1927/7 1935/11 1957/7</p> <p>includes [6] 1860/22 1934/12 1935/3 1943/11 1958/24 1963/11</p> <p>including [8] 1857/2 1890/9 1931/24 1939/21</p>	<p>1955/15 1955/22 1956/19 1970/7</p> <p>inclusion [6] 1869/24 1887/21 1888/2 1893/13 1930/21 1932/22</p> <p>incorporated [1] 1870/4</p> <p>incorporates [1] 1958/22</p> <p>incorrectly [1] 1968/20</p> <p>increase [10] 1856/3 1857/6 1902/12 1913/7 1913/13 1913/16 1948/7</p>	<p>1948/21 1950/14 1950/19</p> <p>indeed [8] 1855/13 1864/19 1873/4 1925/6 1941/15 1947/6 1969/4 1976/17</p> <p>independent [2] 1897/14 1909/5</p> <p>INDEX [1] 1842/2</p> <p>indicate [3] 1858/19 1886/15 1902/4</p> <p>indicated [5] 1882/3 1930/3 1930/21 1932/20 1938/21</p>
--	--	--

I	1926/22	1976/14
indicates [6]	industry [9]	infractions [1]
1885/21	1854/1 1854/4	1852/11
1886/4	1855/23	infringements
1886/14	1873/18	[4] 1852/12
1900/17	1903/19	1860/11
1928/22	1926/24	1866/21
1957/22	1944/12	1866/21
indicating [1]	1944/20	initial [1]
1932/21	1946/1	1931/2
indication [1]	influence [1]	initially [1]
1963/6	1874/17	1975/11
indications [1]	information	initiated [3]
1901/1	[12] 1859/12	1881/19
individual [1]	1865/24	1965/1 1965/7
1903/20	1891/1	initiative [11]
industrial	1891/10	1855/11
[171]	1892/3	1877/13
industries [7]	1894/10	1883/17
1854/3 1854/5	1935/12	1912/13
1854/9	1935/17	1929/25
1873/23	1935/21	1931/8
1874/4	1945/9	1944/20
1926/21	1976/11	1944/25

<p>I</p> <p>initiative... [3] 1945/6 1945/7 1945/9</p> <p>initiatives [1] 1945/3</p> <p>innovating [1] 1942/12</p> <p>innovation [1] 1927/24</p> <p>inserting [1] 1950/23</p> <p>insertion [1] 1924/24</p> <p>inside [1] 1869/8</p> <p>instance [3] 1843/12 1852/6 1861/13</p> <p>instead [4] 1855/5 1880/12 1959/6</p>	<p>1959/11</p> <p>institute [10] 1852/2 1860/20 1864/21 1876/22 1898/24 1901/23 1902/22 1918/15 1920/19 1932/9</p> <p>institution [1] 1850/16</p> <p>institutions [1] 1850/17</p> <p>Instituto [1] 1850/20</p> <p>instructed [1] 1915/19</p> <p>instructions [1] 1910/1</p> <p>instrument [2] 1856/22</p>	<p>1954/14</p> <p>instruments [1] 1860/16</p> <p>integrated [2] 1869/23 1877/4</p> <p>intellectual [17] 1850/13 1851/2 1852/6 1853/10 1853/15 1856/14 1856/21 1863/7 1870/10 1907/23 1924/7 1924/14 1944/3 1946/17 1954/13 1955/10 1956/19</p> <p>intend [1]</p>
---	--	--

I	1854/15	1851/18
intend... [1]	1856/22	1863/12
1843/17	1857/8	1864/14
intent [1]	1865/22	1868/24
1915/25	1866/25	1896/6
intention [2]	1867/6	1911/10
1892/7	1869/22	1922/3
1920/13	1870/1	1924/23
interest [4]	1871/14	1933/16
1844/2	1871/17	1933/19
1925/12	1874/18	interpretation
1945/4 1949/3	1874/18	s [1] 1957/20
interested [1]	1943/11	interpreted [1]
1973/17	1954/14	1935/1
interesting [1]	1954/22	interpreters
1945/7	1956/2	[2] 1839/23
interests [2]	1956/18	1886/22
1929/10	1956/22	interpreting
1944/2	interpret [3]	[1] 1933/17
internal [1]	1910/24	introduce [3]
1946/24	1911/2	1898/18
international	1963/22	1930/1 1932/8
[20] 1853/11	interpretation	introduced [8]
1853/16	[11] 1847/12	1874/20

I	1860/10	1891/11
introduced...	invention [75]	1891/15
[7] 1878/11	1853/1	1891/17
1879/7	1854/24	1891/20
1879/13	1855/3	1891/23
1912/15	1855/21	1892/4
1915/21	1857/4	1893/12
1915/24	1857/11	1893/14
1936/7	1857/18	1893/20
introducing	1858/9	1893/21
[5] 1858/2	1858/21	1894/6 1894/7
1879/21	1858/21	1894/11
1880/3 1880/6	1859/7 1859/8	1894/13
1960/4	1859/13	1900/9
introduction	1868/23	1900/21
[2] 1846/20	1873/17	1901/2
1857/15	1881/21	1901/18
invalidated [5]	1881/22	1902/6 1902/6
1861/12	1887/2	1904/3 1904/4
1968/6	1887/13	1904/25
1969/11	1887/17	1905/3 1905/7
1969/17	1888/2 1888/4	1905/9
1970/18	1890/19	1905/12
invalidity [1]	1891/3	1905/15

I	inventions	invited [1]
invention...	[11] 1852/17	1872/13
[23] 1906/9	1867/25	involve [1]
1906/12	1868/16	1863/15
1912/22	1899/9	involved [6]
1925/14	1900/17	1860/16
1925/17	1926/2	1862/16
1927/22	1926/22	1863/3
1930/15	1936/15	1925/15
1930/19	1937/25	1949/4
1930/21	1961/16	1956/18
1931/22	1962/2	involving [1]
1933/22	inventive [8]	1964/23
1934/24	1852/18	IP [3] 1860/22
1935/6	1868/1	1911/11
1935/10	1868/23	1911/18
1935/13	1900/14	IPA [8]
1952/8 1953/3	1930/18	1870/16
1953/16	1933/6	1878/15
1955/4	1961/13	1889/20
1955/19	1961/17	1891/1
1957/10	inventiveness	1910/24
1958/17	[1] 1958/3	1911/5
1960/2	investigation	1911/23
	[1] 1931/20	

I	1902/14	1874/15
IPA... [1]	1913/9	1874/22
1913/2	1931/23	1877/3 1882/6
IPIDEC [1]	1971/21	1882/7 1882/8
1866/20	1976/9	1882/10
IPL [2]	issues [5]	1884/7 1886/9
1906/10	1905/2	1887/8 1887/9
1906/15	1924/19	1891/18
is [408]	1926/16	1892/22
isn't [2]	1942/20	1893/11
1894/14	1944/2	1893/23
1973/11	it [286]	1894/16
isolate [1]	it'd [1] 1963/5	1895/5 1915/1
1933/18	it's [45]	1915/19
issuance [1]	1843/10	1917/6 1919/5
1972/23	1843/22	1919/6
issue [5]	1843/23	1927/14
1880/2 1930/9	1850/21	1928/10
1941/21	1851/20	1928/11
1947/16	1862/23	1936/4 1942/4
1952/25	1866/19	1946/4
issued [7]	1868/10	1952/17
1879/16	1874/9	1967/6 1969/6
1885/10	1874/13	1971/6 1976/7

<p>I</p> <p>it's... [2] 1976/24 1977/1</p> <p>its [20] 1854/14 1869/15 1869/19 1870/10 1871/8 1877/15 1901/12 1906/10 1910/20 1910/24 1911/5 1912/9 1926/7 1936/2 1962/21 1963/22 1963/23 1963/25 1963/25 1972/23</p> <p>itself [2]</p>	<p>1918/21 1963/6</p> <p>IV [38] 1852/23 1854/20 1858/5 1858/7 1859/15 1859/25 1875/6 1875/11 1875/22 1876/9 1876/17 1877/19 1878/1 1878/10 1878/14 1879/6 1879/13 1889/20 1889/25 1900/19 1902/2 1913/2 1931/7 1932/4</p>	<p>1934/10 1951/8 1951/16 1952/3 1954/20 1958/12 1958/22 1962/12 1962/21 1963/5 1963/10 1963/19 1963/22 1964/1</p> <hr/> <p>J</p> <p>Jacob [2] 1844/6 1844/7</p> <p>JAMES [1] 1840/6</p> <p>JAN [1] 1839/5</p> <p>January [3] 1922/20 1973/6 1973/8</p>
---	---	--

<p>J</p> <hr/> <p>January 2015 [1] 1922/20</p> <p>Jenkins [1] 1841/19</p> <p>job [1] 1850/19</p> <p>JOHN [1] 1840/7</p> <p>JOHNSTON [1] 1841/5</p> <p>judge [2] 1844/8 1852/8</p> <p>judges [1] 1852/12</p> <p>judgment [1] 1844/13</p> <p>judicial [1] 1860/12</p> <p>judiciary [1] 1910/14</p> <p>June [4] 1838/21 1842/1 1878/8</p>	<p>1956/13</p> <p>June 18th [1] 1878/8</p> <p>June 1991 [1] 1956/13</p> <p>jurisdictions [2] 1880/20 1888/17</p> <p>jurisprudence [1] 1910/14</p> <p>just [34] 1845/4 1845/22 1860/22 1862/24 1866/11 1873/7 1873/19 1875/3 1875/6 1881/24 1886/6 1887/5 1887/10 1897/12 1902/10</p>	<p>1902/23</p> <p>1906/6 1915/1 1915/3 1920/5</p> <p>1928/24 1932/24 1955/22 1961/15 1961/23 1965/4 1965/10 1965/21 1966/22 1967/4 1967/6 1968/7 1968/7 1968/10</p> <p>JUSTICE [1] 1841/10</p> <hr/> <p>K</p> <hr/> <p>K1A [1] 1841/13</p> <p>K1P [1] 1840/17</p> <p>KCMG [1] 1839/15</p>
--	---	--

<p>K</p> <p>keep [2] 1865/20 1940/3</p> <p>key [1] 1849/9</p> <p>kind [6] 1851/9 1903/21 1909/9 1909/16 1947/16 1973/14</p> <p>kinds [3] 1909/11 1909/16 1939/20</p> <p>Kingdom [2] 1839/13 1844/14</p> <p>know [23] 1843/8 1850/2 1850/21 1861/13 1871/5</p>	<p>1872/16 1878/24 1883/21 1884/13 1894/16 1916/20 1916/20 1920/16 1938/12 1943/12 1943/16 1943/23 1947/23 1948/23 1949/25 1967/12 1971/3 1977/3</p> <p>knowledge [4] 1917/3 1919/25 1950/1 1976/13</p> <p>known [12] 1851/20</p>	<p>1851/24 1852/2 1859/6 1891/15 1891/23 1893/13 1893/19 1894/5 1931/19 1931/19 1935/9</p> <p>KRISTA [1] 1841/6</p> <hr/> <p>L</p> <hr/> <p>la [5] 1882/17 1887/23 1897/20 1918/6 1959/22</p> <p>labeled [2] 1843/10 1958/11</p> <p>laboratories [6] 1942/11 1942/18</p>
--	---	---

<p>L</p> <p>laboratories... [4] 1943/2 1943/5 1943/8 1943/9</p> <p>laboratory [1] 1944/1</p> <p>lack [22] 1861/10 1861/12 1861/14 1902/19 1903/6 1903/22 1904/1 1904/7 1904/9 1904/11 1904/14 1904/24 1905/3 1905/4 1905/5 1905/21 1906/17 1906/19</p>	<p>1939/2 1939/13 1939/25 1940/1</p> <p>ladies [1] 1843/1</p> <p>LAFLEUR [1] 1840/15</p> <p>land [1] 1851/14</p> <p>Lane [1] 1839/12</p> <p>language [12] 1846/25 1847/12 1849/7 1850/1 1887/9 1896/6 1917/23 1919/16 1922/3 1951/15 1962/16 1962/18</p> <p>largest [1]</p>	<p>1943/3</p> <p>last [7] 1889/4 1919/15 1934/20 1955/12 1965/20 1969/18 1969/19</p> <p>later [5] 1872/23 1903/24 1904/8 1928/9 1964/24</p> <p>LAUREN [1] 1840/9</p> <p>Laurie [1] 1839/21</p> <p>law [104] 1841/10 1844/9 1845/12 1850/13 1850/14 1851/1 1851/5</p>
--	---	---

L	1862/20	1901/18
law... [97]	1862/24	1906/5
1851/13	1863/6	1907/23
1851/18	1865/16	1910/5
1851/20	1866/6	1910/10
1851/21	1866/15	1910/12
1851/25	1868/25	1911/2 1911/3
1852/16	1869/21	1911/15
1852/20	1870/4	1911/21
1852/21	1871/18	1915/22
1852/23	1871/24	1916/4
1853/9 1853/9	1873/2 1873/4	1923/20
1853/11	1873/6 1873/9	1923/23
1853/13	1873/12	1924/7
1853/14	1873/22	1924/12
1853/24	1874/8 1874/8	1924/22
1854/10	1874/8	1925/1 1925/2
1854/17	1874/17	1925/7 1925/8
1854/18	1878/7	1925/16
1854/19	1879/25	1925/20
1858/16	1900/3	1925/21
1859/21	1900/13	1925/23
1859/24	1900/16	1925/24
1861/9	1901/5 1901/9	1925/25

L	1912/17	1857/22
law..... [19]	lawyer [2]	1860/16
1926/6 1926/7	1886/14	1877/19
1926/20	1940/23	1878/1
1927/5 1927/8	lead [1]	1878/13
1933/21	1911/24	1880/8
1934/9	leading [2]	1890/21
1934/23	1924/12	1900/24
1951/9	1942/22	1908/2
1951/13	least [4]	1910/23
1953/6 1956/2	1860/14	1914/4 1914/6
1956/22	1914/21	1916/8
1958/15	1924/5 1953/9	1918/14
1961/18	leave [1]	1926/12
1962/1	1975/20	1926/12
1963/10	leaving [1]	1928/24
1964/7	1932/25	1933/3
1973/21	left [2]	1933/18
laws [6]	1916/16	1942/13
1851/6	1952/22	1944/11
1851/15	legal [28]	1944/14
1851/16	1849/7 1849/9	1960/19
1862/11	1851/4 1851/5	legally [1]
1910/10	1853/5	1851/22

<p>L</p> <p>legislation [5] 1871/20 1879/17 1885/18 1911/18 1916/11</p> <p>legislations [1] 1911/11</p> <p>legislative [14] 1845/12 1849/9 1872/1 1882/12 1882/18 1883/17 1884/6 1884/11 1914/15 1915/14 1945/12 1945/16 1946/11 1957/21</p> <p>legislator [3]</p>	<p>1882/5 1930/1 1930/3</p> <p>legislators [6] 1855/11 1882/2 1929/24 1930/4 1949/11 1960/10</p> <p>legislature [4] 1876/5 1876/13 1880/23 1882/3</p> <p>Leroux [1] 1897/21</p> <p>LESAUX [1] 1841/7</p> <p>less [2] 1965/2 1965/14</p> <p>Lester [1] 1841/12</p> <p>let [9] 1850/2</p>	<p>1859/16 1860/5 1860/8 1860/19 1873/7 1920/5 1971/8 1977/3</p> <p>let's [8] 1951/3 1951/12 1952/16 1954/3 1964/14 1969/5 1970/24 1972/2</p> <p>letter [1] 1901/14</p> <p>LEVEILLE [1] 1841/8</p> <p>level [4] 1852/7 1861/1 1950/16 1950/20</p> <p>Licenciada [1] 1917/17</p>
---	--	--

L	1898/3	1905/18
like [39]	1898/17	1940/12
1843/12	1899/22	Lilly's [4]
1843/19	1899/23	1938/25
1844/21	1912/3	1940/14
1848/4	1921/10	1944/6
1848/21	1929/23	1970/24
1849/2 1851/3	1937/17	limit [2]
1855/6	1940/4	1890/10
1867/23	1943/25	1931/11
1868/15	1960/25	limitation [2]
1869/2	1964/15	1926/23
1874/10	1965/4 1971/7	1936/1
1877/16	1975/11	limited [1]
1881/9	1975/12	1939/23
1881/25	likely [2]	LINDNER [14]
1883/2	1974/18	1842/15
1884/24	1974/25	1921/21
1886/10	Likewise [1]	1921/23
1887/7	1859/5	1922/1
1888/12	LILLY [5]	1922/19
1888/24	1838/8	1923/18
1890/4	1844/14	1924/7 1934/4
1897/17	1846/12	1936/22

L	1924/4 1941/1	1926/23
LINDNER... [5]	1941/18	LLP [2]
1936/24	1941/18	1840/11
1937/7	1941/23	1840/15
1937/16	1941/24	lo [1] 1890/7
1940/2 1974/9	1944/9	locate [1]
Lindner.....	1964/15	1915/9
.....1923 [1]	1970/3	locating [1]
1842/17	1973/14	1850/2
Lindsay [1]	litigations [7]	London [2]
1839/18	1860/5 1860/7	1839/13
linked [1]	1860/9	1839/16
1962/12	1860/15	long [2]
list [1]	1861/8	1872/18
1845/19	1865/22	1976/22
literal [1]	1899/13	longer [2]
1851/18	litigios [1]	1955/9 1962/6
litigating [1]	1866/1	look [12]
1924/3	little [5]	1873/21
litigation [14]	1850/18	1893/2 1893/3
1850/23	1851/4 1851/8	1900/6 1900/7
1852/5	1856/15	1903/4 1915/7
1864/23	1976/19	1951/11
1865/20	livestock [1]	1951/12

L	1864/22	1961/12
look... [3]	Luis [1]	mainly [1]
1952/14	1839/24	1909/17
1953/23	lunch [3]	maintained [1]
1954/24	1921/13	1882/24
looked [2]	1936/23	major [3]
1905/18	1937/2	1874/15
1955/15	LUZ [1]	1926/13
looking [4]	1841/6	1943/7
1875/24	M	majority [2]
1951/19	made [9]	1860/18
1959/20	1852/8	1861/5
1962/6	1857/14	make [15]
LOPEZ [2]	1884/10	1848/21
1842/15	1884/16	1869/1
1922/1	1901/20	1875/11
los [1] 1950/4	1938/10	1886/6
lost [1] 1949/7	1948/21	1896/15
Louise [1]	1951/7	1897/10
1839/7	1951/14	1897/17
low [2]	Madrid [1]	1904/20
1859/20	1924/10	1918/11
1969/4	main [2]	1923/7
lower [1]	1888/7	1933/20

M	1857/16	1861/2 1889/3
make... [4]	1858/8	1895/8 1919/9
1935/5 1942/5	1905/15	1927/1 1943/5
1943/24	1911/6	1955/21
1956/3	1914/11	1957/25
makers [2]	1915/10	1964/21
1948/8	1942/6	1966/19
1949/22	1948/24	1966/21
makes [5]	manual [1]	1966/25
1849/25	1910/8	1968/8
1903/5	manuals [1]	1968/21
1905/11	1909/25	1969/10
1930/18	manufacture	1970/8
1954/4	[2] 1942/11	MARC [1]
making [3]	1943/15	1841/8
1906/6 1930/4	manufactured	MARC-ANDRE
1956/8	[1] 1873/18	[1] 1841/8
MALAF [1]	manufacturer	MARIELLA [3]
1943/4	s [4] 1855/14	1841/7
mandated [1]	1942/14	1861/22
1871/14	1942/23	1907/6
mankind [1]	1943/4	MARK [1]
1933/25	many [17]	1841/6
manner [8]	1860/17	market [10]

M	1967/14	1852/9 1854/1
market... [10]	matter [11]	1857/19
1925/23	1838/3 1843/6	1873/12
1948/8	1845/9	1945/4 1945/5
1948/22	1847/20	1947/14
1949/21	1896/14	1947/15
1950/8 1950/9	1900/10	1956/24
1950/14	1922/11	1964/11
1950/19	1936/1 1936/5	1975/9
1950/25	1945/8 1962/7	1976/18
1956/17	matters [10]	maybe [6]
market-orient	1844/21	1864/17
ed [1] 1956/17	1851/2	1870/24
MARNEY [1]	1852/12	1883/22
1840/5	1860/16	1889/5
Master's [1]	1897/16	1949/24
1923/21	1899/20	1968/19
material [3]	1917/10	McKenzie [1]
1866/7	1941/4	1924/9
1933/24	1944/15	me [31]
1935/15	1946/17	1851/3
materials [1]	may [14]	1859/16
1901/14	1845/22	1860/5 1860/8
math [1]	1846/19	1860/19

M	mean [5]	1942/14
me... [26]	1870/23	medicines [2]
1861/25	1882/10	1855/15
1873/7	1941/8 1955/9	1949/1
1873/12	1971/24	meet [7]
1874/1 1882/1	meaning [1]	1903/11
1885/25	1947/15	1903/24
1899/17	means [5]	1917/7
1907/12	1852/22	1948/10
1915/1	1900/6	1948/14
1916/25	1936/25	1948/17
1918/3	1950/22	1960/23
1918/10	1957/12	meeting [3]
1920/5 1933/2	meant [3]	1900/12
1933/17	1853/22	1921/10
1935/3	1874/21	1933/9
1938/16	1916/7	meetings [9]
1939/14	measure [1]	1901/7
1941/7 1945/8	1975/25	1901/12
1951/19	measures [2]	1901/13
1964/11	1926/14	1916/3 1916/6
1964/19	1926/15	1916/8
1965/3 1971/8	Medicine [2]	1945/10
1972/6	1855/14	1946/11

<p>M</p> <p>meetings... [1] 1946/18</p> <p>meets [1] 1932/22</p> <p>member [4] 1921/9 1943/17 1943/20 1943/22</p> <p>members [6] 1850/11 1853/17 1896/1 1921/6 1923/16 1932/5</p> <p>membership [1] 1943/10</p> <p>memory [3] 1943/16 1945/23 1971/4</p> <p>mention [6] 1879/5</p>	<p>1884/20 1901/20 1905/12 1905/21 1956/1</p> <p>mentioned [12] 1857/5 1857/25 1877/12 1879/12 1880/15 1883/18 1885/5 1904/12 1913/13 1936/6 1944/18 1956/6</p> <p>mentioning [1] 1916/7</p> <p>mere [1] 1932/25</p> <p>merits [2] 1967/18</p>	<p>1968/22</p> <p>met [5] 1900/3 1906/4 1931/3 1939/6 1958/2</p> <p>method [11] 1859/6 1891/15 1891/23 1893/14 1893/19 1894/5 1906/3 1923/24 1931/19 1931/19 1935/9</p> <p>methods [3] 1903/17 1903/18 1905/3</p> <p>Mexican [36] 1845/12 1851/19 1852/2 1852/13</p>
--	--	--

M	1937/24	1867/5
Mexican... [32]	1942/22	1867/19
1856/9	1943/1 1943/2	1869/14
1862/7	1944/21	1869/18
1862/20	1962/1 1964/7	1870/2 1870/9
1862/24	1970/25	1870/15
1868/15	Mexico [61]	1871/3 1871/8
1873/1 1876/5	1849/7	1871/12
1876/13	1850/15	1871/16
1880/18	1851/4 1851/5	1871/20
1880/23	1851/21	1874/19
1888/16	1853/5 1853/6	1877/14
1903/15	1853/12	1890/21
1903/25	1853/18	1897/16
1905/19	1853/19	1899/25
1905/19	1854/10	1902/12
1905/20	1854/12	1909/22
1911/10	1854/14	1910/10
1911/18	1856/22	1924/10
1924/13	1857/6	1925/4
1924/15	1859/20	1943/15
1924/22	1860/5	1943/19
1925/1 1925/6	1864/15	1948/8
1933/21	1866/24	1948/22

M		
Mexico... [16]	middle [1]	1934/14
1950/10	1958/12	1934/16
1950/15	might [13]	mistakes [1]
1950/20	1864/1 1870/2	1938/10
1954/14	1882/3 1882/4	mixed [1]
1956/2	1882/5 1885/2	1935/2
1956/17	1912/17	mixed-up [1]
1957/22	1926/23	1935/2
1961/8	1930/1	models [2]
1964/16	1938/22	1860/17
1965/7	1947/19	1936/16
1966/18	1964/10	modification
1967/5	1976/15	[13] 1870/5
1968/10	mining [1]	1872/17
1968/23	1854/3	1877/25
1970/3 1976/1	minor [1]	1880/17
Mexico's [4]	1913/3	1882/19
1951/8	minute [2]	1893/5
1954/21	1889/8 1974/3	1903/23
1956/7	minutes [7]	1905/24
1956/12	1838/17	1909/1
MICHAEL [1]	1889/3	1914/15
1840/6	1889/13	1915/14
	1921/8 1934/5	1915/18

<p>M</p> <p>modification... [1] 1916/5</p> <p>modifications [4] 1877/18 1891/8 1913/1 1916/8</p> <p>modified [4] 1861/9 1865/21 1876/6 1876/13</p> <p>modify [5] 1856/11 1871/24 1902/16 1920/13 1936/2</p> <p>modifying [2] 1874/20 1954/9</p> <p>moment [7] 1881/23 1881/24</p>	<p>1889/7 1936/6 1968/7 1973/2 1976/17</p> <p>Monday [1] 1838/21</p> <p>monopolies [1] 1948/13</p> <p>month [1] 1865/7</p> <p>MONTPLAISIR [12] 1841/7 1861/22 1863/10 1868/4 1869/10 1875/23 1883/14 1889/3 1889/15 1906/24 1907/6 1923/10</p> <p>more [21] 1844/4 1874/2</p>	<p>1877/2 1877/22 1885/12 1889/3 1899/17 1905/10 1930/2 1930/7 1933/11 1933/16 1934/5 1934/14 1934/16 1938/20 1960/18 1965/2 1967/3 1975/10 1976/19</p> <p>morning [12] 1843/1 1847/2 1847/4 1847/7 1850/12 1861/21 1895/22 1895/25</p>
--	--	--

M	1884/16	1950/18
morning... [4]	1884/20	1960/22
1896/1 1907/5	1884/23	Motivos [3]
1949/10	1885/3 1885/6	1882/17
1977/11	1885/8	1884/10
most [5]	1885/11	1885/15
1861/5 1913/3	1885/18	MR [21]
1924/5 1941/2	1885/21	1839/11
1967/10	1886/4 1895/4	1839/24
mostly [1]	1917/16	1839/24
1926/11	1920/12	1839/25
motivation [5]	1920/16	1840/5 1840/6
1927/16	1927/13	1840/6 1840/7
1931/2	1928/9	1840/8 1840/9
1931/10	1928/22	1840/14
1932/2 1955/8	1928/25	1840/20
motives [38]	1929/8	1840/20
1881/11	1929/12	1841/5 1841/5
1881/15	1930/3 1931/3	1841/6 1841/8
1881/19	1931/15	1841/18
1882/1 1882/4	1949/10	1841/19
1883/3 1883/7	1949/12	1842/12
1883/11	1949/16	1898/14
1884/8	1949/20	Mr. [47]

M	1906/21	1849/15
Mr.... [47]	1906/23	1894/23
1844/24	1907/6	1897/22
1845/16	1914/22	1897/24
1846/16	1917/24	1920/23
1849/15	1917/25	Mr. President
1850/11	1918/7	[19] 1850/11
1886/13	1918/10	1886/13
1886/25	1918/20	1886/25
1894/23	1920/21	1895/1 1896/1
1895/1	1920/23	1896/11
1895/23	1920/25	1897/7 1898/1
1896/1 1896/3	1921/2 1921/6	1898/16
1896/11	1922/23	1906/21
1896/12	1923/5 1923/8	1906/23
1896/21	1923/10	1917/24
1897/7	1937/6	1918/10
1897/22	1949/24	1920/25
1897/24	1973/24	1921/6
1898/1 1898/2	1973/25	1922/23
1898/4	1974/1	1923/5 1923/8
1898/10	Mr. Chajon [7]	1973/24
1898/13	1845/16	Mr. Salazar
1898/16	1846/16	[12] 1895/23

M	1974/1	1848/3
Mr. Salazar...	Mrs. [1]	1848/20
[11] 1896/3	1967/2	1861/22
1896/12	Mrs.	1863/10
1896/21	Gonzalez's	1864/17
1898/4	[1] 1967/2	1868/4
1898/13	Ms [16]	1868/18
1907/6	1839/18	1869/10
1914/22	1839/21	1875/23
1918/7	1840/5 1840/7	1882/15
1918/20	1840/8 1840/9	1883/14
1920/21	1840/10	1889/3
1921/2	1840/14	1889/15
Mr. Salazar's	1840/21	1889/18
[3] 1898/2	1841/6 1841/7	1894/22
1898/10	1841/7 1841/8	1898/11
1917/25	1842/17	1906/24
Mr. Smith [3]	1923/18	1921/23
1937/6	1934/4	1922/19
1949/24	Ms. [37]	1923/10
1973/25	1846/14	1936/22
Mr. Spelliscy	1846/14	1936/24
[3] 1844/24	1847/5 1847/9	1937/7
1923/10	1847/18	1937/16

M	1898/11	1889/15
Ms.... [8]	1965/16	1906/24
1940/2	1967/16	1923/10
1965/13	1968/8	much [16]
1965/16	1968/14	1850/10
1967/16	Ms.	1860/9 1883/5
1968/8	Gonzalez's	1894/21
1968/14	[1] 1965/13	1895/19
1974/9 1977/6	Ms. Lindner	1898/15
Ms. Cheek [2]	[8] 1921/23	1906/21
1846/14	1922/19	1921/5 1925/6
1977/6	1936/22	1926/25
Ms. Gonzalez	1936/24	1933/5
[17] 1846/14	1937/7	1933/12
1847/5 1847/9	1937/16	1934/17
1847/18	1940/2 1974/9	1935/17
1848/3	Ms.	1974/12
1848/20	Montplaisir	1977/10
1861/22	[9] 1863/10	multinational
1864/17	1868/4	[1] 1943/20
1868/18	1869/10	multinationals
1882/15	1875/23	[1] 1943/9
1889/18	1883/14	must [17]
1894/22	1889/3	1851/18

M	MX904 [1]	1896/18
must... [16]	1904/23	1896/19
1881/22	my [63]	1897/13
1892/3	1845/15	1897/14
1900/17	1846/16	1898/20
1900/25	1846/21	1899/19
1910/10	1847/7	1899/19
1914/6	1847/24	1902/15
1918/10	1848/1	1902/22
1933/19	1850/12	1905/17
1933/20	1850/19	1906/15
1942/2	1860/6 1860/6	1907/10
1948/10	1860/23	1913/15
1948/14	1861/7 1863/5	1917/6
1948/16	1864/25	1918/12
1948/16	1866/14	1920/3
1948/17	1873/11	1920/21
1962/3	1876/20	1921/25
Muy [1]	1879/22	1922/15
1950/5	1882/7	1922/16
MX173791 [1]	1882/10	1922/17
1971/1	1885/4 1888/9	1922/24
MX275 [1]	1896/2	1923/4
1906/1	1896/18	1923/19

M	N	1897/18
my... [15] 1924/5 1924/16 1924/18 1927/9 1933/13 1938/3 1941/14 1941/15 1941/20 1946/8 1947/23 1948/17 1957/24 1958/4 1976/13	Nacional [1] 1850/14 NAFTA [11] 1867/1 1867/7 1869/16 1871/14 1881/3 1926/10 1927/3 1936/8 1956/19 1966/12 1966/18 name [17] 1847/5 1847/8 1848/10 1848/17 1850/12 1854/16 1854/18 1895/23 1896/2 1896/25 1897/6	1921/23 1921/25 1922/21 1923/3 1926/7 NATALIE [1] 1840/8 national [6] 1855/13 1925/12 1942/11 1942/14 1942/18 1943/1 nature [7] 1843/4 1858/20 1899/10 1902/5 1905/7 1926/12 1933/25
myself [1] 1967/16 Méxicano [1] 1850/20 México [1] 1898/21		nearly [1] 1969/25 necessarily [6] 1857/2

N	1911/2 1911/2	nervous [1]
	1929/8	1939/21
necessarily...	1932/22	never [14]
[5] 1862/22	1954/24	1861/9
1883/4 1883/8	1960/3	1873/10
1910/23	1975/10	1918/1 1924/2
1919/10	needed [3]	1940/19
necessary [8]	1879/24	1946/15
1853/21	1894/12	1968/24
1862/5	1955/16	1968/24
1876/23	needs [2]	1971/9
1907/11	1872/2 1884/7	1971/16
1925/9	negative [2]	1971/21
1935/14	1929/1 1929/9	1972/4
1940/8 1976/3	negotiation [1]	1972/13
necessities [1]	1869/16	1972/23
1934/1	negotiations	Nevertheless
necessity [3]	[4] 1865/23	[1] 1937/23
1888/3	1874/18	new [14]
1930/22	1956/18	1843/15
1957/8	1956/24	1843/18
need [10]	neither [2]	1846/9
1851/6	1963/10	1846/10
1863/12	1963/17	1852/18
1894/20		

N	1861/18	1923/8
new... [9]	1862/3 1862/4	1925/25
1853/16	1869/5	1933/2
1854/16	1874/13	1933/15
1859/17	1882/9 1883/9	1937/18
1867/25	1890/7	1937/20
1868/22	1892/20	1946/5 1950/3
1870/4	1892/23	1962/6
1870/22	1894/11	1963/20
1925/15	1895/15	1964/12
1961/16	1904/10	1965/4
next [6]	1904/17	1970/15
1857/9	1905/21	1973/13
1872/22	1905/24	1973/20
1921/12	1906/16	1973/22
1940/9 1954/5	1906/22	1974/5 1974/8
1954/25	1907/11	1975/10
NIKHIL [1]	1908/4	1976/7
1840/8	1909/25	No. [3]
no [52] 1838/6	1916/5	1843/13
1844/22	1916/18	1944/23
1846/5 1855/7	1916/19	1965/18
1861/11	1916/20	No. 13 [1]
1861/13	1920/24	1965/18

<p>N</p> <p>No. 4 [1] 1944/23</p> <p>No. 6 [1] 1843/13</p> <p>non [8] 1870/12 1870/18 1870/23 1870/23 1871/2 1871/5 1976/24 1977/5</p> <p>non-disputing [2] 1976/24 1977/5</p> <p>non-obvious [2] 1870/23 1870/23</p> <p>non-obviousness [3] 1870/12 1871/2 1871/5</p> <p>non-obviousness/utility [1]</p>	<p>1870/18</p> <p>None [1] 1848/22</p> <p>Nonetheless [4] 1882/10 1939/22 1942/2 1975/21</p> <p>normally [2] 1872/12 1940/4</p> <p>NORTH [1] 1838/3</p> <p>not [177]</p> <p>notably [3] 1862/12 1862/20 1912/6</p> <p>note [3] 1843/22 1875/6 1877/25</p> <p>noted [10] 1857/1</p>	<p>1876/20 1881/20 1890/8 1919/1 1928/10 1929/13 1930/14 1931/17 1955/20</p> <p>notes [2] 1931/16 1934/3</p> <p>Nothing [2] 1977/7 1977/8</p> <p>notice [1] 1843/14</p> <p>noting [1] 1932/20</p> <p>notion [4] 1876/7 1879/6 1879/12 1903/24</p> <p>notions [2] 1876/15 1877/4</p>
---	--	---

N	1850/24	1899/22
notwithstanding [1] 1931/6	1851/19	1900/15
novelty [6]	1852/10	1900/24
1900/14	1852/13	1904/23
1904/25	1853/5	1905/1 1906/1
1930/17	1854/13	1921/3 1924/9
1933/6 1958/2	1854/17	1924/18
1961/12	1855/10	1925/13
November [3]	1856/5	1926/18
1844/15	1857/24	1927/3
1966/13	1858/5 1860/4	1928/21
1967/4	1860/19	1931/16
November	1868/14	1931/23
2011 [1]	1874/23	1932/3
1844/15	1875/20	1933/21
November	1878/15	1934/16
2015 [2]	1886/10	1935/16
1966/13	1886/17	1936/19
1967/4	1888/24	1936/23
Novopharm	1890/4	1952/1 1955/6
[1] 1944/6	1892/24	1958/1
now [55]	1893/2	1959/14
1845/6	1895/17	1963/21
	1897/15	1968/7

<p>N</p> <p>now... [2] 1970/22 1974/10</p> <p>nullification [2] 1927/6 1936/9</p> <p>nullifications [1] 1913/14</p> <p>nullity [30] 1860/10 1860/13 1860/19 1860/21 1860/24 1861/1 1861/5 1861/14 1906/19 1964/23 1964/25 1965/6 1965/8 1966/3 1966/8 1966/17 1967/5</p>	<p>1967/17 1967/22 1967/23 1967/25 1968/9 1968/17 1968/21 1969/17 1969/22 1969/25 1970/1 1970/8 1970/21</p> <p>number [22] 1850/15 1855/10 1856/1 1886/14 1898/25 1899/20 1901/7 1902/13 1913/8 1913/14 1929/24</p>	<p>1943/3 1945/23 1953/9 1953/10 1955/15 1966/3 1966/7 1968/5 1968/11 1968/18 1971/7</p> <p>numbers [2] 1902/23 1971/1</p> <p>NW [1] 1840/12</p> <hr/> <p>O</p> <p>object [4] 1843/18 1844/19 1845/8 1887/8</p> <p>objected [1] 1939/1</p> <p>objection [9] 1904/7 1904/8</p>
---	--	---

<p>O</p> <p>objection... [7] 1904/10 1971/9 1971/17 1972/4 1972/13 1975/23 1976/7</p> <p>objections [8] 1902/13 1903/6 1903/8 1904/13 1904/24 1905/2 1913/8 1917/8</p> <p>objective [1] 1959/6</p> <p>obligated [1] 1957/9</p> <p>obligation [3] 1904/17 1956/2 1961/8</p> <p>obligations [4] 1853/12</p>	<p>1854/15 1857/8 1954/21</p> <p>observations [4] 1843/20 1883/25 1906/7 1914/1</p> <p>observe [1] 1920/4</p> <p>observed [2] 1917/13 1920/18</p> <p>obstacles [2] 1927/24 1929/4</p> <p>obstante [1] 1890/7</p> <p>obstruct [1] 1928/20</p> <p>obtained [1] 1923/21</p> <p>obtaining [2] 1857/18 1941/10</p>	<p>obvious [2] 1870/23 1870/23</p> <p>obviousness [4] 1870/12 1870/18 1871/2 1871/5</p> <p>occasions [3] 1872/10 1899/20 1957/25</p> <p>occurred [1] 1925/3</p> <p>off [2] 1856/17 1925/6</p> <p>offers [1] 1952/5</p> <p>office [8] 1865/18 1902/11 1903/17 1905/21 1924/9</p>
--	---	--

<p>O</p> <p>office... [3] 1933/13 1938/3 1938/15</p> <p>offices [1] 1924/10</p> <p>official [5] 1856/23 1901/14 1918/16 1946/23 1946/24</p> <p>officials [2] 1915/19 1916/10</p> <p>Oficial [2] 1951/16 1951/25</p> <p>often [2] 1927/20 1928/11</p> <p>oftentimes [1] 1928/12</p>	<p>OG2 [1] 1841/13</p> <p>okay [3] 1868/12 1881/17 1921/15</p> <p>olanzapine [4] 1939/1 1939/11 1939/20 1940/12</p> <p>once [13] 1870/19 1872/17 1872/21 1873/12 1874/14 1882/11 1882/11 1882/20 1882/24 1884/12 1884/24 1885/16</p>	<p>1900/3</p> <p>one [49] 1844/25 1845/2 1846/3 1846/9 1846/10 1849/22 1868/11 1874/2 1874/15 1875/13 1876/6 1876/14 1877/22 1885/12 1901/8 1904/18 1907/22 1908/2 1910/10 1915/22 1918/19 1921/9 1924/25</p>
--	--	--

<p>O</p> <p>one... [26]</p> <p>1925/25</p> <p>1928/8</p> <p>1928/17</p> <p>1929/20</p> <p>1930/7</p> <p>1930/18</p> <p>1933/18</p> <p>1935/11</p> <p>1938/22</p> <p>1942/6 1943/6</p> <p>1943/14</p> <p>1948/20</p> <p>1949/5</p> <p>1953/12</p> <p>1957/19</p> <p>1958/1 1959/1</p> <p>1968/1 1968/3</p> <p>1973/20</p> <p>1974/2</p> <p>1974/17</p> <p>1974/18</p> <p>1974/22</p>	<p>1975/2</p> <p>one-year [2]</p> <p>1907/22</p> <p>1908/2</p> <p>ones [2]</p> <p>1894/25</p> <p>1955/22</p> <p>only [17]</p> <p>1893/8</p> <p>1893/13</p> <p>1894/6</p> <p>1894/12</p> <p>1897/17</p> <p>1902/8</p> <p>1904/13</p> <p>1904/19</p> <p>1904/24</p> <p>1910/18</p> <p>1918/12</p> <p>1926/20</p> <p>1939/22</p> <p>1968/25</p> <p>1969/2</p> <p>1970/10</p>	<p>1970/13</p> <p>Ontario [2]</p> <p>1840/16</p> <p>1841/13</p> <p>onwards [1]</p> <p>1953/16</p> <p>open [3]</p> <p>1849/22</p> <p>1857/20</p> <p>1926/3</p> <p>opening [1]</p> <p>1926/1</p> <p>operate [1]</p> <p>1866/8</p> <p>operations [1]</p> <p>1899/15</p> <p>operative [1]</p> <p>1962/2</p> <p>opinion [22]</p> <p>1858/4</p> <p>1877/12</p> <p>1879/16</p> <p>1881/15</p> <p>1882/1 1882/2</p>
---	--	---

<p>O</p> <p>opinion... [16] 1882/8 1882/8 1882/10 1882/11 1884/4 1885/10 1903/4 1905/18 1906/18 1918/16 1918/23 1918/24 1919/6 1927/9 1946/8 1965/5</p> <p>opinions [7] 1899/13 1901/12 1908/7 1908/12 1908/17 1910/13 1930/9</p> <p>opportunity [5] 1844/17</p>	<p>1853/18 1853/19 1917/10 1976/23</p> <p>oppose [1] 1948/3</p> <p>opposition [1] 1948/1</p> <p>order [12] 1843/13 1855/11 1858/2 1858/15 1866/1 1898/19 1919/24 1925/14 1935/21 1955/4 1974/23 1976/10</p> <p>organic [1] 1899/4</p> <p>Organization [1] 1853/15</p>	<p>organizational [1] 1843/3</p> <p>oriented [1] 1956/17</p> <p>original [18] 1848/5 1855/12 1864/5 1868/11 1868/12 1898/7 1902/7 1903/16 1945/20 1946/7 1946/11 1947/1 1947/8 1947/20 1952/1 1952/2 1952/11 1975/3</p> <p>other [46] 1844/18 1844/20 1845/9</p>
--	--	---

<p>O</p> <p>other... [43]</p> <p>1847/12</p> <p>1850/16</p> <p>1853/20</p> <p>1855/24</p> <p>1860/12</p> <p>1871/13</p> <p>1871/13</p> <p>1875/4</p> <p>1880/20</p> <p>1882/23</p> <p>1882/25</p> <p>1888/17</p> <p>1889/12</p> <p>1890/15</p> <p>1896/6 1908/2</p> <p>1916/24</p> <p>1920/9 1922/4</p> <p>1925/11</p> <p>1926/5</p> <p>1926/16</p> <p>1927/19</p> <p>1929/4</p>	<p>1930/23</p> <p>1932/16</p> <p>1933/5 1936/3</p> <p>1936/3 1941/4</p> <p>1942/18</p> <p>1942/25</p> <p>1943/6</p> <p>1947/18</p> <p>1950/25</p> <p>1951/2 1958/2</p> <p>1958/25</p> <p>1959/1 1968/5</p> <p>1969/5 1972/2</p> <p>1977/11</p> <p>others [3]</p> <p>1860/13</p> <p>1927/1 1929/5</p> <p>Otherwise [2]</p> <p>1915/25</p> <p>1963/5</p> <p>otra [1]</p> <p>1954/7</p> <p>Ottawa [2]</p> <p>1840/16</p>	<p>1841/13</p> <p>our [7]</p> <p>1843/22</p> <p>1851/12</p> <p>1852/21</p> <p>1886/7</p> <p>1901/14</p> <p>1927/4 1976/4</p> <p>out [19]</p> <p>1849/18</p> <p>1855/5 1859/7</p> <p>1869/8</p> <p>1877/14</p> <p>1891/15</p> <p>1891/23</p> <p>1893/14</p> <p>1893/19</p> <p>1894/6 1901/8</p> <p>1928/19</p> <p>1931/20</p> <p>1934/6</p> <p>1934/23</p> <p>1935/9</p> <p>1936/13</p>
--	---	--

O	P	
out... [2]	p.m [1]	1886/3
1947/25	1977/13	1886/11
1948/11	packet [1]	1886/12
outside [1]	1849/18	1886/18
1921/10	paeses [1]	1887/1
overall [1]	1950/4	1887/20
1902/24	page [68]	1888/14
overcome [3]	1848/7 1848/8	1889/25
1903/8 1904/8	1848/8	1890/5 1890/6
1920/10	1848/15	1896/23
Overruled [1]	1868/3 1868/6	1896/23
1918/2	1868/18	1896/23
oversee [2]	1869/9	1897/3 1912/4
1865/22	1873/14	1913/10
1917/10	1873/23	1913/19
overseeing [1]	1875/5	1913/25
1866/5	1875/14	1914/10
oversight [1]	1875/21	1914/18
1902/15	1876/11	1914/20
own [1]	1879/11	1917/21
1866/1	1881/10	1922/20
	1881/12	1923/2
	1885/23	1939/10
		1944/23

P	1969/15	1922/20
page... [24]	page 1 [2]	page 4 [13]
1944/25	1944/23	1875/5
1949/18	1951/19	1875/14
1949/20	page 10 [3]	1881/10
1951/15	1848/8	1881/12
1951/17	1896/23	1912/4
1951/18	1914/20	1913/25
1951/19	page 16 [1]	1917/21
1951/20	1939/10	1951/15
1951/24	page 17 [1]	1951/17
1951/25	1923/2	1951/18
1952/1	page 2 [3]	1952/1
1952/22	1944/25	1952/22
1954/5 1954/5	1949/18	1961/4
1958/10	1949/20	page 5 [12]
1958/10	page 21 [1]	1885/23
1958/11	1897/3	1886/3
1958/12	page 22 [1]	1886/11
1959/17	1848/15	1886/12
1959/19	page 238 [2]	1886/18
1959/20	1868/18	1887/1 1890/5
1961/4	1873/14	1890/6
1961/22	page 33 [1]	1913/10

P		
page 5... [3]	paid [1]	1887/1
1954/5	1958/1	1887/12
1959/19	Palmberg [1]	1887/19
1959/20	1840/21	1887/22
page 6 [7]	Panamericana	1887/25
1868/3	[2] 1923/21	1888/13
1873/23	1923/24	1888/15
1876/11	paragraph	1890/6 1912/5
1889/25	[80] 1867/9	1913/10
1913/19	1867/15	1913/12
1914/10	1877/17	1913/19
1914/18	1877/25	1913/25
page 63 [1]	1878/4 1878/6	1914/10
1958/11	1879/5	1914/17
page 8 [2]	1879/11	1914/24
1879/11	1880/16	1915/1 1915/9
1888/14	1881/12	1917/21
page 9 [2]	1885/24	1918/3 1918/8
1848/8	1886/3	1918/12
1896/23	1886/12	1918/18
Pages [1]	1886/14	1918/21
1838/24	1886/19	1918/23
paginations	1886/22	1918/24
[1] 1868/9	1886/24	1919/21

P	1964/18	1928/20
paragraph...	1964/18	parameters [1]
[36] 1931/5	1964/20	1857/17
1939/10	1964/24	paraphrasing
1939/15	1965/3	[1] 1887/5
1939/17	1965/10	Park [1]
1940/13	1965/11	1839/12
1944/19	1965/12	Parliament [1]
1944/22	1965/14	1883/23
1945/20	1965/21	Parliamentary
1946/2 1947/2	paragraph 1	[1] 1919/22
1949/21	[2] 1885/24	part [19]
1949/23	1886/3	1845/13
1950/1 1950/3	paragraph 10	1856/22
1950/7 1951/1	[1] 1867/15	1888/7 1917/6
1953/24	paragraph 2	1919/15
1953/25	[1] 1886/19	1924/20
1954/1 1954/5	paragraph 31	1928/21
1954/7	[1] 1946/2	1928/25
1954/25	paragraphs	1931/1 1933/9
1955/13	[2] 1928/7	1936/3
1959/21	1939/9	1939/15
1959/21	parallel [2]	1941/20
1959/22	1927/24	1941/22

<p>P</p> <p>part... [5] 1947/12 1954/15 1960/17 1963/14 1965/3</p> <p>parte [1] 1954/7</p> <p>participated [2] 1911/25 1945/12</p> <p>participates [2] 1943/7 1943/23</p> <p>participating [1] 1944/4</p> <p>particular [4] 1855/22 1951/4 1953/18 1959/8</p> <p>particularly [3] 1843/23</p>	<p>1915/22 1927/11</p> <p>parties [7] 1845/13 1846/23 1849/8 1975/15 1975/24 1976/24 1977/5</p> <p>partner [5] 1897/15 1909/12 1924/6 1924/9 1926/13</p> <p>party [1] 1874/19</p> <p>past [8] 1854/10 1855/7 1921/11 1921/14 1936/24 1949/8</p>	<p>1958/10 1961/3</p> <p>patent [112] 1857/19 1860/4 1860/8 1860/15 1860/15 1861/3 1861/11 1863/3 1865/12 1865/13 1865/16 1866/24 1867/5 1873/2 1880/8 1885/22 1886/5 1890/11 1890/17 1893/6 1899/1 1899/8 1899/17 1899/18</p>
--	--	---

P	1911/10	1940/16
patent... [88]	1911/20	1941/1 1941/6
1899/25	1915/19	1941/11
1900/7	1916/10	1941/18
1902/11	1916/16	1941/23
1902/14	1916/17	1942/3 1942/5
1902/15	1919/24	1944/7
1902/18	1924/22	1944/21
1902/25	1925/1 1927/8	1955/18
1903/15	1928/6	1960/12
1903/25	1928/18	1964/15
1904/12	1929/10	1964/21
1904/22	1929/14	1964/25
1905/1	1931/11	1965/6 1965/8
1905/19	1933/10	1965/15
1905/19	1934/24	1966/17
1906/5	1936/9	1967/17
1906/19	1936/13	1968/8
1907/18	1936/17	1968/21
1909/21	1938/10	1969/23
1910/3	1939/2	1970/17
1910/19	1939/19	1971/1 1971/6
1910/22	1940/12	1971/8
1911/6	1940/14	1971/16

P	1919/2 1926/1	patenting [3]
patent..... [13]	1926/4	1892/8
1971/21	1926/19	1892/14
1971/21	1927/10	1894/8
1971/24	1929/21	patents [56]
1971/25	1934/3	1851/20
1972/3 1972/3	1935/22	1852/5
1972/12	1936/14	1854/11
1972/19	1948/10	1860/12
1972/23	1949/5 1961/8	1860/18
1973/2 1973/4	1961/12	1860/22
1973/14	patentable	1863/15
1973/19	[10] 1852/20	1863/16
Patent Office	1854/10	1899/4
[1] 1902/11	1867/25	1899/14
patentability	1868/25	1899/15
[21] 1867/19	1881/21	1899/21
1869/15	1900/9	1901/7 1903/2
1869/24	1925/14	1903/8
1870/7	1930/19	1905/18
1871/10	1933/23	1905/22
1876/6	1961/19	1908/17
1876/14	patentes [1]	1909/6
1900/13	1908/19	1909/10

P		1854/3 1860/8
patents... [36]	1964/23	1860/21
1909/11	1966/4 1966/8	1860/25
1909/13	1968/6	1965/22
1920/14	1968/16	1966/25
1920/17	1969/3 1969/4	1968/12
1924/4	1969/11	1968/19
1926/16	1969/17	1974/17
1927/20	1970/9	1974/22
1927/23	1970/11	per se [1]
1929/20	1970/14	1854/3
1936/11	1970/16	percent [6]
1936/15	1970/25	1860/14
1937/25	patients [1]	1903/2 1950/8
1938/7	1939/20	1950/9
1938/25	Pause [1]	1950/10
1939/6	1895/20	1968/15
1948/10	Pearson [1]	percentage [2]
1948/11	1841/12	1968/17
1948/13	Pennsylvania	1969/3
1948/14	[1] 1840/12	perfect [1]
1948/16	people [2]	1929/3
1949/4	1938/15	perfectly [1]
1960/20	1941/15	1976/7
	per [10]	

<p>P</p> <p>perhaps [3] 1853/19 1943/14 1951/10</p> <p>period [6] 1870/2 1898/25 1965/16 1967/4 1967/25 1973/15</p> <p>personal [3] 1903/20 1913/1 1948/17</p> <p>personally [1] 1947/8</p> <p>pesar [1] 1918/6</p> <p>pharmaceutic al [12] 1854/11 1860/18</p>	<p>1924/4 1926/2 1938/6 1941/19 1941/23 1969/3 1969/4 1970/9 1970/11 1970/13</p> <p>pharmaceutic als [2] 1861/6 1926/5</p> <p>phase [1] 1974/14</p> <p>Phonogram [1] 1862/7</p> <p>phonograms [1] 1851/1</p> <p>phrase [14] 1857/25 1858/1 1858/13 1892/21 1901/25 1902/1 1959/2</p>	<p>1959/6 1959/11 1959/15 1960/15 1960/20 1962/9 1963/1</p> <p>phrases [1] 1901/23</p> <p>phrasing [1] 1877/8</p> <p>place [1] 1882/16</p> <p>placed [2] 1849/12 1849/22</p> <p>places [1] 1849/11</p> <p>play [1] 1949/6</p> <p>please [58] 1843/7 1847/5 1847/12 1848/3 1848/9 1848/15</p>
--	---	---

<p>P</p> <p>please... [52]</p> <p>1848/20</p> <p>1850/2 1850/7</p> <p>1851/8</p> <p>1856/15</p> <p>1861/25</p> <p>1868/17</p> <p>1868/20</p> <p>1873/14</p> <p>1874/1</p> <p>1877/22</p> <p>1878/4 1879/9</p> <p>1884/2</p> <p>1884/14</p> <p>1886/15</p> <p>1887/7</p> <p>1889/16</p> <p>1889/22</p> <p>1893/15</p> <p>1893/25</p> <p>1894/2 1895/6</p> <p>1895/23</p> <p>1896/6</p>	<p>1896/21</p> <p>1897/2</p> <p>1897/24</p> <p>1898/4</p> <p>1898/13</p> <p>1906/25</p> <p>1907/12</p> <p>1908/8 1918/3</p> <p>1921/23</p> <p>1922/4</p> <p>1922/19</p> <p>1922/25</p> <p>1923/10</p> <p>1923/13</p> <p>1934/19</p> <p>1937/14</p> <p>1939/15</p> <p>1940/9</p> <p>1949/18</p> <p>1952/1</p> <p>1952/15</p> <p>1952/21</p> <p>1953/24</p> <p>1959/18</p>	<p>1961/4</p> <p>1964/16</p> <p>pleased [2]</p> <p>1922/14</p> <p>1923/15</p> <p>plus [2]</p> <p>1880/7</p> <p>1934/15</p> <p>pocket [2]</p> <p>1869/7</p> <p>1873/21</p> <p>point [3]</p> <p>1869/2</p> <p>1882/15</p> <p>1882/22</p> <p>pointed [1]</p> <p>1904/13</p> <p>polypeptide [1] 1905/9</p> <p>por [2]</p> <p>1887/25</p> <p>1954/7</p> <p>pose [1]</p> <p>1903/5</p>
---	--	--

<p>P</p> <p>position [5] 1864/22 1865/4 1911/19 1912/14 1916/2</p> <p>positions [3] 1898/25 1901/16 1911/17</p> <p>positive [1] 1935/14</p> <p>possibility [34] 1853/1 1853/25 1854/8 1854/24 1855/2 1855/3 1855/19 1856/10 1856/12 1857/1 1858/9 1859/25</p>	1874/14 1874/19 1893/1 1893/6 1900/20 1906/8 1935/6 1952/7 1952/12 1953/5 1953/13 1953/21 1954/18 1954/20 1955/22 1956/7 1956/11 1957/3 1958/17 1958/23 1962/24 1964/9 possible [8] 1862/1 1893/11 1907/9	1928/16 1929/2 1929/16 1933/18 1935/5 post [1] 1975/13 post-hearing [1] 1975/13 postgraduate [2] 1850/16 1866/19 practica [2] 1875/17 1876/1 practical [38] 1853/1 1854/24 1855/21 1857/12 1857/16 1858/1 1858/10 1876/8
--	---	--

<p>P</p> <p>practical... [30] 1876/16 1876/19 1877/8 1878/11 1879/6 1879/12 1880/3 1880/13 1880/14 1881/2 1888/2 1888/11 1888/12 1900/21 1901/21 1930/15 1930/22 1931/4 1931/21 1932/13 1947/16 1953/17 1958/18</p>	<p>1959/2 1959/7 1959/11 1959/15 1960/2 1960/15 1963/14</p> <p>practice [34] 1877/13 1877/20 1878/2 1878/13 1890/10 1890/16 1906/13 1911/20 1914/1 1914/2 1914/5 1915/16 1915/25 1916/5 1917/1 1917/3 1917/6 1917/9 1917/12 1918/18</p>	<p>1919/3 1919/12 1919/17 1919/23 1920/13 1920/18 1927/20 1927/25 1928/10 1928/23 1931/11 1935/7 1940/23 1947/6</p> <p>practitioner [1] 1865/16</p> <p>precautionary [1] 1926/15</p> <p>preceded [2] 1945/13 1945/14</p> <p>precise [1] 1929/15</p> <p>precisely [3]</p>
--	--	---

P	1919/23	1875/10
precisely... [3]	1928/24	1875/25
1874/17	preparation	1876/20
1888/6	[1] 1899/3	1879/23
1925/11	prepared [4]	1888/10
precision [1]	1849/4 1898/9	1898/5
1919/2	1919/6	1898/14
precisión [1]	1923/13	1904/20
1918/6	presence [2]	1913/16
prefer [1]	1918/13	1923/13
1850/1	1943/14	1923/18
preferred [1]	present [4]	1924/18
1959/10	1840/19	1956/5
prefers [1]	1841/16	1974/17
1975/8	1901/13	presentations
prejudice [1]	1942/5	[2] 1975/4
1955/10	presentation	1976/20
preliminary [2]	[22] 1842/6	presented [10]
1843/5	1842/12	1855/11
1845/1	1842/17	1875/13
premature [2]	1850/8 1850/9	1892/24
1927/25	1863/6	1893/7
1929/1	1866/11	1897/13
prematurely	1866/14	1897/14
[3] 1890/17		

<p>P</p> <p>presented... [4] 1903/2 1905/24 1919/8 1920/7</p> <p>presenting [2] 1919/24 1976/4</p> <p>president [29] 1839/4 1849/1 1850/11 1862/17 1862/17 1863/19 1886/13 1886/25 1895/1 1896/1 1896/11 1897/7 1898/1 1898/16 1906/21 1906/23 1917/24 1918/10</p>	<p>1920/25 1921/6 1922/23 1923/5 1923/8 1923/16 1924/13 1934/8 1934/18 1936/19 1973/24</p> <p>President van den Berg [1] 1849/1</p> <p>President's [1] 1884/3</p> <p>pressed [1] 1975/14</p> <p>presumably [1] 1970/1</p> <p>primarily [3] 1940/22 1941/18 1943/2</p> <p>primero [1]</p>	<p>1914/22</p> <p>principle [1] 1851/17</p> <p>Prior [1] 1863/18</p> <p>private [2] 1924/1 1940/23</p> <p>probably [2] 1861/5 1975/3</p> <p>problem [10] 1855/22 1857/12 1857/16 1863/24 1864/1 1881/14 1930/16 1953/17 1959/8 1967/15</p> <p>problems [1] 1920/18</p> <p>procedural [5] 1843/13</p>
--	--	---

<p>P</p> <p>procedural... [4] 1926/11 1926/16 1974/23 1976/10</p> <p>procedure [3] 1871/20 1916/1 1970/21</p> <p>procedures [3] 1866/5 1968/15 1970/6</p> <p>proceed [8] 1850/7 1897/25 1898/4 1898/13 1906/25 1923/10 1937/14 1977/2</p> <p>proceeding [1] 1906/19</p>	<p>proceedings [6] 1955/11 1964/23 1965/1 1965/6 1965/15 1969/18</p> <p>procesar [1] 1941/8</p> <p>process [15] 1853/25 1868/1 1880/24 1884/6 1899/16 1899/23 1901/12 1905/5 1926/16 1928/5 1941/10 1945/13 1945/16 1956/25 1971/16</p>	<p>processed [1] 1927/21</p> <p>processing [5] 1854/3 1904/16 1929/4 1960/19 1971/14</p> <p>produce [3] 1855/14 1901/23 1947/19</p> <p>produced [14] 1853/2 1854/1 1854/25 1855/23 1858/10 1858/22 1884/25 1900/22 1901/3 1902/7 1912/22 1925/19 1952/8</p>
---	--	---

P	1849/6 1850/7	1859/22
produced... [1]	1850/9	1901/5
1958/18	1850/13	1935/18
Producers [1]	1850/13	1955/3 1957/4
1862/8	1866/10	1957/8
product [4]	1866/13	1957/16
1853/25	1875/24	1957/23
1905/8 1905/9	1883/21	1963/12
1973/17	1889/9 1895/3	1963/18
production [1]	1895/17	1964/12
1906/10	1898/21	property [40]
products [1]	1907/2	1850/13
1905/12	1923/23	1850/24
PROF [1]	Professor	1851/2
1839/5	Gonzalez [1]	1851/21
professional	1895/17	1851/22
[3] 1898/18	promotion [3]	1851/25
1923/19	1853/10	1852/1 1852/3
1924/17	1854/17	1852/6
professor [20]	1862/11	1852/11
1842/6	prongs [1]	1852/16
1843/11	1925/1	1853/10
1844/16	proof [12]	1853/15
1849/1 1849/3	1857/3	1854/18

<p>P</p> <p>property... [26] 1856/14 1856/21 1857/7 1857/23 1863/7 1866/20 1867/20 1870/11 1878/7 1878/22 1897/16 1900/16 1907/23 1910/20 1923/25 1924/7 1924/15 1925/22 1926/7 1934/22 1944/3 1946/17</p>	<p>1951/9 1954/13 1955/10 1956/19 proposal [43] 1855/12 1857/9 1857/12 1859/10 1880/4 1880/11 1882/5 1883/3 1883/24 1884/11 1884/17 1885/2 1888/7 1888/21 1901/9 1902/7 1919/7 1919/7 1930/4 1930/24 1930/25 1945/20 1946/1 1946/7</p>	<p>1946/9 1946/11 1946/20 1947/1 1947/8 1947/20 1948/1 1948/7 1948/21 1949/17 1950/14 1950/17 1951/4 1951/7 1951/13 1952/11 1952/24 1953/4 1959/7 proposals [2] 1856/1 1912/12 propose [1] 1929/25 proposed [19] 1853/17 1872/5 1872/13</p>
---	--	---

<p>P</p> <p>proposed... [16] 1901/9 1911/23 1911/24 1930/25 1931/10 1931/17 1932/3 1932/4 1932/11 1945/3 1945/4 1945/17 1945/24 1948/3 1951/4 1960/1</p> <p>proposing [3] 1930/6 1948/20 1950/7</p> <p>Propriedad [1] 1850/21</p> <p>propuesta [2] 1886/23 1959/23</p>	<p>prosecute [1] 1941/9</p> <p>prosecution [5] 1941/6 1971/11 1971/13 1971/13 1972/5</p> <p>protagonism [1] 1949/7</p> <p>protect [3] 1862/11 1925/12 1939/19</p> <p>protecting [1] 1925/21</p> <p>Protection [2] 1853/10 1924/14</p> <p>protectionism [1] 1925/5</p> <p>prove [4] 1880/7 1955/4 1963/12</p>	<p>1963/18</p> <p>provide [16] 1844/17 1881/22 1894/20 1898/19 1904/17 1904/20 1911/9 1944/16 1945/8 1945/19 1955/16 1957/4 1957/8 1960/18 1965/11 1969/1</p> <p>provided [6] 1843/21 1845/17 1911/13 1926/15 1946/8 1976/24</p>
---	--	--

<p>P</p> <p>provides [4] 1948/12 1949/16 1961/23 1974/23</p> <p>providing [1] 1975/24</p> <p>provision [11] 1857/20 1893/21 1916/4 1926/23 1933/18 1948/25 1952/13 1954/2 1960/24 1962/2 1962/6</p> <p>provisions [18] 1845/11 1845/14 1849/9 1856/13</p>	<p>1856/20 1869/4 1871/13 1890/21 1900/24 1910/24 1924/23 1926/9 1926/11 1954/12 1958/25 1959/2 1963/11 1964/1</p> <p>public [2] 1910/15 1976/11</p> <p>publication [2] 1844/16 1900/4</p> <p>publications [2] 1924/12 1924/17</p> <p>publish [1]</p>	<p>1860/11</p> <p>published [3] 1856/23 1872/22 1946/23</p> <p>pull [1] 1869/8</p> <p>purpose [10] 1853/13 1853/14 1880/17 1881/3 1882/17 1882/18 1882/24 1888/19 1901/24 1960/18</p> <p>purposes [19] 1853/3 1855/25 1858/11 1858/14 1876/24 1877/11</p>
--	---	--

P	Q	
<p>purposes... [13] 1885/14 1889/21 1890/1 1890/9 1900/23 1901/25 1921/9 1931/8 1932/15 1955/13 1958/19 1960/20 1960/23</p>	<p>QC [1] 1839/15 quarter [3] 1921/11 1921/14 1936/24 question [44] 1843/25 1845/23 1847/11 1847/15 1847/16 1862/1 1863/11 1867/3 1869/10 1869/11 1870/14 1874/2 1877/22 1879/8 1882/21 1883/13</p>	<p>1883/22 1883/25 1884/3 1884/13 1884/14 1885/5 1887/6 1889/23 1892/14 1893/16 1894/3 1896/5 1896/8 1896/9 1907/13 1908/9 1919/15 1920/3 1920/23 1922/2 1922/7 1922/7 1923/6 1933/15 1946/4 1972/11 1975/12 1976/17</p>
<p>pursuing [1] 1929/5</p>		
<p>put [7] 1883/11 1884/14 1885/14 1888/8 1895/8 1901/16 1954/24</p>		
<p>putting [1] 1935/7</p>		<p>questioned [1] 1904/1</p>

<p>Q</p> <p>questions [19] 1843/3 1861/17 1861/18 1861/23 1862/2 1894/24 1895/4 1906/22 1907/7 1907/10 1920/24 1956/23 1974/8 1975/6 1975/10 1975/22 1976/4 1976/8 1976/14</p> <p>quick [1] 1974/2</p> <p>quickly [4] 1864/3 1879/9 1915/8</p>	<p>1976/23</p> <p>quite [3] 1884/13 1886/16 1944/8</p> <p>quote [4] 1929/7 1929/12 1929/23 1931/14</p> <hr/> <p>R</p> <p>R-202 [2] 1876/11 1889/25</p> <p>R-275 [2] 1868/3 1873/23</p> <p>R-276 [4] 1886/12 1890/6 1952/17 1959/14</p> <p>R-279 [4] 1875/5</p>	<p>1875/14 1951/14 1961/3</p> <p>R-282 [1] 1958/9</p> <p>R-283 [6] 1881/11 1886/3 1895/5 1917/20 1949/13 1949/19</p> <p>R-297 [1] 1873/14</p> <p>R-460 [1] 1966/2</p> <p>R-495 [5] 1844/13 1845/23 1846/5 1846/11 1846/11</p> <p>R-501 [3] 1843/10 1843/20</p>
--	---	---

R	1902/8	1873/9
R-501... [1]	razón [1]	1873/15
1844/19	1887/25	1878/3
raise [3]	re [1] 1884/14	1886/15
1843/6	re-put [1]	1891/4 1940/3
1844/21	1884/14	1940/7
1845/23	reached [1]	1964/11
raising [1]	1960/9	1965/3
1964/21	reaction [1]	reading [5]
RAMON [1]	1975/12	1856/19
1842/10	read [22]	1887/4 1888/5
RAMÓN [2]	1844/3	1893/11
1895/21	1852/17	1914/21
1896/2	1852/23	reads [1]
ranching [1]	1853/13	1962/20
1854/2	1853/22	real [1] 1884/6
rather [3]	1854/21	realizable [1]
1924/19	1855/15	1903/19
1932/25	1856/8	realize [1]
1945/5	1857/13	1967/6
ratification [3]	1858/17	really [2]
1853/17	1859/22	1902/12
1881/1 1881/1	1868/17	1958/3
ratified [1]	1868/21	reason [11]

<p>R</p> <p>reason... [11] 1847/12 1888/9 1896/6 1903/13 1916/23 1922/4 1948/20 1955/25 1956/1 1959/25 1963/20</p> <p>reasoning [1] 1917/12</p> <p>reasons [6] 1856/6 1888/1 1932/20 1949/16 1955/17 1955/21</p> <p>rebuttal [1] 1974/24</p> <p>rebuttals [1] 1975/4</p>	<p>recall [7] 1906/16 1948/3 1968/13 1968/16 1968/19 1970/10 1971/4</p> <p>receive [2] 1843/14 1843/15</p> <p>received [4] 1965/25 1969/6 1971/9 1972/13</p> <p>recent [3] 1924/3 1941/2 1967/4</p> <p>recess [5] 1889/13 1889/14 1921/20 1936/23 1937/2</p>	<p>recognized [2] 1924/11 1924/16</p> <p>recollection [1] 1971/7</p> <p>record [39] 1843/9 1843/12 1843/18 1844/3 1844/11 1844/13 1844/18 1845/13 1846/6 1847/6 1848/16 1849/14 1856/17 1862/3 1862/12 1868/3 1868/21 1873/15 1875/5</p>
--	--	---

<p>R</p> <p>record... [20] 1876/11 1882/16 1882/23 1883/15 1883/15 1883/16 1883/19 1883/21 1895/5 1895/24 1896/24 1897/5 1907/10 1912/4 1921/24 1923/2 1949/13 1961/16 1964/15 1970/3</p> <p>recross [1] 1895/14</p>	<p>redirect [5] 1894/24 1895/2 1920/23 1920/25 1974/5</p> <p>reduce [1] 1929/8</p> <p>redundant [2] 1901/22 1913/3</p> <p>refer [12] 1850/4 1853/5 1883/15 1883/15 1883/16 1884/21 1885/6 1885/13 1898/3 1928/9 1963/15 1970/23</p> <p>reference [2] 1903/5 1956/9</p>	<p>referenced [3] 1843/15 1843/16 1932/21</p> <p>references [1] 1901/1</p> <p>referred [5] 1905/8 1934/10 1955/5 1965/16 1970/9</p> <p>referred-to [1] 1955/5</p> <p>referring [14] 1886/1 1886/24 1914/17 1915/2 1915/5 1918/4 1938/13 1938/13 1938/18 1942/8</p>
--	--	--

R	reform [39]	1930/10
referring... [4]	1854/16	1930/14
1942/10	1854/19	1932/3 1933/2
1944/22	1858/6 1859/1	1933/7
1954/2 1968/4	1862/20	1935/12
refers [8]	1862/24	1936/7
1850/5	1878/14	1944/21
1859/25	1881/11	1944/24
1860/1 1883/7	1881/19	1945/15
1914/25	1885/21	1956/16
1936/16	1886/4	1956/16
1936/17	1888/15	1960/23
1967/21	1888/19	1962/7
reflect [3]	1891/5	reformed [3]
1843/12	1906/15	1962/9
1883/4	1909/2	1962/11
1888/19	1915/18	1962/12
reflected [3]	1917/17	reforms [10]
1885/2 1916/3	1919/22	1853/8 1878/8
1949/11	1926/8 1927/9	1878/19
reflects [3]	1927/12	1879/2
1885/10	1927/16	1889/18
1925/24	1929/13	1889/25
1929/1	1930/5	1890/24

R	regards [2]	1910/20
reforms... [3]	1930/12	1910/25
1911/25	1932/18	1911/5
1945/13	regime [1]	1911/21
1973/11	1948/15	1912/17
refresh [1]	registering [1]	1916/4
1971/7	1925/9	reinforces [1]
refusal [1]	registration	1933/8
1906/16	[1] 1899/16	rejected [9]
refusals [1]	regulation [7]	1856/2 1856/6
1902/18	1851/24	1857/13
refused [1]	1858/19	1859/10
1861/10	1859/15	1859/11
regard [2]	1876/25	1930/24
1845/10	1902/2	1930/25
1968/9	1906/11	1953/20
regarding [10]	1910/11	1958/8
1885/11	regulations	rejection [1]
1906/13	[12] 1851/16	1971/9
1912/5 1914/2	1851/23	rejects [1]
1914/3	1858/16	1885/1
1946/11	1877/15	relacion [1]
1966/4 1966/8	1890/22	1886/23
1968/8 1973/1	1910/6	related [13]

R	1964/23	remember [5]
related... [13]	1965/6	1865/6
1850/25	released [3]	1906/18
1856/14	1895/18	1913/20
1856/20	1921/4	1948/4
1860/14	1974/11	1948/24
1860/18	relevance [1]	removed [1]
1899/13	1861/4	1952/12
1899/21	relevant [10]	repealed [1]
1901/7	1843/23	1925/20
1902/13	1924/11	repeat [11]
1925/8	1924/17	1867/2
1926/22	1927/5	1870/13
1954/12	1929/25	1879/9
1956/23	1951/15	1884/25
related	1953/24	1889/22
aspects [1]	1954/1	1893/15
1856/14	1956/24	1894/1 1894/2
relating [2]	1957/11	1908/8
1905/2	relied [1]	1914/16
1956/19	1965/25	1973/1
relation [1]	remained [3]	repeating [1]
1931/16	1914/4 1914/6	1927/14
relatively [2]	1916/1	repetitive [1]

R	1848/4 1848/9	1897/11
repetitive... [1]	1848/14	1897/14
1912/16	1848/21	1906/4 1913/7
rephrase [5]	1866/23	1913/18
1861/25	1867/4	1913/25
1871/6	1867/10	1914/10
1883/22	1867/15	1914/19
1885/4	1873/11	1916/3
1907/12	1877/17	1918/12
replace [2]	1877/24	1922/20
1855/19	1879/5	1923/1 1923/7
1857/25	1879/11	1928/9
replaced [5]	1880/16	1929/22
1925/22	1883/6 1883/6	1929/23
1932/18	1884/5	1930/10
1953/5 1953/8	1884/20	1930/20
1953/15	1884/25	1931/15
replacement	1885/5 1885/9	1931/16
[1] 1953/21	1885/17	1931/24
reply [4]	1887/18	1939/9
1848/14	1888/14	1944/19
1873/10	1892/15	1944/22
1897/3 1897/3	1896/22	1945/21
report [59]	1897/3 1897/4	1947/2

<p>R</p> <p>report... [5] 1952/18 1956/1 1957/2 1964/17 1969/9</p> <p>REPORTER [1] 1839/20</p> <p>Reporting [1] 1839/21</p> <p>reports [6] 1861/24 1907/8 1917/25 1930/6 1930/10 1935/18</p> <p>represent [6] 1942/3 1942/4 1942/7 1943/1 1943/8 1950/8</p> <p>representative [1] 1904/21</p> <p>representative s [1] 1946/15</p>	<p>represented [2] 1899/20 1901/6</p> <p>reproduce [4] 1857/4 1955/4 1955/19 1957/9</p> <p>request [5] 1876/25 1877/11 1932/15 1935/17 1935/20</p> <p>requested [2] 1843/9 1913/20</p> <p>requests [3] 1928/23 1942/3 1967/21</p> <p>require [5] 1857/3 1880/6 1892/18 1935/21</p>	<p>1957/4</p> <p>required [6] 1857/18 1859/23 1861/1 1900/2 1905/24 1915/24</p> <p>requirement [47] 1844/19 1852/15 1859/18 1869/15 1869/19 1869/20 1872/25 1874/5 1874/25 1876/7 1876/14 1877/2 1877/9 1878/18 1880/18 1888/16 1888/25</p>
--	--	---

R	1970/13	1936/12
requirement...	1970/19	1936/14
[30] 1890/25	1972/17	1938/21
1893/3 1894/5	requirements	1938/23
1903/12	[37] 1867/19	1939/6
1906/2 1912/6	1870/2 1870/7	1943/16
1912/22	1871/10	1943/18
1913/21	1877/8 1893/4	1948/11
1914/2 1914/3	1893/7	1948/14
1914/14	1900/12	1949/5 1958/2
1915/13	1901/17	1961/12
1919/12	1906/5 1910/2	1963/16
1919/17	1916/12	requiring [1]
1919/18	1916/12	1957/15
1920/8	1919/2 1920/9	research [4]
1924/21	1925/2	1898/22
1924/24	1926/18	1920/1 1929/6
1927/11	1927/10	1975/10
1927/23	1929/20	researchers
1928/13	1930/18	[1] 1929/5
1929/17	1934/3	resolution [1]
1929/18	1934/12	1857/11
1933/8 1933/9	1935/23	resolve [1]
1947/5 1970/4	1936/10	1930/16

<p>R</p> <p>resolved [11] 1860/7 1860/24 1860/25 1902/25 1933/14 1967/18 1968/3 1968/9 1968/22 1969/22 1970/2</p> <p>resolves [1] 1852/5</p> <p>resources [2] 1861/2 1866/7</p> <p>respect [6] 1911/17 1939/11 1940/11 1940/13 1942/4 1975/15</p> <p>respective [1]</p>	<p>1930/7</p> <p>respecto [2] 1887/23 1959/22</p> <p>Respondent [4] 1838/13 1841/3 1976/6 1977/9</p> <p>responsibility [1] 1955/18</p> <p>responsible [2] 1908/7 1908/12</p> <p>restating [3] 1912/21 1914/14 1915/13</p> <p>result [6] 1868/1 1885/17 1917/13 1926/24 1960/5 1968/10</p>	<p>resulting [3] 1852/18 1868/23 1961/17</p> <p>resume [2] 1936/24 1937/3</p> <p>retake [1] 1929/19</p> <p>retired [1] 1844/8</p> <p>review [4] 1863/15 1865/12 1941/14 1976/23</p> <p>reviewed [5] 1843/20 1845/5 1938/5 1938/11 1976/16</p> <p>reviewing [2] 1857/21 1906/8</p>
---	---	--

R	1942/23	1954/22
reviews [1]	1942/24	1955/23
1899/5	1943/11	1956/13
revision [1]	1943/21	1957/5
1899/3	1944/13	1957/18
RICHARD [1]	1944/21	1957/23
1840/14	1945/13	1958/23
right [78]	1945/17	1959/3 1959/9
1846/12	1945/21	1960/6
1876/2	1946/2	1960/16
1884/13	1946/12	1961/5
1932/12	1947/2 1947/9	1961/13
1937/25	1947/20	1961/24
1938/7	1948/8	1962/4 1963/2
1938/11	1948/22	1963/12
1939/3	1949/12	1963/19
1939/13	1950/11	1964/3 1964/8
1940/20	1950/16	1965/1
1940/23	1951/9 1952/2	1965/17
1941/1	1952/9	1965/22
1941/19	1952/13	1966/1 1966/5
1941/25	1953/11	1966/9
1942/9	1953/13	1966/13
1942/15	1954/15	1967/5

R	1929/11	1855/20
right... [8]	1932/2	1857/10
1969/18	Roberts [1]	1863/5
1969/23	1839/24	1863/24
1970/19	Robin [2]	1864/6
1971/18	1844/6 1844/7	1865/19
1972/14	Rodriguez [1]	1866/15
1973/8	1897/20	1892/10
1973/15	role [4]	1892/11
1973/21	1861/7 1864/8	1901/6 1902/3
right-hand [2]	1929/19	1902/14
1932/12	1949/6	1905/4
1952/2	row [2]	1913/15
rights [5]	1967/11	1926/3
1856/14	1967/11	1930/24
1856/21	rows [1]	1932/6 1936/8
1862/12	1966/16	1946/14
1929/10	rules [2]	1947/21
1954/13	1838/4	1950/7 1960/3
rigorous [1]	1932/21	1971/13
1938/20	S	1973/1
rise [4]	said [26]	SALAZAR [16]
1927/24	1843/17	1842/10
1928/15	1845/4	1895/21

<p>S</p> <p>SALAZAR...</p> <p>[14] 1895/23</p> <p>1896/2 1896/3</p> <p>1896/12</p> <p>1896/21</p> <p>1898/4</p> <p>1898/13</p> <p>1898/14</p> <p>1907/6</p> <p>1914/22</p> <p>1918/7</p> <p>1918/20</p> <p>1920/21</p> <p>1921/2</p> <p>Salazar's [3]</p> <p>1898/2</p> <p>1898/10</p> <p>1917/25</p> <p>Salazar.....</p> <p>.....1898 [1]</p> <p>1842/12</p> <p>same [30]</p> <p>1845/20</p>	<p>1849/16</p> <p>1852/23</p> <p>1873/25</p> <p>1874/6</p> <p>1874/14</p> <p>1874/25</p> <p>1875/2</p> <p>1875/21</p> <p>1875/22</p> <p>1878/18</p> <p>1881/2 1886/3</p> <p>1887/11</p> <p>1887/20</p> <p>1894/16</p> <p>1898/10</p> <p>1907/1 1914/4</p> <p>1914/7 1916/2</p> <p>1931/15</p> <p>1940/3 1944/5</p> <p>1961/1</p> <p>1961/22</p> <p>1961/22</p> <p>1963/8</p> <p>1964/24</p>	<p>1975/25</p> <p>Sanjay [1]</p> <p>1841/18</p> <p>sat [1]</p> <p>1930/13</p> <p>satisfaction</p> <p>[1] 1934/1</p> <p>satisfies [1]</p> <p>1931/9</p> <p>satisfy [4]</p> <p>1913/21</p> <p>1927/23</p> <p>1932/1 1932/2</p> <p>save [1]</p> <p>1929/2</p> <p>saw [2]</p> <p>1857/10</p> <p>1946/22</p> <p>say [33]</p> <p>1853/7</p> <p>1859/11</p> <p>1859/16</p> <p>1860/5 1873/7</p> <p>1874/24</p>
---	---	---

<p>S</p> <p>say... [27] 1875/1 1877/7 1882/9 1886/18 1892/11 1893/10 1893/11 1902/21 1903/7 1916/10 1920/6 1920/12 1938/19 1939/4 1939/18 1942/7 1944/17 1950/7 1954/16 1964/25 1965/12 1965/13 1966/24</p>	<p>1970/6 1975/10 1975/11 1976/16 saying [4] 1851/12 1856/18 1892/9 1946/3 says [28] 1852/17 1853/14 1853/24 1856/11 1858/1 1858/19 1876/19 1878/6 1886/9 1887/16 1888/6 1888/8 1888/11 1892/16 1893/18 1900/20 1920/6</p>	<p>1934/24 1936/11 1936/13 1936/15 1952/5 1952/6 1955/3 1955/12 1957/6 1959/25 1961/16 SC [1] 1924/7 scheduling [1] 1921/9 schizophrenia [1] 1939/22 school [3] 1866/15 1866/19 1866/19 science [1] 1937/18 Sciences [1] 1844/14 scope [2]</p>
---	---	---

<p>S</p> <p>scope... [2] 1917/25 1963/7</p> <p>screen [1] 1914/25</p> <p>se [1] 1854/3</p> <p>search [2] 1865/23 1906/3</p> <p>second [27] 1844/12 1845/4 1845/6 1849/18 1859/9 1864/20 1867/10 1867/15 1869/9 1879/5 1879/11 1880/16 1884/19 1885/5 1888/14</p>	<p>1913/6 1913/25 1914/10 1914/19 1915/4 1918/19 1923/1 1939/9 1957/1 1958/10 1964/17 1969/15</p> <p>Second Report [3] 1879/5 1879/11 1964/17</p> <p>seconds [1] 1863/11</p> <p>secretariat [1] 1845/2</p> <p>SECRETARY [1] 1839/17</p> <p>section [7] 1859/3</p>	<p>1865/21 1878/14 1931/7 1934/23 1962/12 1963/5</p> <p>sector [1] 1924/2</p> <p>secure [2] 1890/17 1919/24</p> <p>see [37] 1846/11 1849/23 1855/1 1856/2 1858/13 1859/9 1864/4 1864/13 1866/6 1866/9 1869/8 1895/10 1913/7 1913/13 1914/5</p>
--	--	---

<p>S</p> <p>see... [22]</p> <p>1914/22</p> <p>1917/11</p> <p>1918/7</p> <p>1933/11</p> <p>1946/19</p> <p>1949/22</p> <p>1950/24</p> <p>1951/16</p> <p>1951/18</p> <p>1951/24</p> <p>1952/2</p> <p>1952/18</p> <p>1952/24</p> <p>1952/25</p> <p>1958/11</p> <p>1961/2 1961/5</p> <p>1969/17</p> <p>1970/8</p> <p>1972/18</p> <p>1976/22</p> <p>1977/11</p> <p>seeing [1]</p>	<p>1933/15</p> <p>seek [4]</p> <p>1847/13</p> <p>1862/11</p> <p>1896/7 1922/4</p> <p>seeking [3]</p> <p>1855/16</p> <p>1855/18</p> <p>1855/19</p> <p>seem [2]</p> <p>1882/3 1915/9</p> <p>seems [3]</p> <p>1914/23</p> <p>1933/17</p> <p>1935/3</p> <p>seen [2]</p> <p>1916/25</p> <p>1970/10</p> <p>Senate [14]</p> <p>1872/3</p> <p>1872/18</p> <p>1880/24</p> <p>1880/25</p> <p>1883/1</p>	<p>1883/24</p> <p>1884/25</p> <p>1886/12</p> <p>1887/14</p> <p>1888/20</p> <p>1890/6 1894/9</p> <p>1930/8</p> <p>1953/20</p> <p>senators [15]</p> <p>1881/19</p> <p>1882/8 1895/8</p> <p>1895/11</p> <p>1929/13</p> <p>1930/13</p> <p>1931/23</p> <p>1945/10</p> <p>1946/18</p> <p>1946/21</p> <p>1948/20</p> <p>1948/21</p> <p>1950/7</p> <p>1955/14</p> <p>1955/20</p> <p>send [2]</p>
--	---	--

<p>S</p> <p>send... [2] 1976/15 1977/2</p> <p>Senora [2] 1846/18 1964/19</p> <p>Senora Gonzalez [1] 1846/18</p> <p>sense [2] 1933/20 1954/4</p> <p>sent [2] 1845/2 1846/3</p> <p>sentence [7] 1886/15 1893/18 1934/20 1939/16 1940/13 1959/24 1965/21</p> <p>separate [1]</p>	<p>1845/19</p> <p>separately [3] 1849/16 1849/19 1967/16</p> <p>September [8] 1844/7 1848/9 1848/15 1865/9 1896/22 1897/4 1908/23 1969/16</p> <p>September 2011 [1] 1865/9</p> <p>September 2012 [1] 1908/23</p> <p>September 2013 [1] 1844/7</p> <p>September 2014 [2]</p>	<p>1848/9 1896/22</p> <p>September 2015 [3] 1848/15 1897/4 1969/16</p> <p>sequence [2] 1905/11 1905/13</p> <p>serious [3] 1847/20 1896/14 1922/11</p> <p>serves [1] 1945/23</p> <p>service [1] 1854/4</p> <p>services [6] 1863/21 1864/8 1864/11 1865/1 1865/11</p>
---	---	---

<p>S</p> <p>services... [1] 1878/25</p> <p>set [4] 1857/10 1866/25 1867/6 1885/2</p> <p>sets [1] 1961/11</p> <p>several [17] 1844/2 1845/11 1849/7 1849/10 1849/14 1869/24 1924/11 1924/17 1926/9 1926/10 1933/14 1934/12 1935/3 1938/6 1938/21</p>	<p>1942/17 1951/7</p> <p>shall [10] 1847/25 1852/20 1854/2 1858/19 1859/6 1893/18 1902/4 1935/8 1936/8 1961/19</p> <p>SHANE [1] 1841/5</p> <p>shape [1] 1920/10</p> <p>share [7] 1948/8 1948/22 1949/21 1950/14 1950/19 1950/25 1976/8</p>	<p>shared [1] 1916/9</p> <p>SHAWNA [1] 1841/7</p> <p>she [2] 1883/23 1968/14</p> <p>she's [1] 1849/4</p> <p>sheet [4] 1951/22 1951/22 1951/23 1961/3</p> <p>short [3] 1894/25 1966/22 1967/7</p> <p>shortcomings [1] 1938/17</p> <p>shorter [1] 1974/25</p> <p>should [25] 1844/3</p>
--	--	--

S	shouldn't [1]	1848/16
should... [24]	1963/13	1848/17
1855/15	show [2]	1896/24
1865/20	1894/12	1896/25
1870/22	1957/10	1897/5 1897/6
1892/24	showing [3]	1922/21
1910/2	1914/3	1922/22
1924/22	1918/17	1922/24
1931/18	1928/4	1923/2 1923/3
1931/21	shows [4]	1923/4
1934/25	1932/19	signed [2]
1938/20	1966/3 1966/7	1854/14
1939/1 1939/5	1968/5	1904/22
1939/6	side [5]	significant [1]
1939/12	1916/24	1956/17
1939/24	1952/2	similar [5]
1940/6	1974/16	1852/7
1940/16	1974/22	1869/13
1955/3 1955/4	1974/24	1880/19
1963/14	sign [1]	1888/16
1963/21	1894/11	1929/5
1967/20	signature [14]	simplify [1]
1973/18	1848/10	1886/16
1974/18	1848/11	simply [11]

<p>S</p> <p>simply... [11] 1875/24 1877/9 1879/6 1879/13 1879/21 1883/23 1912/21 1921/8 1940/8 1962/3 1964/22</p> <p>since [18] 1861/9 1862/8 1862/17 1865/15 1871/17 1871/17 1878/14 1892/2 1909/13 1916/16 1917/3 1924/11 1944/12</p>	<p>1966/18 1966/22 1969/16 1970/2 1976/3</p> <p>sincere [3] 1848/1 1896/19 1922/17</p> <p>single [3] 1930/1 1968/3 1970/17</p> <p>SIR [3] 1839/15 1844/6 1844/7</p> <p>situation [7] 1855/23 1872/23 1880/1 1883/4 1890/20 1930/17 1959/9</p> <p>situations [1] 1935/19</p> <p>six [1]</p>	<p>1973/10</p> <p>slide [5] 1859/10 1875/9 1875/10 1875/18 1875/25</p> <p>slides [3] 1849/3 1849/5 1849/11</p> <p>slower [2] 1851/9 1856/16</p> <p>slowly [1] 1851/11</p> <p>SMITH [4] 1840/6 1937/6 1949/24 1973/25</p> <p>social [4] 1928/17 1949/2 1949/3 1949/3</p> <p>solemnly [2]</p>
---	---	--

S	1882/5 1882/8	1947/11
solemnly... [2]	1885/1	1949/1
1847/24	1902/23	1950/23
1896/17	1907/7	1964/10
solid [1]	1914/24	1972/18
1948/16	1925/15	sometimes [3]
solve [5]	1930/25	1929/25
1855/21	1931/1	1930/1 1930/2
1861/2	1933/13	somewhat [1]
1880/11	1947/16	1935/2
1953/17	1965/11	sorry [11]
1959/7	1974/23	1871/7
solved [4]	1975/9	1874/10
1855/21	someone [1]	1881/13
1967/22	1973/17	1882/20
1967/23	something	1883/12
1967/25	[15] 1860/3	1915/8 1915/8
solves [2]	1880/7 1882/7	1927/13
1857/11	1887/5 1919/5	1937/8 1940/6
1953/16	1927/14	1951/24
solving [1]	1928/18	sought [5]
1857/15	1933/10	1856/3
some [15]	1933/23	1885/21
1872/10	1944/16	1886/5

<p>S</p> <p>sought... [2] 1919/23 1939/19</p> <p>Spain [1] 1950/8</p> <p>Spanish [19] 1845/21 1846/25 1848/4 1849/4 1849/7 1849/19 1849/23 1849/24 1898/7 1914/21 1917/23 1919/16 1942/17 1950/1 1951/15 1951/25 1952/1 1957/13</p>	<p>1964/19</p> <p>speak [4] 1847/25 1934/6 1940/4 1947/25</p> <p>speaking [2] 1851/23 1951/11</p> <p>speaks [3] 1859/24 1929/8 1934/25</p> <p>special [4] 1872/6 1872/10 1880/24 1909/16</p> <p>specialization [1] 1940/25</p> <p>specialize [1] 1941/6</p> <p>specialized [2] 1851/2 1924/4</p>	<p>specializing [1] 1863/7</p> <p>specific [16] 1855/22 1857/12 1857/16 1860/9 1904/5 1906/7 1910/1 1928/7 1930/16 1930/16 1931/22 1938/24 1953/17 1956/23 1959/8 1965/11</p> <p>specifically [11] 1899/24 1901/7 1927/17 1931/5 1938/13 1947/5</p>
---	---	--

<p>S</p> <p>specifically... [5] 1951/11 1958/1 1964/17 1966/4 1966/9</p> <p>specified [1] 1890/18</p> <p>specify [4] 1867/11 1867/15 1876/18 1928/12</p> <p>specifying [2] 1873/23 1874/4</p> <p>speculation [1] 1933/1</p> <p>speculative [8] 1892/8 1892/10 1892/11 1892/12 1892/13</p>	<p>1894/8 1920/14 1928/19</p> <p>speed [3] 1934/6 1934/15 1940/3</p> <p>spelling [1] 1855/5</p> <p>SPELLISCY [4] 1841/5 1844/24 1923/10 1974/1</p> <p>spells [2] 1934/23 1936/13</p> <p>spent [1] 1941/23</p> <p>spoke [4] 1874/16 1926/20 1932/5 1968/14</p>	<p>stage [1] 1900/1</p> <p>stages [1] 1928/15</p> <p>standard [23] 1843/23 1844/1 1852/13 1853/4 1855/9 1855/9 1855/17 1856/4 1857/7 1858/23 1859/20 1859/20 1861/8 1874/20 1875/2 1877/19 1878/1 1878/13 1878/21 1881/6 1892/25</p>
--	---	---

<p>S</p> <p>standard... [2] 1914/4 1914/6</p> <p>standards [7] 1866/25 1867/6 1869/22 1870/1 1871/14 1871/17 1948/17</p> <p>stands [1] 1901/8</p> <p>start [8] 1848/24 1862/4 1918/5 1921/13 1934/19 1937/17 1949/25 1974/15</p> <p>started [3] 1853/11 1869/16</p>	<p>1956/24</p> <p>starting [1] 1886/22</p> <p>starts [6] 1887/23 1890/7 1914/21 1917/22 1950/1 1950/4</p> <p>state [23] 1847/5 1851/22 1866/24 1867/5 1879/20 1884/21 1885/7 1885/13 1888/15 1895/23 1902/20 1903/14 1905/17 1913/7</p>	<p>1913/19 1918/10 1918/23 1920/5 1921/23 1961/15 1962/21 1963/25 1964/20</p> <p>stated [10] 1859/15 1864/24 1877/16 1877/24 1916/23 1920/15 1939/5 1949/6 1959/7 1960/21</p> <p>statement [51] 1847/22 1853/12 1853/14 1881/10</p>
---	--	---

<p>S</p> <p>statement...</p> <p>[47] 1881/15</p> <p>1881/18</p> <p>1882/1 1882/4</p> <p>1882/9 1883/3</p> <p>1883/7</p> <p>1883/11</p> <p>1884/6 1884/7</p> <p>1884/15</p> <p>1884/20</p> <p>1884/22</p> <p>1885/3 1885/6</p> <p>1885/8</p> <p>1885/11</p> <p>1885/18</p> <p>1885/20</p> <p>1886/4 1895/4</p> <p>1896/16</p> <p>1896/18</p> <p>1917/16</p> <p>1918/11</p> <p>1919/4</p> <p>1920/12</p>	<p>1920/16</p> <p>1922/13</p> <p>1922/15</p> <p>1922/16</p> <p>1927/13</p> <p>1928/8</p> <p>1928/21</p> <p>1928/25</p> <p>1929/7</p> <p>1929/12</p> <p>1930/2 1931/3</p> <p>1931/15</p> <p>1949/12</p> <p>1949/16</p> <p>1949/19</p> <p>1950/18</p> <p>1950/18</p> <p>1960/22</p> <p>1967/2</p> <p>states [9]</p> <p>1878/15</p> <p>1882/23</p> <p>1883/10</p> <p>1887/15</p>	<p>1914/9</p> <p>1919/22</p> <p>1961/7</p> <p>1975/20</p> <p>1975/24</p> <p>statistics [1]</p> <p>1965/12</p> <p>statute [10]</p> <p>1855/12</p> <p>1858/15</p> <p>1877/1</p> <p>1882/13</p> <p>1883/5</p> <p>1943/16</p> <p>1944/21</p> <p>1956/7 1961/2</p> <p>1962/2</p> <p>statutes [1]</p> <p>1851/13</p> <p>statutory [3]</p> <p>1851/7</p> <p>1962/16</p> <p>1962/17</p> <p>stay [1]</p>
---	--	--

<p>S</p> <p>stay... [1] 1853/20</p> <p>step [7] 1852/18 1868/23 1900/14 1930/18 1960/25 1961/13 1961/17</p> <p>Steve [1] 1840/20</p> <p>still [7] 1880/16 1886/2 1891/22 1913/24 1954/6 1958/16 1958/22</p> <p>stood [1] 1951/9</p> <p>Strattera [4]</p>	<p>1906/2 1970/25 1972/3 1972/3</p> <p>Street [2] 1839/15 1840/16</p> <p>struggling [1] 1846/1</p> <p>studied [5] 1872/6 1882/25 1884/11 1884/17 1916/1</p> <p>studies [2] 1923/20 1939/23</p> <p>study [8] 1880/24 1880/24 1880/25 1882/12 1886/11 1887/14</p>	<p>1890/5 1911/14</p> <p>subdirector [2] 1864/21 1864/23</p> <p>subject [9] 1843/19 1900/10 1936/1 1936/5 1936/15 1948/15 1957/23 1962/7 1972/4</p> <p>subjected [1] 1930/6</p> <p>subjective [2] 1857/20 1960/11</p> <p>subjectivity [1] 1932/8</p> <p>submission [4] 1885/22 1886/5 1928/2 1928/25</p>
---	--	--

<p>S</p> <p>submissions [1] 1974/16</p> <p>submit [4] 1903/20 1975/6 1975/23 1977/4</p> <p>submitted [8] 1861/24 1873/9 1873/11 1907/8 1912/5 1932/24 1936/2 1946/21</p> <p>submitting [1] 1890/11</p> <p>subsequent [1] 1928/15</p> <p>subsidiary [1] 1943/19</p> <p>substantial [6] 1853/7</p>	<p>1854/16 1925/24 1926/19 1927/9 1933/3</p> <p>substantive [18] 1855/9 1858/23 1865/13 1899/5 1899/5 1899/24 1900/5 1900/5 1900/11 1904/3 1905/20 1910/19 1911/14 1933/5 1935/23 1935/25 1961/8 1972/17</p> <p>such [18] 1857/15 1859/24</p>	<p>1866/25 1867/6 1888/18 1910/15 1924/20 1924/23 1926/1 1926/16 1926/22 1929/11 1932/8 1933/5 1933/15 1944/3 1970/21 1973/21</p> <p>sufficiency [3] 1900/10 1904/14 1939/25</p> <p>sufficient [3] 1929/15 1970/3 1974/19</p> <p>sufficiently [1] 1905/16</p>
--	---	--

<p>S</p> <p>suggest [1] 1921/7</p> <p>suggests [1] 1957/15</p> <p>Suite [1] 1840/16</p> <p>summarize [1] 1927/15</p> <p>summarized [1] 1844/9</p> <p>supervise [1] 1865/12</p> <p>supervision [3] 1865/25 1866/4 1899/19</p> <p>support [19] 1863/21 1864/8 1864/11 1865/1 1865/11 1878/25</p>	<p>1904/18 1904/21 1904/25 1905/4 1918/13 1919/5 1919/9 1920/6 1935/22 1940/1 1944/24 1945/5 1945/8</p> <p>supported [8] 1855/13 1928/4 1932/11 1944/20 1947/1 1947/8 1947/19 1948/6</p> <p>supporting [1] 1947/24</p> <p>sure [8] 1875/3 1875/11</p>	<p>1886/6 1954/2 1957/12 1966/15 1974/4 1976/2</p> <p>susceptibility [3] 1874/21 1913/22 1956/9</p> <p>susceptible [15] 1852/19 1859/25 1868/24 1873/3 1874/16 1900/17 1906/9 1924/24 1925/15 1925/18 1961/17 1962/3 1962/9 1963/1 1963/4</p> <p>Sussex [1] 1841/12</p>
---	--	--

S	1850/5	1913/10
SYLVIE [1]	1867/10	1913/24
1841/8	1867/14	1914/10
system [16]	1868/2 1868/5	1914/19
1851/4 1851/5	1868/16	1917/20
1851/6	1868/17	1939/8
1851/12	1873/14	1944/22
1851/20	1873/22	1949/13
1852/1 1853/6	1875/5	1949/19
1857/23	1875/13	1951/14
1861/3	1876/11	1951/19
1866/24	1877/18	1951/23
1867/5 1880/8	1877/24	1952/16
1928/6	1879/11	1952/17
1928/16	1880/16	1954/6 1958/8
1939/21	1881/11	1958/9
1955/11	1885/25	1959/13
systems [1]	1886/12	1961/2
1851/22	1888/14	1964/17
T	1889/1	1966/1 1966/2
tab [56]	1889/24	1969/6 1971/6
1849/4 1849/5	1890/6 1895/5	1972/9
1849/13	1898/2 1898/7	tab 22 [1]
	1898/8 1912/4	1972/9

<p>T</p> <p>tab 3 [1] 1889/1</p> <p>Tab 6 [1] 1952/17</p> <p>TABET [1] 1841/8</p> <p>table [1] 1967/11</p> <p>tabs [1] 1849/20</p> <p>take [13] 1846/23 1866/1 1867/23 1868/15 1873/20 1875/4 1881/9 1886/11 1889/11 1890/5 1897/13 1912/3 1926/25</p>	<p>taken [3] 1889/14 1921/20 1975/20</p> <p>takes [2] 1869/2 1872/18</p> <p>taking [1] 1893/7</p> <p>tal [1] 1887/25</p> <p>talk [3] 1850/18 1851/3 1903/10</p> <p>talked [2] 1948/25 1949/2</p> <p>talking [1] 1933/22</p> <p>talks [2] 1918/18 1953/14</p> <p>tea [1] 1974/20</p>	<p>teach [1] 1866/20</p> <p>teaching [3] 1850/15 1866/17 1866/17</p> <p>technical [12] 1857/14 1899/13 1906/12 1906/18 1908/7 1908/12 1908/17 1918/13 1918/14 1938/15 1941/13 1941/15</p> <p>technological [4] 1865/23 1869/25 1925/8 1935/19</p>
---	--	---

T	1968/12	terminology
technologies	1968/19	[5] 1845/20
[1] 1870/6	ten-minute [1]	1871/9 1871/9
technology [3]	1889/8	1931/1 1931/1
1855/8	tengan [2]	terms [22]
1909/19	1875/16	1845/3
1970/18	1876/1	1845/19
tell [8]	tenure [1]	1852/19
1873/12	1902/22	1856/6 1858/3
1885/25	tenured [2]	1868/25
1939/14	1866/10	1870/11
1941/7	1866/13	1870/17
1942/25	term [12]	1871/1
1943/3 1943/5	1857/2	1876/24
1972/6	1859/13	1877/11
tells [1]	1871/4 1888/2	1889/20
1969/10	1890/1 1890/9	1891/1 1891/9
ten [8]	1900/19	1912/15
1850/20	1901/21	1925/16
1860/24	1901/22	1926/18
1860/25	1957/7 1960/8	1927/10
1889/8	1961/23	1934/9
1889/13	terminated [1]	1947/16
1967/17	1908/23	1961/18

T	1889/10	Thambisetty
terms... [1]	1898/19	[1] 1843/11
1964/20	1936/25	Thambisetty's
test [1]	Teva [3]	[1] 1844/16
1878/18	1943/20	than [10]
testified [7]	1943/22	1860/9
1867/18	1944/5	1862/23
1918/1	text [12]	1877/2
1937/24	1847/22	1899/17
1938/2	1849/24	1905/10
1945/11	1869/12	1932/25
1949/10	1896/16	1947/18
1967/17	1918/21	1965/14
testify [1]	1922/13	1975/1
1846/24	1945/19	1976/20
testifying [6]	1946/20	thank [44]
1847/19	1946/22	1844/22
1895/17	1946/23	1848/2
1896/13	1957/15	1848/13
1921/3	1957/20	1848/19
1922/10	texts [2]	1848/23
1974/9	1849/7 1932/3	1848/25
testimony [4]	textually [1]	1850/10
1880/25	1957/7	1861/16

T	1923/16	1886/23
thank... [36]	1934/8	1887/9
1865/10	1934/17	1887/14
1866/9	1936/19	1890/23
1868/13	1936/21	1891/12
1882/14	1940/10	1892/11
1889/17	1973/25	1892/16
1894/21	1974/6 1974/7	1893/3
1895/16	1974/9	1897/16
1895/19	1974/12	1900/18
1896/10	1975/17	1910/4
1896/20	1977/10	1910/17
1897/9	that [628]	1919/4
1898/12	that's [35]	1921/15
1898/15	1843/19	1921/19
1906/21	1843/24	1942/4 1960/7
1907/21	1844/1	1971/23
1909/20	1844/13	1973/6
1920/20	1844/14	1973/10
1920/22	1848/12	1973/23
1921/1 1921/2	1849/18	1975/13
1921/5	1881/11	1975/16
1922/18	1884/8	1975/18
1923/9	1886/16	1976/2

T	then [51]	1900/4
their [6]	1845/17	1901/10
1862/12	1846/13	1905/15
1900/25	1848/14	1907/11
1915/20	1848/23	1908/15
1917/12	1851/14	1921/8
1918/15	1851/15	1921/13
1960/14	1851/23	1921/13
them [17]	1851/25	1929/3 1930/6
1856/2 1904/6	1852/21	1938/14
1904/11	1862/4	1939/6
1911/13	1864/23	1940/12
1919/10	1875/24	1944/3
1919/10	1878/15	1951/20
1928/4	1886/18	1951/24
1941/15	1888/11	1954/16
1941/16	1889/6 1891/5	1974/13
1942/4 1942/5	1892/23	1975/3 1975/5
1942/19	1893/23	1975/19
1945/10	1893/24	1975/25
1955/19	1894/17	1975/25
1975/8 1976/8	1894/19	1976/18
1976/16	1897/9	1977/3 1977/4
themselves	1898/22	1977/11
[1] 1849/19		

T	1893/22	1925/10
there [104]	1894/11	1926/13
1844/2	1894/14	1926/19
1844/20	1897/10	1926/21
1844/25	1900/1	1926/24
1845/11	1900/10	1927/19
1850/23	1901/13	1927/20
1853/15	1903/22	1928/12
1854/15	1903/23	1928/13
1854/19	1904/8	1928/13
1855/7	1904/10	1930/8
1855/12	1905/21	1932/22
1858/25	1906/2	1933/4
1860/20	1909/25	1933/12
1864/15	1910/7	1933/13
1867/16	1913/16	1933/21
1868/8 1869/5	1914/23	1935/17
1869/5	1916/5 1916/8	1938/16
1869/11	1916/22	1938/19
1880/10	1919/8	1942/18
1881/13	1920/17	1943/4
1882/11	1923/6	1943/14
1883/5 1883/9	1923/25	1945/3 1945/4
1888/5	1925/5	1945/23

T	1972/16	therein [1]
there... [30]	1973/13	1949/1
1946/16	1973/18	these [41]
1947/24	1975/9	1856/6
1948/25	1975/23	1860/14
1949/6	1976/17	1860/24
1949/16	there's [12]	1860/25
1949/20	1845/18	1861/4 1861/5
1953/4 1955/3	1849/17	1870/1 1888/1
1955/21	1863/23	1888/10
1958/13	1865/17	1900/24
1961/5	1914/24	1901/12
1963/14	1927/12	1902/18
1963/21	1951/24	1903/7
1964/13	1957/12	1903/14
1964/20	1963/20	1911/25
1964/22	1966/19	1914/1 1916/8
1964/25	1966/25	1916/25
1965/5	1970/21	1917/10
1965/19	thereby [1]	1920/17
1966/17	1928/19	1924/23
1968/2 1969/7	therefore [2]	1928/7
1971/13	1888/8	1930/13
1971/16	1939/24	1932/8

T	1863/11	1943/14
these... [17]	1869/22	1945/8
1939/22	1879/20	1947/13
1942/2 1942/3	1879/23	1948/15
1942/8	1880/6	1949/2
1946/18	1880/12	1950/10
1955/11	1880/12	1955/12
1958/7	1881/2 1881/7	1956/1
1959/25	1884/10	1960/10
1963/16	1884/16	1960/22
1964/1 1964/4	1892/24	1962/12
1964/6	1892/25	1963/21
1966/16	1899/18	1964/5 1964/7
1967/16	1901/21	1966/24
1967/23	1903/18	1968/17
1970/6	1903/19	1975/21
1970/10	1905/14	1975/22
they [53]	1911/1 1911/2	1976/20
1845/4 1850/1	1911/16	they're [9]
1855/14	1920/16	1844/4
1855/18	1932/11	1849/11
1855/18	1938/22	1869/13
1855/20	1938/22	1877/6 1877/7
1856/3 1861/3	1941/14	1930/5

T	1848/24	1947/12
they're... [3]	1855/15	1948/5
1941/13	1859/19	1950/22
1945/3 1976/3	1863/23	1954/24
They've [1]	1870/19	1955/14
1843/10	1870/21	1966/1
thing [6]	1870/23	1967/20
1874/15	1877/6 1877/7	1968/14
1902/8	1877/18	1970/5
1926/20	1881/13	1970/21
1938/22	1882/4 1882/6	1971/13
1953/15	1883/25	1971/25
1975/19	1888/9 1889/6	1973/7
things [9]	1892/20	1974/18
1859/3	1894/17	1974/24
1886/16	1914/25	1975/2 1976/7
1887/11	1916/22	1977/1
1889/12	1921/11	thinking [1]
1899/9 1926/5	1924/20	1882/6
1953/9	1927/5	thinks [1]
1953/10	1927/14	1883/8
1953/12	1934/11	third [2]
think [46]	1937/3	1935/11
1846/13	1947/10	1959/20

T	1970/7 1976/4	1934/14
this [246]	though [5]	1946/21
THOMAS [1]	1870/1 1919/1	1952/18
1840/10	1928/2	1954/6
those [22]	1938/21	1961/12
1844/5	1942/24	1974/16
1845/14	thought [5]	1974/25
1845/17	1851/10	through [9]
1849/4 1854/9	1876/22	1872/2
1883/24	1945/7	1880/23
1898/6	1960/10	1902/8
1901/13	1960/22	1931/21
1902/11	three [21]	1966/12
1905/22	1872/9	1967/4
1916/9	1888/20	1967/10
1926/25	1893/4 1893/7	1967/11
1938/17	1895/11	1969/16
1939/5	1900/12	throws [1]
1943/15	1904/8 1915/6	1929/4
1943/17	1925/1	thus [2]
1944/4	1929/20	1857/14
1947/23	1930/2 1930/9	1857/21
1959/1	1930/11	time [28]
1964/12	1934/2	1845/6

T	1953/6	1962/13
time... [27]	1956/15	told [1]
1850/22	1975/7	1904/16
1853/19	times [1]	tomorrow [1]
1854/6	1955/9	1977/3
1854/11	TINA [1]	tonight [3]
1860/6 1874/2	1840/10	1975/6
1876/5 1876/9	title [2]	1975/23
1876/12	1857/19	1977/3
1877/4	1865/4	took [2]
1877/22	titled [1]	1902/11
1885/12	1952/17	1926/6
1898/25	today [6]	top [2]
1915/22	1956/6	1849/22
1924/5	1956/16	1958/11
1932/23	1958/16	topic [1]
1933/12	1962/25	1844/5
1934/7	1963/9 1964/7	topics [1]
1934/13	together [6]	1964/14
1940/9	1854/9	Torre [1]
1941/20	1930/17	1897/20
1941/23	1942/17	total [7]
1947/24	1943/6	1966/17
1951/13	1943/13	1966/21

T	Trademark [1]	1891/7
total... [5]	1868/16	1892/10
1966/22	trademarks [1]	1894/15
1967/5	1941/3	1898/8
1967/13	tradition [1]	translations
1967/24	1926/12	[10] 1845/8
1969/21	train [1]	1845/14
totally [1]	1940/5	1845/15
1874/22	training [2]	1845/17
towards [1]	1908/2	1845/18
1887/24	1911/13	1849/8
Tower [1]	transcript [1]	1849/10
1839/6	1914/25	1849/12
trade [8]	transcription	1849/21
1838/3	[1] 1876/3	1849/24
1841/10	transfer [1]	translator [1]
1841/11	1925/8	1941/9
1854/13	translated [1]	translators [1]
1856/13	1864/22	1845/15
1856/20	translation [9]	transmission
1942/22	1845/3 1850/2	[1] 1933/24
1954/12	1863/24	treaties [3]
Trade-Related	1864/2	1866/25
[1] 1954/12	1870/14	1867/6

T	1847/21	1954/17
treaties... [1]	1850/11	trouble [1]
1874/18	1881/25	1850/2
treatment [4]	1896/2 1896/8	true [2]
1903/21	1896/14	1954/23
1905/3 1906/3	1896/15	1971/23
1939/20	1898/3 1921/6	truth [1]
treaty [3]	1921/9 1922/6	1847/25
1853/16	1922/11	trying [3]
1926/13	1922/12	1859/11
1954/22	1923/17	1901/21
trial [2]	1974/8 1975/6	1929/3
1852/7	1975/8	turn [22]
1861/14	1975/14	1864/3 1895/7
trials [5]	1975/21	1939/8
1860/13	1975/24	1949/18
1860/21	1976/9	1951/3
1861/1 1861/4	Tribunal's [1]	1951/21
1861/5	1845/11	1952/1
TRIBUNAL	TRIPS [6]	1952/16
[25] 1839/3	1856/21	1952/21
1843/24	1867/1 1867/7	1954/3 1954/4
1847/14	1869/16	1958/9
1847/20	1954/13	1958/10

<p>T</p> <p>turn... [9] 1961/1 1961/4 1964/16 1966/2 1968/6 1969/5 1969/15 1970/24 1972/2</p> <p>turning [1] 1962/14</p> <p>turns [1] 1928/19</p> <p>two [28] 1845/2 1853/7 1861/24 1868/8 1903/11 1904/11 1905/5 1905/18 1907/8 1909/1 1909/3 1909/4 1915/17</p>	<p>1930/2 1932/3 1934/5 1934/15 1938/3 1938/14 1938/15 1939/5 1943/18 1945/22 1952/15 1958/25 1964/4 1964/6 1974/17</p> <p>type [3] 1913/16 1917/9 1926/24</p> <p>types [3] 1854/4 1860/12 1860/22</p> <hr/> <p>U</p> <hr/> <p>U.S [4] 1870/11</p>	<p>1870/17 1871/9 1888/18</p> <p>UK [2] 1844/1 1844/9</p> <p>UK's [1] 1844/18</p> <p>un [1] 1950/2</p> <p>una [3] 1875/17 1876/1 1880/3</p> <p>UNAM [1] 1866/10</p> <p>uncertainty [2] 1857/22 1880/8</p> <p>UNCITRAL [1] 1838/4</p> <p>unclear [5] 1847/11 1893/9 1894/19 1896/5 1922/3</p> <p>unconclusive [1] 1939/23</p>
---	---	---

<p>U</p>	<p>1889/9</p>	<p>underlined [1]</p>
<p>UNCT [1]</p>	<p>1899/17</p>	<p>1856/2</p>
<p>1838/6</p>	<p>1899/19</p>	<p>underlying [1]</p>
<p>UNCT/14/2 [1]</p>	<p>1899/19</p>	<p>1856/5</p>
<p>1838/6</p>	<p>1900/3</p>	<p>underscore</p>
<p>under [38]</p>	<p>1900/19</p>	<p>[1] 1888/12</p>
<p>1838/3</p>	<p>1900/24</p>	<p>underscores</p>
<p>1851/14</p>	<p>1901/5</p>	<p>[1] 1933/7</p>
<p>1851/16</p>	<p>1902/15</p>	<p>understand</p>
<p>1851/23</p>	<p>1911/21</p>	<p>[18] 1846/22</p>
<p>1852/15</p>	<p>1913/2</p>	<p>1864/15</p>
<p>1852/19</p>	<p>1933/21</p>	<p>1869/9</p>
<p>1853/24</p>	<p>1936/25</p>	<p>1870/14</p>
<p>1854/9</p>	<p>1943/6</p>	<p>1870/20</p>
<p>1863/25</p>	<p>1954/21</p>	<p>1875/4</p>
<p>1864/14</p>	<p>1955/2</p>	<p>1879/19</p>
<p>1864/17</p>	<p>1961/18</p>	<p>1882/21</p>
<p>1864/21</p>	<p>1962/1 1963/9</p>	<p>1883/13</p>
<p>1867/20</p>	<p>1976/10</p>	<p>1887/11</p>
<p>1868/24</p>	<p>under-director</p>	<p>1907/1</p>
<p>1873/22</p>	<p>[4] 1863/25</p>	<p>1907/14</p>
<p>1876/9</p>	<p>1864/14</p>	<p>1922/8</p>
<p>1876/16</p>	<p>1864/17</p>	<p>1934/14</p>
<p>1877/24</p>	<p>1864/21</p>	<p>1969/10</p>

<p>U</p> <p>understand... [3] 1974/14 1976/9 1976/19</p> <p>understandin g [6] 1875/12 1886/7 1888/18 1935/6 1957/24 1958/5</p> <p>understood [5] 1847/14 1847/17 1896/8 1922/6 1968/20</p> <p>undertake [1] 1932/10</p> <p>undoubtedly [1] 1947/11</p> <p>undue [1] 1949/4</p> <p>unfortunately [1] 1950/10</p>	<p>United [4] 1839/13 1844/13 1975/20 1975/24</p> <p>unity [3] 1900/9 1904/25 1905/3</p> <p>universe [1] 1860/15</p> <p>Universidad [4] 1850/14 1898/20 1923/20 1923/23</p> <p>university [2] 1866/15 1898/21</p> <p>unless [2] 1933/23 1976/10</p> <p>unnecessary [3] 1902/2</p>	<p>1912/11 1913/3</p> <p>unsupported [2] 1885/22 1886/5</p> <p>until [3] 1899/11 1908/20 1976/16</p> <p>up [12] 1864/24 1872/9 1901/10 1905/11 1926/1 1926/3 1929/4 1932/25 1935/2 1943/24 1968/19 1971/8</p> <p>updated [1] 1898/2</p> <p>upon [3]</p>
---	--	--

<p>U</p> <p>upon... [3] 1847/24 1896/17 1922/15</p> <p>us [10] 1843/21 1845/1 1850/2 1850/3 1868/5 1907/1 1926/14 1969/10 1974/2 1977/3</p> <p>use [6] 1870/22 1880/11 1880/12 1907/1 1910/10 1939/19</p> <p>used [26] 1845/4 1845/7 1853/2 1854/1 1854/25</p>	<p>1855/3 1855/23 1858/10 1858/22 1870/11 1870/17 1871/9 1873/18 1876/24 1880/20 1888/17 1900/22 1901/3 1902/7 1902/16 1912/23 1925/19 1932/14 1941/8 1952/8 1958/18</p> <p>users [1] 1857/22</p> <p>uses [2] 1905/13 1955/10</p>	<p>using [4] 1845/20 1849/3 1875/7 1927/1</p> <p>usual [1] 1843/2</p> <p>utilidad [2] 1875/17 1876/1</p> <p>utility [51] 1843/24 1844/1 1844/10 1844/18 1853/1 1854/24 1858/1 1858/10 1860/16 1869/20 1870/12 1870/18 1870/23 1870/24</p>
--	--	---

<p>U</p> <p>utility... [37] 1870/24 1871/2 1876/8 1876/16 1876/19 1876/21 1877/9 1878/11 1879/6 1879/12 1880/3 1880/13 1880/14 1880/20 1881/2 1888/3 1888/4 1888/11 1888/12 1888/17 1890/18 1892/18 1900/21 1901/21</p>	<p>1927/21 1930/15 1930/22 1930/23 1931/4 1932/13 1958/18 1959/2 1959/11 1959/15 1960/2 1960/4 1960/15 utilization [1] 1906/9 utilize [1] 1901/23</p> <hr/> <p>V</p> <hr/> <p>valid [3] 1948/16 1971/24 1971/25 validity [5] 1937/24 1965/15</p>	<p>1971/8 1971/20 1972/22 van [3] 1839/5 1839/6 1849/1 various [2] 1956/18 1958/7 Venugopal [1] 1841/18 VERONEAU [1] 1840/7 version [12] 1846/2 1849/5 1864/4 1874/24 1874/24 1875/4 1875/7 1876/10 1891/8 1898/7 1914/21 1958/6 versions [1] 1963/23</p>
---	--	---

V	1964/24	1930/4 1965/7
very [32]	1964/25	1968/22
1846/23	1965/6	viewpoint [1]
1847/20	1965/20	1894/16
1850/10	1966/23	VIII [3] 1850/5
1859/18	1969/4	1858/18
1861/4	1974/12	1860/2
1869/13	1975/5	violate [1]
1869/25	1977/10	1857/7
1894/21	VETERE [1]	virtually [1]
1895/19	1840/7	1869/5
1896/14	vice [1]	vis [2]
1898/15	1862/17	1925/11
1899/22	vice-president	1925/11
1903/10	[1] 1862/17	vis-à-vis [1]
1906/21	Videogram [1]	1925/11
1917/7 1921/5	1862/7	vision [1]
1922/11	videograms	1925/12
1923/22	[1] 1851/1	voiced [1]
1925/6	view [7]	1912/9
1934/11	1843/23	W
1934/17	1880/23	W1K [1]
1939/23	1913/1	1839/13
1959/21	1925/23	WAGNER [1]

W	1902/23	1893/6
WAGNER... [1]	1903/7	1898/10
1840/14	1903/10	1916/16
wait [3]	1903/12	1918/16
1863/11	1905/17	1920/10
1976/15	1906/6	1920/17
1976/16	wanting [1]	1928/8 1935/2
Wales [1]	1884/1	1936/3
1844/9	was [231]	1953/17
want [8]	Washington	1959/8
1846/23	[3] 1838/18	1962/20
1875/6	1840/12	1962/21
1875/11	1923/22	1963/22
1886/6	wasn't [6]	1973/19
1887/10	1904/12	1977/2
1963/21	1930/25	WC2R [1]
1965/3	1933/4	1839/16
1974/17	1962/11	we [117]
wanted [11]	1968/3	1843/5
1892/25	1972/16	1843/20
1897/12	way [19]	1843/21
1902/10	1855/21	1844/2
1902/20	1857/12	1844/19
1902/21	1880/10	1845/5 1845/6

W	1859/22	1895/10
we... [110]	1859/23	1898/10
1845/6 1845/8	1860/10	1901/13
1846/11	1860/13	1904/15
1849/16	1870/3	1906/22
1849/24	1870/21	1907/1
1851/15	1873/9	1909/18
1851/16	1873/21	1911/12
1851/16	1875/4	1911/13
1851/20	1875/20	1914/5
1851/21	1876/21	1916/10
1851/23	1876/22	1917/24
1851/25	1879/21	1921/7
1853/7 1853/8	1883/10	1921/11
1854/7	1883/12	1926/9 1927/4
1854/18	1887/4	1927/15
1855/2 1855/6	1887/11	1934/10
1855/7	1889/2 1889/7	1936/23
1857/10	1889/24	1936/23
1858/15	1891/4 1891/7	1937/3
1859/5	1892/20	1937/10
1859/10	1893/2 1893/2	1938/16
1859/16	1893/4	1944/17
1859/19	1893/17	1951/10

W	1976/15	weekend [2]
we..... [33]	1976/15	1843/21
1951/20	1976/18	1846/4
1952/15	1976/18	weighed [1]
1953/23	1977/2 1977/4	1955/15
1958/7 1961/2	1977/11	welcomed [1]
1961/4 1962/5	we'd [1]	1947/3
1966/2 1967/3	1843/19	well [44]
1968/6	we'll [2]	1845/1
1969/14	1845/19	1850/17
1969/15	1846/8	1852/14
1969/16	we're [6]	1855/10
1970/6 1970/8	1855/16	1855/16
1974/5	1886/2	1858/6
1974/14	1933/15	1859/11
1974/15	1933/22	1865/17
1975/7	1950/23	1865/22
1975/10	1975/9	1866/3
1975/11	we've [2]	1876/18
1975/12	1849/2 1898/9	1879/25
1975/22	Wednesday	1886/8 1891/1
1975/25	[4] 1974/15	1891/10
1976/4	1975/9 1976/5	1894/9
1976/15	1977/11	1894/17

W	1967/12	1866/7 1871/2
well... [27]	1967/20	1875/17
1902/21	1970/1 1973/3	1876/8 1878/7
1903/23	WENDY [1]	1890/16
1909/17	1840/14	1891/11
1924/19	went [7]	1895/4
1926/11	1864/24	1899/18
1926/24	1880/23	1899/18
1927/19	1898/22	1901/13
1928/4	1902/8	1901/16
1928/13	1907/22	1901/21
1932/6 1932/7	1940/4 1945/9	1902/9
1932/11	were [76]	1902/15
1935/24	1854/11	1903/1 1903/2
1942/12	1855/18	1903/2 1903/8
1942/19	1855/18	1903/8
1943/13	1856/1 1856/6	1903/18
1947/8	1860/7	1904/9
1947/10	1860/14	1904/13
1951/1	1860/18	1904/19
1951/10	1860/23	1904/24
1957/6	1860/24	1904/24
1963/13	1860/25	1907/17
1964/7	1863/20	1908/6

W	1930/25	1874/7
were... [36]	1938/17	1874/15
1908/11	1945/24	1874/21
1908/25	1947/23	1879/17
1909/22	1955/12	1879/25
1910/8 1911/6	1958/7 1961/4	1880/1
1911/15	1965/15	1881/24
1911/23	1968/17	1882/3 1882/4
1912/16	1969/22	1882/12
1913/3	1970/7	1883/2 1883/8
1915/22	1976/18	1883/10
1916/7 1916/8	what [80]	1884/8
1916/9	1852/4 1852/8	1884/22
1917/17	1852/13	1885/8 1886/9
1920/17	1852/21	1887/6 1887/8
1925/10	1853/6 1853/7	1887/14
1926/13	1853/21	1888/5
1926/15	1855/18	1892/11
1927/15	1856/8	1892/15
1927/19	1858/15	1893/8
1927/19	1859/14	1894/16
1927/20	1860/4 1866/1	1899/23
1927/20	1866/17	1903/24
1928/4	1869/21	1909/9

W	1950/24	1865/18
what... [37]	1957/12	1869/4 1870/3
1911/12	1959/17	1879/16
1915/1	1967/1 1967/8	1879/23
1917/11	1967/8	1883/14
1920/6 1920/6	1967/12	1884/10
1920/18	1970/9	1884/16
1924/20	1970/23	1886/13
1924/22	1972/10	1888/15
1927/15	1973/1 1973/7	1889/9
1932/5	1976/15	1891/15
1932/24	1976/19	1891/18
1934/23	what's [1]	1891/23
1934/24	1882/9	1891/25
1936/12	when [59]	1892/5
1937/12	1849/22	1892/22
1938/19	1850/3	1893/8
1939/4	1858/19	1893/11
1939/15	1859/7	1893/20
1939/18	1859/11	1894/6
1941/7	1860/2	1894/12
1943/23	1860/23	1894/18
1947/12	1862/1 1862/5	1894/19
1948/24	1865/5	1897/14

W	1854/16	1964/11
when... [24]	1867/12	1965/5
1902/4 1907/9	1868/4 1871/5	1968/11
1907/11	1873/12	1968/17
1911/22	1882/16	1975/21
1920/19	1913/20	which [94]
1925/21	1925/9	1847/22
1932/10	1926/21	1848/8
1933/17	1930/3	1848/14
1935/10	1933/13	1851/17
1935/13	1946/3	1852/2
1935/23	1954/25	1853/17
1936/9	1961/4	1854/2
1941/14	1963/15	1854/20
1942/7 1944/1	1970/7 1972/6	1856/22
1945/2 1945/6	whether [13]	1858/21
1946/14	1846/11	1859/10
1946/22	1848/20	1859/14
1956/6	1869/11	1859/24
1956/23	1883/23	1860/22
1960/12	1902/21	1861/13
1966/12	1932/10	1863/25
1976/15	1943/23	1864/24
where [17]	1948/3	1866/12

W	1923/24	1939/9 1941/8
which... [76]	1924/7	1942/16
1866/12	1924/15	1943/3 1944/6
1870/22	1925/7 1926/9	1949/13
1873/10	1927/21	1951/14
1873/21	1928/7 1929/4	1953/5
1873/24	1929/18	1954/14
1874/4	1930/8	1958/11
1874/19	1931/10	1959/7
1877/14	1931/12	1959/14
1880/4 1882/7	1931/17	1960/3 1961/2
1884/5 1893/6	1932/7	1961/21
1895/5	1932/12	1962/15
1896/16	1932/13	1964/17
1896/22	1934/2	1967/1
1900/1 1901/2	1934/10	1967/24
1901/8 1902/6	1934/11	1969/10
1903/1	1935/14	1971/12
1917/10	1936/13	1975/6
1917/22	1936/14	1975/22
1918/15	1936/15	1976/9
1919/7	1936/16	whichever [1]
1921/13	1936/17	1850/1
1922/13	1938/12	while [5]

W	1903/21	1862/2
while... [5]	1920/16	1863/13
1883/6	1926/11	1864/3
1904/22	1930/3 1930/5	1867/24
1933/3 1933/4	1949/5	1871/6
1950/9	1949/16	1881/25
who [11]	1962/5	1885/4
1882/5	1963/20	1896/12
1883/12	1976/2	1896/18
1898/6 1929/5	will [44]	1897/22
1929/24	1845/16	1907/7 1922/6
1930/13	1846/11	1922/9
1931/23	1846/17	1922/16
1937/4 1938/3	1846/24	1934/4
1938/15	1847/14	1936/23
1943/8	1847/18	1936/24
whole [1]	1849/3 1849/6	1937/6 1968/6
1953/15	1853/5	1974/24
whomever [1]	1853/17	1974/25
1945/3	1856/5	1975/6 1975/7
why [13]	1857/13	1975/22
1856/6	1858/17	1976/4
1859/19	1860/3	1976/14
1903/13	1861/23	1977/2 1977/4

W	1895/18	1893/1 1893/5
will... [1]	1896/4 1921/3	1931/24
1977/11	1921/12	1932/19
WILLARD [1]	1974/10	1941/8 1941/8
1840/9	witnesses [2]	1947/14
WILMER [1]	1845/5 1845/7	1947/18
1839/12	word [42]	1952/12
wilmerhale.co	1852/24	1953/5 1953/6
m [1] 1839/13	1852/24	1953/12
wish [2]	1854/7	1953/21
1897/10	1854/21	1954/19
1923/7	1854/21	1955/21
within [2]	1855/2	1956/6
1901/1	1855/19	1956/11
1915/23	1855/20	1957/3
without [6]	1856/7	1958/23
1857/16	1857/13	1958/23
1874/20	1857/13	1962/24
1890/18	1859/17	1963/18
1920/7 1960/4	1860/1	1963/18
1968/9	1874/14	1964/12
witness [7]	1874/19	word-by-word
1847/10	1879/24	[1] 1857/13
1847/23	1880/3 1880/4	wording [17]

W	1876/1 1878/4	1909/17
wording... [17]	1880/11	1910/5
1858/2	1880/13	1924/17
1858/22	1881/1	1937/17
1859/5	1886/23	1938/3
1870/10	1887/23	1941/18
1870/16	1890/15	worked [10]
1879/7	1894/9	1850/20
1879/13	1930/23	1850/23
1879/21	1932/16	1879/1
1888/6	1936/4	1898/23
1892/18	1947/23	1903/18
1913/4	1949/25	1908/19
1932/12	1954/6	1924/1 1924/8
1947/11	1959/22	1940/19
1947/14	1963/12	1940/22
1947/20	1964/2	working [3]
1950/24	1964/13	1898/24
1960/1	work [12]	1904/22
words [23]	1853/16	1920/19
1856/6	1863/1 1863/8	World [1]
1859/23	1863/14	1853/15
1866/1	1865/13	would [131]
1875/16	1898/23	1843/21

W	1876/18	1898/3
would... [130]	1877/17	1898/17
1844/21	1880/6 1880/6	1899/22
1848/4	1880/12	1907/9 1910/9
1848/21	1881/2 1881/7	1911/16
1851/3 1851/9	1881/9	1911/24
1852/8 1857/2	1881/25	1912/3
1857/6 1857/7	1883/20	1913/10
1857/20	1884/21	1914/19
1859/17	1885/7	1915/10
1859/18	1885/13	1916/10
1862/3	1885/23	1916/15
1867/10	1886/10	1918/5
1867/23	1887/7 1888/3	1918/25
1868/2	1888/11	1920/11
1868/15	1888/14	1921/7
1868/16	1888/24	1921/10
1869/2 1873/5	1889/6 1890/4	1921/13
1873/14	1890/10	1922/14
1873/22	1891/9	1923/15
1874/10	1892/25	1928/5
1874/24	1893/10	1928/17
1876/11	1894/12	1929/13
1876/12	1897/17	1929/15

W	1957/4 1957/7	written [9]
would..... [51]	1957/8 1957/9	1844/6
1929/16	1957/16	1856/17
1929/19	1960/10	1867/24
1930/9	1960/12	1869/4
1930/22	1960/23	1880/10
1931/3	1963/21	1886/9 1887/8
1931/25	1965/4	1887/9 1887/9
1932/1 1932/1	1966/16	wrote [3]
1932/8 1932/9	1966/24	1855/7 1888/9
1935/24	1967/6 1970/5	1939/12
1943/14	1970/8 1973/1	Y
1947/5 1951/7	1975/2	year [21]
1952/12	1975/11	1860/8
1952/14	1975/12	1860/21
1953/4 1953/8	1975/25	1860/25
1953/9	1976/6 1976/8	1872/23
1953/11	1976/11	1879/1
1953/12	1976/25	1907/22
1953/15	wouldn't [1]	1908/2
1954/20	1910/8	1965/22
1955/7 1955/9	write [2]	1966/4 1966/8
1955/10	1940/14	1967/1 1967/8
1956/22	1944/23	1967/9

Y	1969/15	1867/22
year... [8]	1973/10	1868/10
1967/18	yes [136]	1868/19
1968/10	1845/10	1869/17
1968/12	1845/25	1870/8
1968/19	1846/10	1871/16
1968/23	1846/15	1872/15
1969/11	1846/21	1875/15
1969/11	1847/2 1847/7	1875/19
1973/5	1847/17	1876/2 1878/5
years [17]	1848/6	1879/15
1850/15	1848/12	1880/21
1850/20	1848/18	1881/16
1869/15	1850/6 1862/2	1882/9
1876/5	1862/4 1862/9	1884/18
1876/13	1862/14	1886/20
1898/24	1862/18	1886/25
1906/16	1862/25	1887/18
1909/1 1909/4	1863/17	1887/24
1915/17	1864/19	1888/22
1924/3 1941/2	1865/3 1865/8	1888/23
1966/19	1865/14	1888/23
1966/21	1866/18	1890/3
1968/18	1867/17	1890/23

Y	1912/8	1947/24
yes... [86]	1912/13	1950/12
1891/6	1912/24	1951/12
1891/12	1913/15	1952/4
1891/18	1913/23	1952/20
1891/20	1915/15	1952/23
1892/1 1892/6	1916/14	1953/1
1892/16	1917/5	1953/14
1893/23	1917/19	1954/8
1894/25	1918/9	1955/24
1897/1 1897/7	1918/22	1956/4
1898/9 1907/3	1919/20	1956/14
1907/11	1922/23	1958/14
1907/16	1923/4	1958/24
1907/25	1934/21	1959/4
1908/21	1938/14	1959/10
1908/24	1939/18	1960/7
1909/3 1909/8	1942/10	1960/17
1909/14	1942/16	1961/6
1909/24	1943/22	1961/10
1910/4	1944/17	1961/14
1910/17	1945/15	1961/20
1910/21	1945/18	1961/25
1911/8 1912/2	1945/22	1962/14

Y	1866/10	1848/17
yes..... [11]	1866/12	1850/7 1862/4
1965/9	1871/19	1863/1 1863/8
1965/23	1886/1 1892/9	1863/11
1966/20	1909/21	1863/14
1969/8	1916/7	1864/4 1864/8
1969/19	1917/15	1865/5 1866/1
1969/21	1918/3	1866/10
1972/8	1938/13	1866/11
1972/21	1942/8 1954/2	1866/23
1973/6 1973/9	1968/4	1867/4
1973/12	you've [5]	1867/10
Yesterday [1]	1845/16	1867/15
1845/1	1862/6 1896/8	1869/8
yet [2]	1922/6	1873/21
1890/11	1940/22	1875/9 1875/9
1970/17	your [97]	1875/11
you [395]	1847/5	1875/18
you'd [2]	1847/15	1875/25
1954/4 1971/6	1848/3 1848/8	1877/17
you'll [1]	1848/10	1877/24
1849/23	1848/11	1879/4
you're [14]	1848/14	1879/10
1856/2	1848/17	1880/16

<p>Y</p> <hr/> <p>your... [60]</p> <p>1880/23</p> <p>1884/19</p> <p>1885/5</p> <p>1885/20</p> <p>1888/14</p> <p>1888/18</p> <p>1889/4 1894/1</p> <p>1895/6</p> <p>1895/12</p> <p>1895/23</p> <p>1896/9</p> <p>1896/21</p> <p>1896/25</p> <p>1896/25</p> <p>1897/6 1897/6</p> <p>1898/4</p> <p>1908/22</p> <p>1912/25</p> <p>1913/6</p> <p>1913/18</p> <p>1913/25</p> <p>1914/10</p>	<p>1914/18</p> <p>1919/11</p> <p>1919/15</p> <p>1920/3</p> <p>1921/23</p> <p>1922/7</p> <p>1922/19</p> <p>1922/21</p> <p>1922/22</p> <p>1922/25</p> <p>1923/3 1923/3</p> <p>1937/17</p> <p>1939/9</p> <p>1940/25</p> <p>1941/17</p> <p>1941/18</p> <p>1941/22</p> <p>1942/21</p> <p>1944/18</p> <p>1944/21</p> <p>1945/20</p> <p>1946/25</p> <p>1947/2</p> <p>1949/13</p>	<p>1949/25</p> <p>1956/5 1958/8</p> <p>1964/16</p> <p>1965/5 1965/7</p> <p>1966/16</p> <p>1968/22</p> <p>1971/7</p> <p>1973/21</p> <p>1976/20</p> <p>yourself [3]</p> <p>1918/21</p> <p>1941/5</p> <p>1947/20</p> <hr/> <p>Z</p> <hr/> <p>ZEMAN [1]</p> <p>1841/6</p> <p>zero [1]</p> <p>1915/6</p> <p>Zyprexa [5]</p> <p>1905/23</p> <p>1944/7</p> <p>1970/25</p> <p>1971/1 1973/1</p>
---	---	--